# HORRY COUNTY TIERED ENVIRONMENTAL BROAD REVIEW

for the
HURRICANE FLORENCE
SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING
PROGRAM

January 2021

SOUTH CAROLINA DISASTER RECOVERY OFFICE a division of the OFFICE OF RESILIENCE





#### **NEPA Compliance Document**

An environmental review is the process of reviewing a project and its potential environmental impacts to determine whether it complies with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and related laws and authorities. All HUD-assisted projects are required to undergo an environmental review to evaluate environmental impacts. The analysis includes both how the project can affect the environment and how the environment can affect the project, site, and end users.

Under HUD regulation 24 CFR 58.4, the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) has assumed HUD's environmental review responsibilities. The environmental review procedures for entities assuming HUD's environmental responsibilities implementing regulations are contained in 24 CFR 58. This Tiered Environmental Broad Review contains a Broad Review, written strategy, and site-specific review which will be used to determine environmental conditions at each project site. All relevant parts of the Environmental Review Record (ERR) will be completed before committing funds to any one project site (24 CFR 58.22).

For any questions or concerns related to this project or the environmental review, please contact:

Eric Fosmire, Legal Director
South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office
632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201
or by email at eric.fosmire@admin.sc.gov

"one team, one mission"

### Change Log for Re-Evaluation of Tiered Environmental Review

Date	Tier I Section	Summary
2/19/2021	Change Log for Re-Evaluation of Tiered Environmental Reivew	Added this change log.
2/19/2021	Re-Evaluation of Tiered	Clarified that compliance will be achieved at
	Environmental Review – Airports,	the site-specific review.
	Coastal Barrier Resources, and	
	Coastal Zone Management	
2/19/2021	Re-Evaluation of Tiered	Adjusted to remove acronym for South Carolina
	Environmental Review - Historic	Department of Archives and History.
	Preservation	
2/19/2021	Re-Evaluation of Tiered	Noted that compliance is achieved in the Broad
	Environmental Review – Noise	Review.
	and Wild & Scenic Rivers	
2/19/2021	Re-Evaluation of Tiered	Clarified that compliance for Coastal Barrier
	Environmental Review – Summary	Resources and Coastal Zone Management will
	of Findings and Conclusions	be achieved at the site-specific review.
2/19/2021	Site Specific Environmental	Edited language to clarify how counties with
	Review Strategy – Airports	airports are reviewed.
3/2/2021	Site Specific Environmental	Corrected regulatory citation in header;
	Review Strategy – Historic	corrected name of agency consulted; clarified
	Preservation	review process for activities that do not
		conform to the second-tier allowances in the
		PA including Native American Tribal
		Consultation; added discussion of agency
		consultation.
3/2/2021	Site Specific Environmental	Noted that agency consultation would occur on
	Review Strategy – Wetlands and	a case-by-case basis.
	Surface Water Protection	
3/2/2021	Site Specific Environmental	Noted that no agencies were consulted.
	Review Strategy – Environmental	
	Justice	
3/2/2021	Re-Evaluation of Tiered	Noted that compliance will be achieved during
	Environmental Review –	the site-specific review.
	Environmental Justice	
3/2/2021	Request for Release of Funds	Inserted signed RROF Certification package as
		submitted to HUD on 2/12/2021.
3/4/2021	Authorization to Use Grant Funds	Inserted signed cover letter and AUGF from
		HUD dated 3/1/2021.

## 

Request for Release of Funds ......40

Authorization to Use Grant Funds: ......56

Tier II Site Specific Environmental Review Checklist ......123

Site Specific Environmental Review Strategy ......58

Original Tiered Environmental Broad Review......139

#### **Delegation of Authority**



HENRY MCMASTER GOVERNOR

To: All Interested U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Parties:

From: Governor Henry Dargan McMaster

Re: Delegation of Environmental Certifying Officer Authority for HUD Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR), HUD Community Development Block Grant - Mitigation (CDBG-MIT), and other HUD Disaster Recovery Environmental Documents

Date: 7 January 2021

Benjamin I Duncan, II, Program Management Director of the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) of the South Carolina Office of Resilience, is designated as the Environmental Certifying Officer for South Carolina's CDBG-DR, CDBG-MIT, and any other HUD disaster recovery program. In addition, due to the volume of the environmental documents that require a signature by the Certifying Officer for the State of South Carolina's CDBG-DR, CDBG-MIT, or other HUD disaster recovery programs, I also designate Eric G. Fosmire, SCDRO Legal Director, as a second certifying officer. Director Duncan and Mr. Fosmire may sign any environmental review record associated with HUD CDBG-DR grant numbers B-16-DH-45-0001, B-16-DL-45-0001, B-19-DV-45-002, and HUD CDBG-MIT grant number B-18-DP-06-0002. Director Duncan and Mr. Fosmire may also serve as Certifying Officers for environmental review records for any future HUD CDBG-DR, CDBG-MIT, or other HUD disaster recovery grants the State of South Carolina may receive. Mr. Fosmire will represent the responsible entity and will be subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal courts as specified in 24 C.F.R Part 58, Section 58.13.

Responsible Entity, Representative's Information/Certification:

Responsible Entity, representative's name, title, and organization (printed or typed):

Hon. Henry Dargan McMaster, Governor, South Carolina

Signature

January 7, 2021

STATE HOUSE \* 1100 GERVAIS STREET \* COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201 \* TELEPHONE: 803-734-2100

#### Re-Evaluation of Tiered Environmental Review

#### Letter of Re-Evaluation of Environmental Finding

Date: January 26, 2021

To: SCDRO Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program – Environmental Review Record

RE: Re-Evaluation of the Tier I Broad Environmental Review Record (ERR)

Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) Program

B-16-DH-45-0001 – 2015 Severe Storms and Flooding

B-16-DL-45-0001 – Hurricane Matthew (2016)

B-19-DV-45-0001 / B-19-DV-45-0002 - Hurricane Florence (2018)

This letter serves to notify the Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) Program that the South Carolina Office of Resilience, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) has re-evaluated the Tier I Broad Environmental Review for the current CDBG-DR Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program for Marion County, South Carolina. In accordance with 24 CFR 58.47, re-evaluation of environmental findings to determine if the original findings are still valid, is required when:

- 1. The recipient proposes substantial changes in the nature, magnitude or extent of the project, including adding new activities not anticipated in the original scope of the project;
- 2. There are new circumstances and environmental conditions which may affect the project or have a bearing on its impact, such as concealed or unexpected conditions discovered during the implementation of the project or activity which is proposed to be continued; or
- 3. The recipient proposes the selection of an alternative not in the original finding.

The Tier I Broad Environmental Review for the current CDBG-DR Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program for Marion County, South Carolina was evaluated due to the following:

• On October 5, 2018, Public Law 115-254 was signed by the President of the United States, which provides \$1.68 billion in CDBG-DR funding for "disaster relief, long-term recovery, restoration of infrastructure and housing, and economic revitalization in the most impacted and distressed areas resulting from a major disaster declared in 2018." These funds are to be used in order to satisfy a portion of unmet need that remains after other federal assistance has been allocated. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) uses the "best available" data to identify and calculate unmet needs for disaster relief, long-term recovery, restoration of infrastructure, and housing and economic revitalization. Based on this assessment, HUD notified the State of South Carolina that it will receive an allocation of \$72,075,000 in disaster recovery funds to assist in recovery from the 2018 Hurricane Florence weather event. Section IV.B.4. of the Federal Register Notice (85 FR 4681) states, "The 2019 Appropriations Act provides that grantees that received CDBG—DR grants under Public Laws 114–223, 114–254, and 115–31 in response to Hurricane Matthew, may use those funds [...]

interchangeably and without limitation for the same activities that can be funded by CDBG–DR grants in the most impacted and distressed areas related to Hurricane Florence. Additionally, all CDBG–DR grants under the 2018 and 2019 Appropriations Acts in response to Hurricane Florence may be used interchangeably and without limitation for the same activities in the most impacted and distressed areas related to Hurricane Matthew." Thereby changing the scope and extent of the Hurricane Matthew Housing Program beyond what was originally contemplated.

- After the Tier I publication, SCDRO performed additional consultation and correspondence with agencies and contractors to refine and improve efficiency of the Tier II environmental review procedures for certain NEPA compliance factors which prompted subsequent changes to the sitespecific checklist.
- The tiered environmental reviews for housing activities in Florence, Georgetown, Horry, and Marion counties were initially completed in November and December of 2016 pertaining to the 2015 Severe Flood and Storm and were subsequently amended to include identical housing recovery activities associated with Hurricane Matthew in July and August of 2017, respectively. As a best practice for tiered environmental reviews performed for multi-year housing programs, the original environmental review is due for a re-evaluation based upon length of time that has elapsed since the original review. Although the tiered environmental review for Housing Program Activities in Horry County was completed more recently (August 2018), the re-evaluation will address the items listed above and support a consistent approach to environmental compliance at the site-specific level for all housing recovery activities. The South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) was designated as the responsible entity for administering the CDBG-DR funds allocated to the State. The purpose of the CDBG-DR funded Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program (the Program) is to provide urgently needed safe and sanitary housing to residents impacted by Hurricane Florence, a Presidentially Declared Disaster. As outlined in State's HUD approved, Hurricane Florence Disaster Recovery Action Plan, financial assistance will be provided for the rehabilitation, replacement, reconstruction, elevation, demolition, and buyout of homes.

To update the Tiered Environmental Broad Review for this re-evaluation, this January 2021 version presents text revisions to incorporate property acquisition/buyout as a new activity, the consideration and integration of new alternatives into the project descriptions, updated guidance and regulatory compliance requirements and a condensed project area for environmental analysis and public comment. This document contains: a detailed re-evaluation of the Tiered Environmental Broad Review; a detailed written strategy for conducting site-specific environmental reviews post re-evaluation; the Request for Release of Funds Certification to HUD and the Authorization to Use Grant Funds; and the previous version of the Tiered Environmental Broad Review.

As each property to be served by the Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program must undergo a Tier II Site-Specific Environmental Review, this re-evaluation of activities concludes that the original Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) as published in The Sun News on December 6, 2016 and presented in the Tier I: Broad Environmental Review Record (ERR) dated August 21, 2016, remains valid. A Combined FONSI, NOI-RROF Notice for the incorporation of the property acquisition/buyout activity and the relocation services into the Program was published in The Sun News on January 27, 2021 to affirm this conclusion and support the

drawdown of additional grant funding under the 2018 and 2019 Appropriations Acts in response to Hurricane Florence. The Notice will be adopted into program documents. This letter will be retained in SCDRO's Tiered Environmental Broad Review Record for the Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program.

Sincerely,

**Eric Fosmire** 

Legal Director, South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office

The South Carolina Office of Resilience

632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201

CC: Benjamin I. Duncan II, SCDRO

#### **Environmental Review Record Classification and Tiering Plan**

SCDRO is the Responsible Entity (RE) for the required environmental review as indicated in 24 CFR 58, "Environmental Review Procedures for Entities Assuming HUD Environmental Responsibilities," and will oversee the completion of environmental compliance reviews of each individual proposed project in accordance with HUD regulations and guidance.

In consultation with HUD, SCDRO has classified the Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program's activities as requiring an Environmental Assessment (24 CFR 58.36(e)) subject to laws and authorities at 24 CFR 58.5, 24 CFR 58.6, and NEPA analysis. This Environmental Review Record (ERR) is tiered in accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR 58.15. The tiered approach allows environmental review and analysis to be streamlined by evaluating impacts of functionally and geographically aggregated activities for the proposed action area at a broad, or County-wide, level. In general, the Environmental Broad Review defines a Program's action area, describes the proposed activities, and helps identify potential environmental effects of these activities as defined by NEPA compliance factors, Executive Orders, HUD environmental standards, and to identify County-wide issues of concern.

In accordance with required regulatory compliance factors, this Broad Review outlines key characteristics relative to the proposed single family home repair / rehabilitation of stick-built and manufactured housing units, reconstruction of stick-built homes, replacement of manufactured homes, and strategic buyout activities proposed in the Housing Program. It also identifies and eliminates the unnecessary and repetitive evaluation of compliance factors that will not occur at the site-specific project level due to their absence County-wide, or because the program parameters include systematic general conditions that adequately address them.

Since individual project locations have not yet been identified at this level of review, all potential environmental effects at the site-specific level cannot be evaluated. Nonetheless, the broad analysis can generally describe the environmental conditions and factors that must be considered during execution of a Program. Where compliance cannot be determined, the broad-level review must define a protocol for how compliance will be achieved at the site-specific level. This protocol should not merely state that the factor will be addressed in the site-specific review; rather, the Broad Review must define a strategy including procedures to be followed to determine compliance, mitigate impacts where possible, and dismiss sites that cannot be made compliant.

When the exact location of an individual project is identified, a site-specific review will be completed prior to committing HUD CDBG-DR funds to the project. The site-specific review will concentrate on the issues that were not resolved in the broad-level review as described in the HUD Tiered Environmental Review guidance. Using the protocols established at the broad level review, the site-specific review will determine and document the project's adherence to all established protocols and remaining requirements and dismiss projects that cannot be made compliant. Site-specific reviews may also include direct field observation and coordination with resource agencies as necessary to determine compliance. If there are no impacts or impacts will be effectively mitigated through site-specific project conditions, then that project will proceed without further notice to the public.

#### Re-Evaluation Tier I: EA Determinations and Compliance Findings

# Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

#### **Project Information**

Project Name: Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program

Responsible Entity: The South Carolina Office of Resilience, Disaster Recover Office (SCDRO)

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity): State of South Carolina

**State/Local Identifier**: B-19-DV-45-0001, B-19-DV-45-0002

Preparer: Karyn Desselle, HORNE, LLP

Certifying Officer Name and Title: Eric Fosmire, Legal Director, SCDRO

Consultant (if applicable): HORNE, LLP

Direct Comments to: Eric Fosmire, Legal Director

632 Rosewood Drive Columbia, SC 29201

Eric.Fosmire@admin.sc.gov

#### **Project Location**

The geographic scope for the Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing activities described herein, is the jurisdictional area of Horry County, South Carolina. Located in coastal northeastern South Carolina, Horry County is bordered by the State of North Carolina to the northeast, Dillon County to the north, Marion County to the west, Georgetown County to the southeast, and the Atlantic Ocean to the southeast. According to United States Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS) 2013-2017, Horry County is home to an estimated 310,186 residents. Horry County has a total area of 1,255 square miles (2,937 km2), of which 1,134 square miles (km2) is land and 121 square miles (9.73 km2) (9.6%) is water.

#### Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]

The Program will assist owners of single-family properties in the eight (8) disaster declared counties: Chesterfield, Darlington, Dillon, Florence, Georgetown, Horry, Marlboro and Marion. While the program strives to fund as many eligible projects as funding will allow, current estimates indicate that the program will fund approximately 500 single-family repair / replacement / reconstruction projects, 15 rental repair projects and 50 homeowner buyouts across all eight (8) counties.

The State's Action Plan has outlined the following as eligible housing program activities:

- 1. Repair/Rehabilitation of existing single-family housing (stick-built or manufactured)
- 2. Replacement of damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHUs) deemed unrepairable
- 3. Reconstruction of stick-built single-family homes deemed unrepairable
- 4. Limited Relocation Assistance (to be considered on a case-by-case basis)
- 5. Repair of stick-built single-family (1-4 unit) rental properties
- 6. Acquisition/Buyout and conversion to green space

During the execution of these activities, as needed and appropriate, identification of opportunities for mitigation enhancement measures, improvement of resilience, ancillary improvements such as elevation and access ramps, and assistance to applicants in completing program applications.

For the purposes or performing the required CDBG-DR environmental review, each single-family project (program activities) will be categorized as one of the following Proposed Actions:

**Proposed Action 1: 'Rehabilitation'** – Repair / rehabilitation of an existing stick-built, single-family structure (rental or owner-occupied) on a previously disturbed parcel. All activities will be limited to the existing footprint of the extant structure and associated utilities.

**Proposed Action 2: 'Rehabilitation and Elevation'** – Repair / rehabilitation and elevation of an existing stick-built, single-family structure on a previously disturbed parcel, as required by NFIP and program guidelines.

**Proposed Action 3: 'MHU Replacement'** – Replacement of an existing manufactured home on a previously disturbed parcel. MHU will be demolished/removed and a new MHU installed in the same location, within the disturbed area associated with the damaged structure.

**Proposed Action 4: 'MHU Replacement and Elevation'** – Replacement and elevation/structural reinforcement of an existing manufactured home on a previously disturbed parcel. MHU will be demolished/removed and a new MHU installed in the same location, within the disturbed area associated with the damaged structure. The new MHU will be elevated and/or installed with appropriate structural reinforcement, as required by NFIP and program guidelines.

**Proposed Action 5: 'Stick-Built Reconstruction'** – Reconstruction of an existing stick-built, single-family structure on a previously disturbed parcel. The damaged structure will be demolished, and a new structure will be constructed in the same location, within the disturbed area of the parcel associated with the damaged structure.

**Proposed Action 6: 'Stick-Built Reconstruction and Elevation'** – Reconstruction and elevation of an existing stick-built, single-family structure on a previously disturbed parcel. As required by NFIP or program guidelines, the new structure may be elevated. The damaged structure will be demolished, and a new elevated structure will be constructed in the same location, within the disturbed area of the parcel associated with the damaged structure. The structure will be elevated in accordance with NFIP and program guidelines.

**Proposed Action 7: 'Acquisition/Buyout'** – Acquisition/Buyout of damaged single-family properties within the 100-year floodplain. Once acquired and once all utilities have been secured, damaged structure(s) will be demolished, and the site will be cleared of all debris. Properties will then be converted to green space in perpetuity. This activity will be limited to properties located in the most impacted and distressed counties: Dillon, Horry, and Marion.

South Carolina will implement construction methods that emphasize quality, durability, energy efficiency, sustainability, and mold resistance. All rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement will be designed to incorporate principles of sustainability, including water and energy efficiency, resilience, and mitigation against the impact of future disasters.

Where feasible, the State will follow best practices such as those provided by the U.S. Department of Energy's Guidelines for Home Energy Professionals. For all new construction or for substantially rehabilitated structures, the State will require construction to meet ENERGY STAR certification standards.

To the most practical extent feasible, the State will follow the HUD CPD Green Building Retrofit Checklist guidelines and apply them to rehabilitation work undertaken to include the use of mold resistant products when replacing surfaces such as drywall. When older or obsolete products are replaced as part of rehabilitation work, the State will use products and appliances with ENERGY STAR labels, Water Sense labels or Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) designations.

South Carolina will also monitor construction results to ensure the safety of residents and the quality of homes assisted through the program. All single family, rental and mobile homes repaired must comply with the current HUD Housing Quality Standards (HQS). In addition, SCDRO has coordinated with DHEC to ensure applicants are aware of the risks associated with mold and take steps to limit the impact of any mold issues that may arise.

Furthermore, SCDRO will implement resilient practices to ensure the viability, durability, and accessibility of replacement mobile homes.

- Although some local building codes allow installation of Wind Zone I rated mobile homes, SCDRO will
  only utilize mobile homes with a minimum wind rating of HUD Wind Zone II or higher (able to
  withstand winds up to 100 MPH).
- SCDRO will adopt the 5'7" rule, prohibiting the installation of mobile homes elevated 5'7" above grade without appropriate structural reinforcement.

#### Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]

In September 2018, South Carolina was impacted by hurricane storm surge, high winds, tornados, and flash flooding from Hurricane Florence as it made landfall near Wrightsville Beach, North Carolina Sept. 14, 2018 as a Category 1 hurricane. After landfall, Florence stalled briefly and then began a slow southwestward track over South Carolina as it weakened to a tropical storm, bringing tropical storm force wind gusts and heavy rains to eastern parts of the state. Nearly half of the state of South Carolina experienced prolonged power outages, flooding and other obstacles in the days and weeks that followed Hurricane Florence; however, the storm's impacts were most acutely felt east of Interstate 95 and north of Interstate 26, where significant flooding, hurricane force winds, tornadoes and coastal storm surges claimed four lives and caused extensive damage to infrastructure, homes, and businesses; resulting in eight (8) counties being eligible for Individual Assistance under the Presidential disaster declaration FEMA DR-4394 issued September 16, 2018. The State of South Carolina received a Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) allocation from Housing and Urban Development (HUD), under the 2018 and 2019 Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief Acts in response to Hurricane Florence. To assist the most vulnerable populations in their recovery efforts, the State has developed a housing program to meet the housing needs as identified through the unmet needs assessment and is proposing to use CDBG-DR funds to implement the Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program.

The Housing Program's goal is to provide housing that is safe, sanitary, and secure. SCDRO will accomplish this goal through the housing program, focusing on single family home repair / rehabilitation of stick-built and manufactured housing units, reconstruction of stick-built homes, replacement of manufactured homes, and strategic buyouts (further described below). SCDRO will prioritize assistance based on social vulnerability factors as outline in the South Carolina Hurricane Florence Action Plan.

#### Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]

The impacts to housing from Hurricane Florence and subsequent flooding were widespread. Single-family homeowners in stick-built homes, single family homeowners in mobile homes, and renters in various types of housing stock were affected. More than 16,000 applicants filed for FEMA (IA) Individual Assistance statewide as a result of Hurricane Florence. Of those who specified housing unit type, about 76% are homeowners, including single family homes, duplex units, mobile homes and other housing types. The remaining 24% are renters, including renters of single-family homes, mobile homes, apartment units and other housing types (Hurricane Florence Action Plan). Of the 385,402 housing units in the entire impacted area (most of which are owner-occupied units), more than 75% of all housing units and an estimated 84% of rental units, were built before 1999. With much of the housing stock in the 30-year range, key systems such as electrical, roofing, water heaters and furnaces may have already cycled through a replacement lifespan in many homes. Mobile homes also contribute significantly to the housing fabric of South Carolina. Of the FEMA IA applicants in the state-assessed areas, approximately 3,847 of them reside in mobile home units. However, wind, rain, and flooding damage to mobile homes is often difficult to repair, due to the integrated nature of the building components. The unmet needs assessment also identified that mobile homes and damage to these vulnerable structures was concentrated in rural, non-urban areas. Mobile homes damaged in Horry, Dillon and Marion Counties combine to account for nearly 65% of the total mobile homes damaged across the state.

Furthermore, when looking at the FEMA IA applicant population, many of the applicants either reside in high vulnerability areas, as defined by High social vulnerability scores, or Medium-High social vulnerability areas. Of these residents, there are subsets of populations over the age of 65, and additionally, who are over 65 and have access and functional needs (AFN). These applicants, and those who are low-income, often have the fewest means of assistance available to them. Considering these factors, it is not surprising that many of the property owners do not have sufficient means to repair the damages caused by Hurricane Florence. Addressing the housing needs of these impacted residents is a priority to ensure housing stock is maintained and housing quality is improved. This will in turn create the foundation for livable, resilient communities.

#### **Funding Information**

Grant Number	HUD Program	Funding Amount
B-19-DV-45-0001, B-19-DV-45-0002	Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR)	\$72,075,000 (across entire 8 county program area)

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$19,220,000 (Horry County)

Estimated Total Project Cost (HUD and non-HUD funds) [24 CFR 58.32(d)]: \$19,220,000 (Horry County)

#### Compliance with 24 CFR 50.4, 58.5, and 58.6 Laws and Authorities

Record below the compliance or conformance determinations for each statute, executive order, or regulation. Provide credible, traceable, and supportive source documentation for each authority. Where applicable, complete the necessary reviews or consultations and obtain or note applicable permits of approvals. Clearly note citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references. Attach additional documentation as appropriate.

In the table below, a "Yes" response below indicates that further steps are needed at the Tier II site-specific environmental review level. A "No" response indicates that the project is in compliance at the Tier I level.

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance Determinations	
STATUTES, EXECUTIV	/E ORDERS, A	AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 and 58.6	
Airport Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	Yes No	Compliance will be achieved during the site-specific review, as described below.	
		The restrictions on construction and major rehabilitation of structures in runway protection zones (formerly called runway clear zones) apply to civil airports (24 CFR 51.303). The term Civil Airport means "an existing commercial service airport as designated in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems prepared by the Federal Aviation Administration in accordance with section 504 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982." 'Commercial service airports' are publicly owned airports with at least 2,500 annual enplanements (passenger boardings) and scheduled air carrier service (§47102(7)). HUD regulations also include restrictions on construction and major rehabilitation in clear zones and accident potential zones associated with runways at military airfields (24 CFR 51.303).  There are six (6) civil airports, and five (5) military airfields in South Carolina, one of which is joint use (civil and military). Additionally, there are 3 civil airports and 1 military airfield in neighboring areas of North Carolina. The is one airport in north Horry County, Myrtle Beach International. Therefore, projects located within Horry County will be reviewed at the site-specific level.	

Coastal Barrier Resources Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	Yes No	Compliance will be achieved during the site-specific review, as described below.  The John H. Chaffee Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS) was established in 1982 and is administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. In accordance with 24 CFR 58.6(c), HUD assistance may not be used for project activities proposed in the CBRS. The Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) prohibits new federal expenditures or financial assistance within System units of the CBRS. No HUD funding will be used in a Coastal Barrier Resources Area.  South Carolina's coastline includes twenty-three CBRS Units (16 System Units and 7 Otherwise Protected Areas). All projects located in Horry County, will be reviewed at the site-specific level to determine if the project is subject to regulation under the SC Coastal Zone Plan. The Tier II Site-Specific Checklist will document the outcome of the review and any permits or mitigation measure that may be necessary for compliance.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	Yes No	Compliance will be achieved during the site-specific review, as described below.  Within Horry County, approximately 184,267.31 acres of land (25.2% of the county's land area) are within the 100-year floodplain. Although specific project sites have not yet been identified, it is anticipated that, once identified, some of the proposed project sites may be located in the 100-year floodplain.  All proposed projects located in the 100-year floodplain are required to comply with the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994.  Applicants will be screened prior to environmental review to determine if the property previously received federal flood disaster assistance conditioned upon obtaining and maintaining insurance and will only be allowed to proceed after providing proof of having met this requirement.  Additionally, the Program will require all assisted properties to obtain and maintain flood insurance in perpetuity; therefore, SCDRO will only provide assistance to properties in the 100-year floodplain, where the community is participating in the National Flood Program and in good standing. At the time of this

		assessment, there are not any communities in Horry County listed as not participating or not in good standing with the National Flood Program.
STATUTES, EXECUTIV	'E ORDERS,	AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 & 58.5
Clean Air Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	Yes No	Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review Level, as described below.  Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires a federal agency that funds any activity in a nonattainment or maintenance area to conform to the State Implementation Plan (SIP). There are currently two areas of the state, Cherokee County and the York County portion of the Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill NC-SC nonattainment area, that are designated as 'maintenance'. The proposed project area does not include Cherokee County or York County. Therefore, conformance with the SIP is not required.  The Bureau of Air Quality, Division of Air Assessment, Innovations, & Regulation was contacted on May 8, 2018 regarding project compliance. In a response dated May 18, 2018, the Bureau indicated there were two criteria pollutants of concern in South Carolina (Ozone and Particulate Matter 2.5) and offered suggestions for reducing emissions from diesel equipment, as a way to help the state stay in compliance with NAAQS. These suggestions were incorporated into the Mitigation Measures section to be applied to all project activities.
		EPA's federal General Conformity regulation (40 CFR Part 90) implements the CAA. The General Conformity Rule requires that the direct and indirect air emissions from an action be below the de minimis levels. Proposed project activities include rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of single family (1-4 unit) properties at scattered sites throughout the project area. Emissions associated with the proposed actions are limited to the use of residential and small construction equipment and are estimated to be well below the threshold when compared to the federal General Conformity Rule de minimis thresholds, and therefore, in compliance with the Clean Air Act.  Radon gas has been identified by the EPA as an indoor and outdoor air quality issue. The entire 8-county program area,

		including Horry County, is designated as a Zone 3, EPA's lowest potential rating, and is therefore not anticipated to pose an indoor air quality issue.
Coastal Zone Management Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	Yes No	Compliance will be achieved during the site-specific review, as described below.  The South Carolina Coastal Management Program was established under the guidelines of the national Coastal Zone Management Act (1972) as a state-federal partnership to comprehensively manage coastal resources. The South Carolina Coastal Zone Management Act was authorized in 1977 under SC's Coastal Tidelands and Wetlands Act (CTWA) with the goal of achieving a balance between the appropriate use, development, and conservation of coastal resources in the best interest of all citizens of the state. DHEC's Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management is the designated state coastal management agency and is responsible for the implementation of the state's Coastal Management Program.
		The South Carolina Coastal Zone is defined in Section 3(B) of the South Carolina Coastal Management Act of 1977 as: All coastal waters and submerged lands seaward to the State's jurisdictional limits and all lands and waters in the counties of the State which contain any one or more of the critical areas. These counties are Beaufort, Berkley, Charleston, Colleton, Dorchester, Horry, Jasper, and Georgetown. The critical areas are defined in Section 3(J) as: coastal waters, tide-lands, beaches and primary ocean-front sand dunes.  Horry County is within the South Carolina Coastal Zone;
		therefore, all projects in Horry County will be reviewed at the site-specific level for consistency with the Coastal Zone Plan.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)	Yes No	Compliance will be achieved during the site-specific review, as described below.  HUD policy requires that the proposed site and adjacent areas be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of occupants of the property or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.  Once individual project locations are identified, a site investigation by a trained / qualified environmental professional

(or professionals) using current techniques to assess for contamination is required pursuant to 24 CFR §58.5(i)(2)(iv) to assess the site for hazards and potential contamination. The EPA Envirofacts database will be queried to identify facilities near the proposed project location that have hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gases, and radioactive substances as specified in 24 CFR 58.5(i), and all identified facilities will be reviewed for determine if the hazard poses a threat to the health or safety of the occupants or restricts property usage.

Asbestos, Lead-Based Paint, and Mold

It is HUD policy that all occupied structures proposed for inclusion in HUD-funded programs be free of hazardous materials that could affect the health of the occupants. Structures to be reconstructed or rehabilitated may include lead-based paint and materials containing asbestos. These are hazardous materials that could affect the health of residents.

All activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding asbestos, including but not limited to:

- National Emission Standard for Asbestos, standard for demolition and renovation, 40 CFR 61.145
- National Emission Standard for Asbestos, standard for waste disposal for manufacturing, fabricating, demolition, and spraying operations, 40 CFR 61.150
- SC Regulation 61-86.1 Standards of Performance for Asbestos Projects

All rehabilitation activities on housing constructed prior to January 1, 1978, must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding lead-based paint, including but not limited to:

- EPA's Repair, Renovation, and Painting (RRP) Rule (40 CFR 745.80(e))
- HUD's lead-based paint regulations in 24 CFR 35(a)(b)(h)(j)(r)
- HUD's "Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing"

		Mold can also have an adverse effect on human health and is a very common problem in houses that have been flooded. Mold should not be a problem in houses that are demolished and reconstructed but could remain in rehabilitated housing if steps are not taken to mitigate and eliminate mold during the rehabilitation. All residential structures undergoing rehabilitation must be remediated for mold attributable to the disaster event in accordance with EPA requirements.
Endangered Species Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part	Yes No	Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review Level, as described below.  The Endangered Species Act (ESA) and its implementing
402		regulations provide Federal agencies with a mandate to conserve State- and Federally listed, threatened and endangered (T&E) species and ensure that any action they authorize, fund, or carry out is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species in the wild, or destroy or adversely modify its critical habitat. The Ecological Services Program of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service works collaboratively with other federal agencies, industries, and other stakeholders to achieve infrastructure development goals in ways that are sustainable and compatible with the conservation of fish, wildlife, and their habitats.
		The Service's South Carolina Ecological Services Field Office (SCESFO) developed blanket authorizations for activities that routinely have minimal or no effect upon trust resources, including certain projects undertaken by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The "U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Clearance to Proceed with U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, and U.S. Department of Agriculture Projects" blanket authorization letter updated May 30, 2019, states "If the project description falls in one of the categories and the Federal agency, or their designee, determines there is no effect or impact to federally protected species or designated critical habitat, no further action is required under Section 7 of the ESA." The 'Description of DOC, HUD, and USDA Projects Covered' under the blanket authorization letter includes:  3. Construct, expand, maintain, remove, replace, or
		rehabilitate structures on developed or otherwise disturbed areas. Examples of developed or disturbed

areas include paved, filled, graveled, routinely mowed vegetated grasses, agricultural fields, and pasturelands. Undeveloped areas are those sites where natural vegetation dominates. The letter also provides guidance on the nationwide programmatic biological opinion (PBO) and the final 4(d) rule for the northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis, NLEB). Under the PBO and 4(d) rule, all incidental take of the NLEB is exempted from the ESA's take prohibitions under certain conditions. However, incidental take is prohibited within one quarter mile from known hibernacula and winter roost, or within 150 feet from a known maternity roost tree during the months of June and July. There are two (2) known hibernacula and one (1) known maternity roost in South Carolina; however, all of them are more than 0.25 miles outside of the project area. The proposed project activities fall under category 3 of the blanket authorization letter. Additionally, regarding NLEB considerations, the three known hibernacula and maternity roost locations are more than 0.25 miles outside of the project area. Therefore, SCDRO has determined that the proposed project activities will have no effect or impact to federally protected species or designated critical habitat. As stated in the letter, these projects have been evaluated by the Service in accordance with ESA and NEPA, and no further action is required under section 7 of the ESA. **Explosive and** Yes Compliance will be achieved during the site-specific review, as No  $\square$ described below. Flammable Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C Hud's regulations at 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C, require "HUDassisted projects" to be separated from these facilities by a distance that is based on the contents and volume of the aboveground storage tank, or to implement mitigation measures. The definition of "HUD-assisted project" at 24 CFR 51.201 is predicated on whether the project increases the number of people exposed to hazardous operations. Therefore, activities to reconstruct, rehabilitate, or replace housing that existed prior to the disaster, where the number of dwelling units is not increased, and the activities are limited to the general area of the pre-existing footprint, are not required to apply the acceptable separation distance (ASD) standards in 24 CFR Part 51C. An ASD analysis is required if the number of dwelling units

increases and / or the building footprint changes substantially, potentially bringing the structure (and number of residents) closer to an aboveground tank containing a flammable or explosive substance. As verified by NFPA Code Finder, with the exception of a single reference by IFGC in the City of Columbia (which is not in the project area) to NFPA (2014), the entire State of South Carolina, including the project area, has adopted and is in compliance with NFPA 58 (2017). Projects involving reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of housing that existed prior to the disaster, where the number of dwelling units is not increased and the activities are limited to the general area of the pre-existing footprint, will not require further review for above ground storage tanks. However, projects involving a relocation of an MHU to a new location on the same property or to a different previously developed property will require further review and analysis of all ASTs identified within 1 mile of the project site. **Farmlands Protection** Yes No Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review Level, as  $\boxtimes$ described below. Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, Pursuant to 7 CFR § 658.3(c) the Farmland Protection Policy Act particularly sections (FPPA) does not apply to the purchase, maintenance, renovation 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR or replacement of existing structures and sites converted prior Part 658 to application for HUD funding, including actions related to the construction of minor new ancillary structures, such as garages or sheds. Hence, the regulations to protect Farmlands do not apply to projects involving rehabilitation, reconstruction, acquisition/buyout (demolition of an existing structure), replacement of existing homes, and relocation of replacement MHUs onto previously developed lots where all existing utility connections and systems are in place, as these properties were previously converted to non-agricultural use when the initial development occurred. Additionally, the SC NRCS was contacted on May 8, 2018 regarding Hurricane Matthew housing program activities. A response was received on June 1, 2018 stating that, "the project is in an area already in urban development or is in existing right-

		of-ways. There is no significant impact on Prime or Statewide Important Farmlands."
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988,	Yes No	Compliance will be achieved during the site-specific review, as described below.
particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		Executive Order 11988: Floodplain Management requires Federal activities to avoid impacts to floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development to the extent practicable. HUD's regulations in 24 CFR Part 55 outline HUD's procedures for complying with EO 11988. Part 55 applies to all HUD actions that could be harmed or cause harm if located in a floodplain, including but not limited to proposed acquisition, construction, demolition, improvement, disposition, and financing actions under any HUD program.
		In Horry County, approximately 184,267 acres of land (25.2% of the county's land area) are within the 100-year floodplain.  Although specific project sites have not yet been identified, the Program will repair, reconstruct, or replace single-family housing, some of which, may be located in the 100-year floodplain. Additionally, under limited circumstances, the Program will acquire damaged single-family residential properties in the 100-year floodplain in accordance with program guidelines, which will then be demolished and converted to greenspace in perpetuity.
		For activities that occur outside of the 100-year floodplain (i.e., in Zone X or Shaded X), no further compliance with this part is required. Additionally, acquisition / buyout activities are exempt under 24 CFR §55.12(c)(3) "financial assistance restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, but only if: (i) The property is cleared of all existing structures and related improvements; (ii) The property is dedicated for permanent use for flood control, wetland protection, park land, or open space; and (iii) A permanent covenant or comparable restriction is placed on the property's continued use to preserve the floodplain or wetland from future development."
		HUD financial assistance is prohibited in floodways unless an exception in section 55.12(c) applies or the project is a functionally dependent use (e.g. dams, marinas, and port

facilities) or a floodplain function restoration activity. Therefore, proposed project sites located in Floodways are only eligible for acquisition / buyout assistance, through which the property will be converted to greenspace in perpetuity.

In accordance with 24 CFR 55.20, the 8-Step Decision Making Process for Horry County was completed in July 2017, in consideration of housing program activities related to Hurricane Matthew, specifically, rehabilitation, reconstruction and replacement of homes located in the 100-year floodplain. The Early and Final Floodplain Notices were published in The Sun News on March 29, 2017 and June 28, 2017, respectively, and provided to FEMA and other interested agencies and stakeholders. The required public comment periods were met with no substantive comments.

All projects located within Flood Zones A and V, will be required to comply with Federal, state, and local floodplain management regulations including elevation and mandatory flood insurance in these zones. Projects involving new construction (reconstruction or replacement), repair of substantial damage, or substantial improvement, as defined at 24 CFR 55.2(b)(10), must be elevated with the lowest floor, including the basement, at least two feet above the 1-percent annual floodplain elevation utilizing the advisory base flood elevation. All participants in the program whose property is in the 100-year floodplain shown on the effective Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) or Preliminary FIRM as Best Available Data must carry flood insurance on the subject structure in perpetuity; and in the case of "Coastal High Hazard" areas ("V" zones on the latest (most recent) FEMA-issued maps), that the applicant adhered to construction standards, methods and techniques as required by HUD Regulation 24 CFR Part 55.1 (c)(3). When followed, these regulations will reduce the threat of flood damage to the homes located in the floodplain. The new elevation levels, which applicants are required to adhere to when considering reconstruction or rehabilitation of their substantially damaged properties, represent the best available data and are assumed to advance floodplain management efforts in the impacted counties.

n		
Historic Preservation National Historic	Yes No ☑ □	Compliance will be achieved during the site-specific review, as described below.
Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800		Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, requires the lead federal agency with jurisdiction over a federally-funded or federally-licensed activity to consider impacts to historic properties before approving a project. The HUD Addendum to the South Carolina Programmatic Agreement (PA) among the Federal Emergency Management Agency, The South Carolina State Historic Preservation Officer, The South Carolina Emergency Management Division, and Tribes Participating as Invited Signatories to include the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office and Participating South Carolina Units of General Local Government, was executed on November 16, 2016. The PA guides the review and consultation process for compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA.
		In an email correspondence dated October 18, 2016 regarding the preceding housing program, South Carolina Department of Archives and History provided the following clarifications and guidance,
		"Rehabilitation and Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes: Rehabs of buildings less than 45 years old would be excluded per I.B.1 of the FEMA PA. Otherwise, other specific rehab activities can be excluded primarily under II.'s Tier Two Allowances, regardless of building age. Demolition and/or Reconstruction to buildings less than 45 years old would be excluded per II.B.11. If a building is well over 45 years old and its activities (rehab, demolition and/or reconstruction) are not excluded from review per the Allowances then standard Section 106 consultation is recommended."
		"Replacement of MHU's: These would also be addressed by the above citations. However, while the FEMA PA does not specifically address MHU's (i.e. mobile homes), our office has no concerns with repairs to, or demolition or replacement of any MHU, regardless of age. Consultation with our office for MHU projects is not necessary."
		All properties will be reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act on a site-specific basis. If the proposed project activities do not meet one of the above exceptions or fall

		within any of the first- or second-tier allowances in the PA, consultation with the SHPO will be required.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	Yes No	Compliance achieved in the Broad Review, as described below.  HUD's noise standards may be found in 24 CFR Part 51, Subpart B. Consideration of noise applies to the acquisition of undeveloped land and existing development as well. For proposed new construction in high noise areas, the project must incorporate noise mitigation features.  Acquisition / buyout (Proposed Action 7) involves demolition and conversion to greenspace in perpetuity, which will not result in a noise sensitive land use.  Construction activities may cause temporary noise level increases. These will be mitigated by complying with local noise ordinances. HUD has determined that noise abatement and control is not applicable to a disaster recovery program which meets the definition under 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3): "The policy does not apply toany action or emergency assistance under disaster assistance provisions or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster." Rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement (Proposed Actions 1 – 6) fit this definition and will not require further review.  Although a relocated replacement MHU may be in a 'new' location, per program requirements, the home must be placed
		on an existing residentially developed MHU lot –thus the 'new' location is actually a previously existing noise-sensitive (residential) land use, and also fits the definition above.
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	Yes No	Compliance achieved in the Broad Review, as described below.  Compliance is met. There are no Sole Source Aquifers or aquifer recharge zones in the State of South Carolina. The nearest aquifers are the Volusia-Floridan Aquifer in Florida and the Columbia and Yorktown-Eastover Multi-Aquifer System in the northeast. Therefore, project activities will have no impact on these resources and no further review is required.

Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	Yes No	Compliance will be achieved during the site-specific review, as described below.  Executive Order 11990: Protection of Wetlands requires Federal activities to avoid adverse impacts to wetlands where practicable. Based on a review of the National Wetlands Inventory data, there are wetlands throughout the program area and it is assumed that at least some of the proposed project sites will intersect with NWI-mapped wetlands. All projects that involve new construction (as defined in Executive Order 11990), expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance will be evaluated to determine if the project site is located in or adjacent to a wetland (per NWI and verified by site reconnaissance and the presence of wetland indicators) are required to obtain any necessary permits as required by the Corps and are subject to processing under 24 CFR 55.20 (unless an exemption applies). If approved by the USACE, the project will proceed and will be required to comply with permit and mitigation requirements.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	Yes No	Compliance achieved in the Broad Review, as described below.  The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act created the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS) in 1968 to protect selected rivers in a free-flowing condition and to recognize their importance to our cultural and natural heritage (16 USC 1271). The NWSRS includes, designated Wild and Scenic Rivers, Study Rivers and the National Rivers Inventory. The Act prohibits federal support for activities such as construction of dams or other on-stream activities that could harm a designated river's free-flowing condition, water quality or outstanding resource values.  Boundaries for protected rivers generally extend one-quarter mile from either bank in the lower 48 states.  South Carolina has approximately 29,898 miles of river, of which 41.9 miles are designated as wild & scenic. The Chattooga River is the only river is South Carolina that is designated as wild and scenic. The Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) is a listing of more than 3,400 free-flowing rivers or river segments in the US that are believed to possess one or more "outstanding remarkable" natural or cultural value. Under a 1979 Presidential Directive, all federal agencies must seek to avoid or mitigate actions that would adversely affect one or more of the NRI segments. There

are ten (10) NRI segments located within the program project area.

A request for comment was submitted to the National Park Service on May 8, 2018 in regard to Hurricane Matthew housing

activities. No response was received.

Based on the distance and the general location of the project sites within the project area, the Program will not impact a designated Wild and Scenic River or Study River. Project activities will not include any water resources projects that require Section 404 permits (dams, water diversion projects, bridges, roadway construction or reconstruction, boat ramps, etc.). Additionally, the proposed project activities are limited to reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of existing housing, and any ground disturbance would be limited to the disturbed area of the previously developed lot and therefore, are not likely to have an adverse effect on the natural, cultural, and/or recreational values of an NRI segment.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE**

_					
-n	viro	nm	anta		stice
	viiu	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	CIILA	IJu	SLICE

Executive Order 12898

Yes No

 $\square$ 

Compliance will be achieved during the site-specific review, as described below.

Executive Order 12898, "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-income Populations" (2/94) requires certain federal agencies, including HUD, to consider how federally assisted projects may have disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority and low-income populations.

The proposed activities would encourage people in the areas most affected by Hurricanes Matthew and Florence to continue living where they live now. In general, those areas have proven vulnerable to flooding. Other pre-existing environmental conditions would continue under the proposed program. However, the primary effects of the proposed program would be to improve the condition of the housing, making it more durable, energy-efficient, safe from mold, asbestos, lead based paint, and other health and safety impacts. The program would also enhance health and safety by making many homes less vulnerable to flooding by elevating them above base flood elevations.

As required by HUD per the federal register notice, low- to moderate-income households will receive at least 70% of the proposed Program funding, many of which are also minorities.

While the program's intent is to beneficially impact these populations, any adverse environmental impacts that may be identified during the site-specific environmental review, could result in an unintended disproportionate, adverse impact. Therefore, Environmental Justice will be analyzed at the site-specific level once all sections of the Tier II Site-Specific Environmental Checklist are completed to determine if the project may adversely impact a low-income or minority population.

#### Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Recorded below is the qualitative and quantitative significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area. Each factor has been evaluated and documented, as appropriate and in proportion to its relevance to the proposed action. Verifiable source documentation has been provided and described in support of each determination, as appropriate. Credible, traceable and supportive source documentation for each authority has been provided. Where applicable, the necessary reviews or consultations have been completed and applicable permits of approvals have been obtained or noted. Citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references are clear. Additional documentation is attached, as appropriate. All conditions, attenuation or mitigation measures have been clearly identified.

**Impact Codes**: Use an impact code from the following list to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- (4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
LAND DEVELOPMEN	Т	
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design		The project would rehabilitate, reconstruct, replace, elevate existing homes (including slum or blight) to homes meeting current local plans and zoning ordinances. This will restore habitable housing to neighborhoods impacted by Hurricanes Matthew and Florence. Housing will remain in existing residential-use areas. Acquisition / buyout will be limited to properties where floodplains and floodways have resulted in repetitive flood loss, such that the land is no longer considered to be compatible with the existing residential development and the conversion to greenspace aligns with land use plans. The programs would not increase the number of homes existing from before the storm, therefore it would not have an urbanizing effect on rural zones. Contractors will obtain appropriate permits and will comply with City and County zoning ordinances as applicable.  Project activities will involve existing residential structures and will conform to local zoning bylaws, ensuring compatibility in setbacks and scale with adjacent buildings. All rehabilitation and reconstruction of structures located in, or contributing to, existing or eligible historic districts, or which are deemed individually eligible, will be designed and constructed in a manner that maintains the historic integrity of the

		structure or district, including obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness, when required.
Soil Suitability/ Slope/ Erosion/ Drainage/ Storm Water Runoff	2	Soil Suitability: Any problems involving unsuitable soils on the proposed work sites were dealt with when the homes, to be renovated or rebuilt, were originally constructed. Therefore, unsuitable soils are not expected to cause problems for the proposed project. If unsuitable soils have caused structural problems for any of the existing or previous homes on the project sites, this would generally be addressed during the local permitting process. Soils will be adequately prepared for construction activity.
		Slope: The proposed project activities are not anticipated to have significantly alter the slope of any project site. Minor adjustment to soil slope may result for properties requiring soil amendment or preparation for stilts and associated footings. However, impacts to slope within a localized area on a property would be considered negligible.
		Erosion: The proposed activities will occur in substantially within the same footprint or on previously developed lots, would not involve placement of significant amounts of fill or creation of significant expanses of bare soil, and therefore, would have little potential to cause significant erosion. For project sites located in close proximity to wetlands, best management practices will be implemented to protect the wetlands from sedimentation caused by erosion. Proximity of wetlands would be determined on a site-specific basis.
		<u>Drainage / Storm Water Runoff:</u> Reconstruction, replacement and rehabilitation of existing single-family residential structures will not significantly alter the structure's footprint and should have no significant impact on the direction or volume of storm water runoff or storm water collection systems. All sites will be evaluated for the need to comply with storm water permitting requirements, general permitting requirements, or local Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) permits. If multiple adjacent sites are worked on, the sites will be aggregated for the purposes of construction storm water compliance.
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Noise	1	Construction activities may result in temporary sidewalk closures, fugitive dust and noise, which would be addressed under existing regulations governing construction activities in South Carolina, Horry County, and local municipalities. Each site will be assessed during the site-specific review to determine if the site is impacted by hazards, nuisances or threats to the safety of future residents of the property. If a site is determined to be impacted by nuisances, site safety issues or

		hazardous materials; these items are required to be sufficiently mitigated prior to the project being implemented in order to minimize the risks residents, construction workers and the public.  Contractors will be required to comply with the applicable local/county noise ordinances. Construction noise impacts will be mitigated by restricting construction activities to daylight hours.	
Energy Consumption	1	Energy consumption would occur via the use of construction equipment and the shipment of materials required for the proposed projects.  Current municipal and county energy networks are sufficient to accommodate the demand, which is intended to restore residential levels to those existing prior to the disaster. However, the program would not expand the housing stock relative to conditions prior to Hurricanes Matthew & Florence, potentially resulting in an increase in long-term energy consumption, and may even reduce long-term energy consumption as rehabilitated and reconstructed homes would be more energy efficient as a result of the program due to incorporation of energy efficient building materials and practices.	
SOCIOECONOMIC			
Employment and Income Patterns	1	The proposed project would support positive employment and income patterns. In the short term, program construction activities will add temporary construction jobs to the local economy. In the long-term employment and income patterns are expected to return to pre-disaster levels as residents that are currently displaced, are able to return to their communities, restoring their ability to work at their previous employment.	
Demographic Character Changes, Displacement	2	The proposed project activities will not alter the demographic character of the area. The occupants of disaster damaged properties will be the same occupants that resided in the area prior to disaster. While relocation of replacement MHUs to new locations has the potential to alter demographics, the impacts are anticipated to be negligible as relocations would occur within the same community. No significant impacts would occur to the demographic character of the affected counties.	
COMMUNITY FACILITY	COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES		
Educational and Cultural Facilities	2	The proposed project activities would not result in adverse effects on the public schools or cultural facilities in South Carolina. In many cases, the families displaced by Hurricanes Matthew and Florence, would be able to return to their homes and also to their local school and cultural	

		facilities, as a result of program activities, resulting in a return to pre- disaster norms.
Commercial Facilities	1	The proposed project activities would not result in a significant direct impact on existing commercial establishments; however, returning residents would frequent commercial establishments in the neighborhood. This would be an economic benefit to all local businesses that experienced a loss in revenue since the disaster event.
Health Care and Social Services	2	The proposed project activities would not result in a significant increase in demands on social services or the health care system. The health care system load will be similar to pre-storm conditions as new residences are not being added, only existing structures are being repaired. No additional demand for health care or social services will be created by restoring housing that was previously existing in the community.
Solid Waste Disposal / Recycling	3	In the short term, project activities will generate increased quantities of solid waste from residential demolition, construction, and repair. Program contractors will be required to properly segregate and remove hazardous materials (e.g., lead-based paint and asbestos containing materials) from the property, to have dumpsters on site, and to dispose of all waste material in permitted landfill facilities, in accordance with all city, county, state and federal codes.
Wastewater / Sanitary Sewers	2	Wastewater should not be generated as a result of project activities. The reconstruction, replacement or rehabilitation of single-family residential properties would not result in increased demand on wastewater disposal/treatment services. No significant impact would occur as a result of reconstructing the residences, as the housing stock would not be increased beyond pre disaster conditions.
Water Supply	1	The proposed project will not expand the housing stock from that existing before Hurricanes Matthew and Florence. Therefore, there will not be an increased demand on potable drinking water supplies in the served communities. In rural environments individual applicants may have the option to connect to a municipal water source or to a private well on their property, but SCDRO anticipates that most program applicants will utilize the same water supply available to them before the storm. Reconstructed and rehabilitated homes may be fitted with water conserving fixtures and will likely consume less water than they consumed prior to the disaster.
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	1	The proposed activities will help displaced residents return to their neighborhoods, stabilizing those neighborhoods and helping restore public safety. The proposed projects will serve to will rehabilitate,

		replace and mitigate damaged homes. Unrepaired and abandoned buildings pose increased safety and fire risks, and the program would assist in removing these potential hazards. The program would not expand the housing stock relative to conditions prior to Hurricanes Matthew and Florence and therefore would not increase demand for public safety services. Upon returning home, residents living at these properties will be within the same effective distance from emergency response as they were before the disaster.
Parks, Open Space and Recreation	2	Proposed project activities will repair, reconstruct or replace storm damaged residential structures that existed prior to the disaster, allowing displaced residents to return home and continue accessing existing open community spaces, parks and recreational facilities, potentially returning the use of these facilities to pre-storm levels. The project will not create an increased demand on these resources.
Transportation and Accessibility	2	The proposed project activities will not significantly impact traffic patterns or place a significant demand on transportation systems in the area. Population density is not expected to increase from pre-disaster levels, since proposed activities will not expand the housing stock that existing before Hurricanes Matthew and Florence and traffic volume and patterns are expected to revert to pre-storm levels. There will be a short-term increase in traffic activity due to construction-related activities, but these will be scattered throughout affected communities and are not expected to be significant. Accessibility at individual homes will be achieved through site and building improvements to comply with documented resident needs per the Americans with Disabilities Act.
NATURAL FEATURES	<u> </u>	
Unique Natural Features, Water Resources	2	HUD defines unique natural features as "primarily geological features which are unique in the sense that their occurrence is infrequent, or they are of special social, cultural, economic, educational, aesthetic or scientific value. Development on or near those features may render them inaccessible to investigators or visitors, or otherwise limit potential future use and appreciation of these resources. Examples of unique natural features include: sand dunes, waterfalls, unique rock outcroppings, caves, canyons, and petrified forests." The proposed project activities involve restoring privately owned, single-family housing of similar size and setback as the pre-disaster buildings; therefore, no negative impacts to unique natural features are expected.  The project activities involve the rehabilitation, elevation or replacement of residential buildings and pose very low risk to ground water or other water resources. Through site-specific reviews, each project's potential

Other Factors	in addition to providing increased floodplain capacity.  None.
Toperation, What	or reconstruction in the same location as the previous storm-damaged residence; therefore, no effect to vegetation or wildlife from these projects is anticipated. Where appropriate, the program may also acquire and convert storm-damaged properties in the 100-year floodplain, to greenspace in perpetuity. The return of the properties to natural space would have beneficial impacts to vegetation and wildlife,
Vegetation, Wildlife	to affect water resources will be identified, assessed and mitigated where warranted. Grant conditions will require the applicant and the contractors to meet all identified mitigation actions and applicable regulations for Clean Water Act, Wetlands Protection, Coastal Zone Management, and Floodplain Management. BMPs will be implemented at construction sites to control runoff and erosion and prevent potential ground or surface water pollution. Grant conditions will also require the applicant and the contractors to meet all City, state and federal construction regulations to control possible pollution runoff and erosion.  Most proposed project activities will involve rehabilitation, replacement,

**Additional Studies Performed:** No additional studies were performed as part of this Tier I Re-evaluation of the Findings of Environmental Impacts.

**Field Inspection** (Date and completed by): Field inspections will be conducted at the site-specific level as individual project locations are identified and documented within the Tier II Site-Specific Environmental Review.

#### List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

- 1. Federal Aviation Administration. National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems. Internet Website: http://www.faa.gov/airports/planning\_capacity/npias/
- 2. Federal Aviation Administration. Report to Congress National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems. http://www.faa.gov/airports/planning\_capacity/npias/reports/media/2013/npias2013Narrative.pdf
- United States Census Bureau. American Fact Finder. Internet Website: http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml?refresh=t
- 4. United States Department of Agriculture. Natural Resources Conservation Service. Internet Website: http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx
- 5. United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Community Development Block Grant Program – CDBG. Internet Website: http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program\_offices/comm\_planning/communitydevelopment/programs

- 6. United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Noise Abatement and Control. Internet Website:
  - http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program\_offices/comm\_planning/environment/review/no ise
- 7. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Designations for fine particulates. Internet Website: http://www.epa.gov/pmdesignations/2006standards/final/region2.htm
- 8. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Nonattainment Areas. Internet Website: http://www.epa.gov/oaqps001/greenbk/ancl.html
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. National Wetlands Inventory. Internet Website: http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Wetlands-Mapper.html
   https://www.fws.gov/ecologicalservices/habitat-conservation/cbra/Maps/index.html
- 10. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Designations for Sole Source Aquifers https://archive.epa.gov/pesticides/region4/water/groundwater/web/html/r4ssa.html
- 11. South Carolina Department of Natural Resources Flood Mitigation Program Internet Website: http://www.dnr.sc.gov
- 12. South Carolina List of At-Risk, Candidate, Endangered, and Threatened Species Internet Website: https://www.dnr.sc.gov/species/index.html.
- 13. South Carolina Ecological Services Field Office Department of the Interior U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Internet Website: https://www.fws.gov/charleston/EndangeredSpecies\_County.html www.fws.gov/charleston/ EPA Region IV Sole Source, Internet Website: epa.gov/pesticides/region4/water/groundwater/web/html/r4ssa.ht
- 14. South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Internet Website: http://www.scdhec.gov http://www.scdhec.gov/Agency/RegulationsAndUpdates/LawsAndRegulations/Air/

#### **List of Permits Obtained:**

All necessary permits will be obtained at the site-specific level.

#### **Public Outreach** [24 CFR 50.23 & 58.43]:

Agency Correspondence Letters, Sent October 11, 2016

Early Notice and Public Review of a Proposed Activity in a 100-Year Floodplain, published on March 29, 2017 Final Notice and Public Explanation of a Proposed Activity in a 100-year Floodplain, published on June 28, 2017 Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds, published on December 6, 2016

#### **Cumulative Impact Analysis** [24 CFR 58.32]:

The federal Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) regulations implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), set forth at 40 CFR Part 1508.7, require federal agencies to

consider the environmental consequences of their actions, including not only direct and indirect effects, but also cumulative effects. Cumulative impacts result from the incremental consequences of an action (the Proposed Action) when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions (40 CFR 1508.7).

The cumulative effects of an action may be undetectable when viewed in the individual context of direct and even indirect impacts, but nevertheless can eventually lead to a measurable environmental change. Based upon the completion of this re-evaluation of the previous environmental assessment, environmental review of the proposed project confirms that there will be no significant changes to the existing environmental conditions across the resource categories reviewed by. The proposed project is to repair/replace homes on existing residential lots. The proposed project would have no impacts on air quality, endangered species, community noise levels, coastal barriers, sole source aquifers, wild & scenic rivers, slope, soil suitability, energy consumption, community facilities and services, transportation, and unique natural features.

The project would result in beneficial impacts to Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design; Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Noise; Energy Consumption; Employment and Income Patterns; Commercial Facilities; Water Supply; Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical; Vegetation, Wildlife.

#### **Alternatives** [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

The following practicable alternatives to the proposed project, were identified and evaluated by SCDRO:

I. Not implementing the proposed action in the 100-year floodplain.

Not implementing the proposed action within the 100-year floodplain would significantly inhibit the program's rehabilitation and reconstruction activities given that structures in the 100-year floodplain are significantly more likely to experience flood damage, in addition to preventing the program from addressing the housing needs of the most vulnerable and disproportionally impacted residents of South Carolina, particularly low - to moderate - income households still suffering from hurricane - related losses. Most of these residents would continue to live in the SFHA, in damaged, unsafe, and unsanitary housing. These residents would be at greater risk during future flood events, particularly if the homes do not meet current elevation requirements. Not implementing the proposed action would also prevent the program from expanding natural floodplain areas and reducing flood risks to these communities through the acquisition of damaged properties for the purpose of converting them to greenspace in perpetuity.

II. Commissioning infrastructure projects to achieve community-wide flood protection

The SCDRO also considered the alternative of commissioning flood control infrastructure projects to achieve community-wide flood protection. While these types of projects are still being considered, the SCDRO recognizes that it may take many years to study, design and implementation such projects which does not accomplish the Program's goal, and federal register directive, to primarily consider and meet the unmet housing recovery needs of these communities. Additionally, infrastructure projects can be cost-prohibitive, and typically offer only limited flood protection to a finite area and number of structures, making this an ineffective approach to flood protection given the number of projects and locations on scattered sites across an eight-county area.

III. Exclusively implement acquisition of damaged structures in the 100-year floodplain for demolition and conversion to green space.

In circumstances such as repetitive flood properties and structures in the floodway, acquiring damaged structures in the 100-year floodplain for the purpose of demolishing and converting the property to greenspace in perpetuity, is a highly desirable outcome from a perspective of flood risk reduction, by moving people and structures out of harm's way. Additionally, when multiple contiguous properties are converted to green space, there are several beneficial impacts including: restoring the natural value and storage capacity of the floodplain. However, to only acquire properties for greenspace conversion to the exclusion of repair, reconstruction and replacement would further reduce the availability and affordability of housing stock for the most vulnerable populations within the community. Additionally, most of these residents would continue to live in the SFHA, in damaged, unsafe, and unsanitary housing. These residents would be at greater risk during future flood events, particularly if the homes do not meet current elevation requirements. The program has opted to include this alternative within its project activities, as a means of offering assistance for properties that might otherwise not be eligible for assistance while simultaneously reducing future flood risk.

IV. Relocating all projects outside of the 100-year floodplain.

Relocating projects outside of the 100-year floodplain is another highly desirable outcome from a perspective of flood risk reduction, by moving people and structures out of harm's way. However, acquiring land for the purpose of development and relocating housing outside of the 100-year floodplain, is exorbitantly expensive and would vastly increase the cost of each individual housing project, thereby drastically reducing the number of projects the program could potentially fund. Additionally, SCDRO has implemented multiple disaster recovery housing programs in recent years, and repeatedly witnessed the preference of most residents to repair or rebuild their home in its current location. For these residents, relocating outside of the floodplain would negatively affect their proximity to their current employment and social network, including schools, churches, local services, neighbors, and family/relatives. SCDRO does acknowledge however, that less commonly, due to circumstances beyond the applicant's control, an applicant may be unable to receive a replacement manufactured home in the same location as their damage home. Therefore, SCDRO has opted to allow the implementation of this alternative in very limited circumstances, to be considered on a case-by-case basis.

V. Implementing the proposed action in the 100-year floodplain with hazard mitigation requirements.

The SCDRO Single-Family Housing Program is proposing to repair, reconstruct, or replace single-family housing, some of which may be located in the 100-year floodplain. Under limited circumstances, the Program will acquire damaged single-family residential properties in the 100-year floodplain in accordance with program guidelines, which will then be demolished and converted to greenspace in perpetuity or allow replacement manufactured homes to be replaced outside of the 100-year floodplain. In addition to requiring all rehabilitation projects to comply with the current HUD Housing Quality Standards (HQS), South Carolina will implement construction methods that emphasize quality, durability, energy efficiency, sustainability, and mold resistance. All rehabilitation, reconstruction, and new construction will be designed to incorporate principles of sustainability, including water and energy efficiency, resilience, and mitigation against the impact of future disasters. The following hazard mitigation measures will be incorporated to all projects, as applicable:

- South Carolina will implement resilient home construction standards. South Carolina will follow HUD guidance to ensure all structures, defined at 44 CFR 59.1, designed principally for residential use and located in the 1-percent annual (or 100-year) floodplain that receive assistance for new construction, repair of substantial damage, or substantial improvement, as defined at 24 CFR 55.2(b)(10), must be elevated with the lowest floor, including the basement, at least two feet above the 1-percent annual floodplain elevation. Residential structures with no dwelling units and no residents below two feet above the 1-percent annual floodplain, must be elevated or flood proofed, in accordance with FEMA flood proofing standards at 44 CFR 60.3(c)(3)(ii) or successor standard, up to at least two feet above the 1-percent annual floodplain.
- The owners of all properties in the 100-year floodplain, will be required to obtain and maintain flood insurance and informed of the requirement to notify prospective future owners of the requirement to maintain flood insurance regardless of the transfer of ownership. This requirement is mandated to protect safety of residents and their property and the investment of federal dollars.

Additionally, SCDRO will implement resilient practices to ensure the viability, durability and accessibility of replacement mobile homes.

- Although some local building codes allow installation of Wind Zone I rated mobile homes, SCDRO will
  only utilize mobile homes with a minimum wind rating of HUD Wind Zone II or higher (able to
  withstand winds up to 100 MPH).
- SCDRO will adopt the 5'7" rule, prohibiting the installation of mobile homes elevated 5'7" above grade without appropriate structural reinforcement.

#### No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]:

Not implementing the proposed action within the 100-year floodplain would significantly inhibit the program's rehabilitation and reconstruction activities given that structures in the 100-year floodplain are significantly more likely to experience flood damage, in addition to preventing the program from addressing the housing needs of the most vulnerable and disproportionally impacted residents of South Carolina, particularly low- to moderate-income households still suffering from hurricane-related losses. Most of these residents would continue to live in the SFHA, in damaged, unsafe, and unsanitary housing. These residents would be at greater risk during future flood events, particularly if the homes do not meet current elevation requirements. Not implementing the proposed action would also prevent the program from expanding natural floodplain areas and reducing flood risks to these communities through the acquisition of damaged properties for the purpose of converting them to greenspace in perpetuity.

#### **Summary of Findings and Conclusions:**

Under this re-evaluation of the environmental assessment of the SCDRO Single-Family Housing Program, no significant changes to existing environmental conditions will result in relation to the following impact categories implemented by HUD in response to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969:

- Clean Air
- Endangered Species
- Farmlands Protection
- Noise Abatement and Control
- Sole Source Aquifers
- Wild and Scenic Rivers

The following subject areas require Site-Specific analysis before the environmental review can be concluded as causing no significant impacts to the environment:

- Airports Hazards
- Coastal Barrier Resources
- Coastal Zone Management
- Flood Insurance
- Contamination and Toxic Substances
- Explosive and Flammable Hazards
- Floodplain Management
- Historic Preservation
- Wetlands Protection
- Environmental Justice

The Tier 2 Site-Specific Review Checklist must be completed prior to any construction activities occurring on a particular site.

## Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]

Summarize below all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid, or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements, and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

#### **GENERAL ENVIRONMENTAL GRANT CONDITIONS**

All changes to the scope of work of a proposed activity, must be revised and resubmitted for reevaluation under NEPA (24 CFR 58.47).

Acquire all required federal, state and local permits prior to commencement of construction and comply with all permit conditions.

#### HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Ensure that the work does not diminish the historic integrity of any local historic district or historic property.

#### FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT AND FLOOD INSURANCE

All proposed reconstruction, manufactured housing replacement, substantial improvements, and elevation activities in the 100-year floodplain must adhere to the minimum standard of Base Flood Elevation plus 2 feet or the local floodplain requirements, whichever is more restrictive.

All residences in, or partially in, the 100-year floodplain shown on the current effective FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map must be covered by flood insurance and the flood insurance must be maintained per program guidelines.

Applications approved to build within the "Coastal High Hazard" areas ("V" or "VE" Zones shown on the current effective FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map) must adhere to construction standards, methods, and techniques requiring a registered professional engineer to either develop, review, or approve, per the associated location, specific Applicant elevation plans that demonstrate the design meets the current standards for V zones in FEMA regulation 44 CFR 60.3(e) as required by HUD Regulation 24 CFR 55.1(c)(3).

#### **WETLANDS / WATER QUALITY**

Implement and maintain erosion and sedimentation control measures sufficient to prevent deposition of sediment and eroded soil in onsite and offsite wetlands and waters. This includes buffering and filtering runoff water and using BMPs to control nonpoint source runoff.

Soil compaction will be minimized by controlling project activities in vegetated areas, including lawns.

Protect existing drain inlets from debris, soil and sedimentation.

Protect stream, wetlands, woods and other natural areas from any unnecessary construction activities or disturbance.

#### **NOISE**

Outfit all heavy equipment with operating mufflers.

Comply with the applicable local noise ordinance.

#### **AIR QUALITY**

Utilize alternatively fueled equipment when possible

Utilize emission controls applicable to the equipment

Reduce idling time on construction equipment

Minimize dust emissions through good operating practices

Retrofit, repower, or replace older and more polluting diesel construction equipment in order to satisfy clean air construction requirements, as necessary.

Use of energy-efficient doors, water heaters and HVAC units, as well as the incorporation of weatherization measures to the extent practicable.

Require an asbestos survey and project license as may be required prior to any demolition activities such as deconstruction of a building or removal of structures in the right-of-way of a road project.

#### **HAZARDOUS MATERIALS**

Except where exempted, all activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding asbestos, including but not limited to the following:

- Regulation 61-86.1, Standards of Performance for Asbestos Projects,
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Asbestos Standard,
- 1926.1101 and National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) Asbestos.
- Applicant must comply with all laws and regulations concerning the proper handling, removal and disposal of hazardous materials (e.g. asbestos, lead - based paint) or household waste (e.g., construction and demolition debris, pesticides/herbicides, white goods).

All activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding lead-based paint, including but not limited to:

- EPA's Repair, Renovation, and Painting (RRP) Rule (40 CFR 745.80(e));
- HUD's lead-based paint regulations in 24 CFR 35(a)(b)(h)(j)(r);
- HUD's "Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing".

Project rehabilitation and new construction shall apply appropriate materials and construction techniques to prevent radon gas contamination (https://www.epa.gov/radon/radon-resources-builders-and-contractors).

Upon completion all rehabilitated residential dwellings must be free of mold attributable to the disaster event.

Comply with all laws, regulations, and industry standards applicable to aboveground and underground storage tanks.

Storage tanks installed below the base flood elevation must be watertight and must be anchored to resist floatation and lateral movement during a storm surge or other flood.

#### **COASTAL ZONE**

Septic tank repair or replacement in a coastal zone will be situated as safe distance from the shoreline to ensure proper drainage and filtering of tank effluents before they reach the water's edge with special attention given in identified erosion areas.

#### **NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS**

Contractors are required to "take care to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on the rivers identified in the Nationwide Inventory" when present in the vicinity of construction activities.

Re-E	valuation Determination:				
$\boxtimes$	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.27]				
	The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.				
	Finding of Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(2); 40 CFR 1508.27]				
	The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.				
Preparer Signature:					
	nsible Entity's Certifying Officer:				
Certif	ying Officer Signature: En S. For Date: 01/26/2021				
Eric F	osmire, Legal Director, South Carolina Office of Resilience, Disaster Recovery Office				

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

# Request for Release of Funds

From: Fosmire, Eric < Eric.Fosmire@admin.sc.gov>

Sent: Friday, February 12, 2021 4:25 PM

To: Brad Evatt (bradley.s.evatt@hud.gov); Gagne, Aaron B

Cc: Karyn Desselle

Subject: South Carolina CDBG-DR, B-19-DV-45-0001/B-19-DV-45-0002, Hurricane Florence; RROF

Attachments: HF2018.RROF.DillonFlorenceGeorgetownHorryMarion.12Feb2021.signed.pdf

#### Brad and Aaron,

Attached please find the RROF certifications for Dillon, Florence, Georgetown, Horry, and Marion counties in the above referenced grants. The comment period has expired and the one comment was acknowledged and requires no further action. Please advise should you have any questions. Best Regards, Eric

#### Eric G. Fosmire

Legal Director | Disaster Recovery Office The South Carolina Office of Resilience 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201 803-822-9580



South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office

Office of Resilience
Benjamin I. Duncan II, Program Director
632 Rosewood Drive
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
803.896.4068
803.771.2887 Fax

Date: February 12, 2021

To: Mr. Bradley S. Evatt, Director

Community Planning and Development Disaster Recovery and Special Issues Division Strom Thurmond Federal Building

1835 Assembly Street - 13th Floor Columbia, SC 29201-2480

Re: Request for Release of Funds to the South Carolina Office of Resilience, Disaster Recovery

Office (SCDRO) for Dillon, Florence, Georgetown, Horry, and Marion Counties under HUD

CDBG-DR Grants B-19-DV-45-0001 / B-19-DV-45-0002

Dear Mr. Evatt:

Enclosed please find the Requests for Release of Funds (RROF) for Dillon, Florence, Georgetown, Horry, and Marion Counties in support of Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program activities to be funded under HUD CDBG-DR Grants B-19-DV-45-0001 / B-19-DV-45-0002. I believe that you will find the supporting documentation to be in order. Please let me know if you have any questions or require additional information. With best regards,

Sincerely,

Eric Fosmire

Legal Director, South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office

The South Carolina Office of Resilience 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201

CC: Benjamin I. Duncan II, SCDRO

Enclosures:

Dillon County RROF Certification Package Florence County RROF Certification Package Georgetown County RROF Certification Package Horry County RROF Certification Package Marion County RROF Certification Package

## Horry County

RROF form 7015.15

Delegation of Environmental Certifying Officer Authority
FONSI, NOI/RROF Notice Legal Affidavit (English & Spanish)
FONSI, NOI/RROF Notice Text (English)
FONSI, NOI/RROF Notice Text (Spanish)
Agency Distribution List & Record of Comments

## Request for Release of Funds and Certification

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Community Planning and Development OMB No. 2506-0087 (exp. 08/31/2023)

This form is to be used by Responsible Entities and Recipients (as defined in 24 CFR 58.2) when requesting the release of funds, and requesting the authority to use such funds, for HUD programs identified by statutes that provide for the assumption of the environmental review responsibility by units of general local government and States. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 36 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

	2. HUD/State Identification Number	3. Recipient Identification Number
Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery	B-19-DV-45-0001 / B-19-DV-45-0002	(optional)
4. OMB Catalog Number(s)	5. Name and address of responsible	entity
14.228		**
5. For information about this request, contact (name & phone number)	Enc Fosmire, Legal Director, SCDRO 632 Rossmood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201	
Eric Fosmire (803)822-9580	Enc Forming godmin of gov (803)832-9580	1
8. HUD or State Agency and office unit to receive request	7. Name and address of recipient (if d	ifferent than responsible entity)
Breitley S. Excit, Circotor Controllarly Proming and Development Disaster Recovery and Special Issues Division Sistem Thislands Federal Building 1836 Ausembly Sheet - 13th Floor Columbia, 3C 29201-2480		
The recipient(s) of assistance under the program(s) listed above grant conditions governing the use of the assistance for the follo		removal of environmental
9. Program Activity(ies)/Project Name(s)	10. Location (Street address, city, cou	inty, State)
Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program, Horry County	Scattered Locations throughout Horry County, South Carolina	
11. Program Activity/Project Description		
Hurricene Florence resulted in substantial damages throughout Horry County, South C Block Grant-Disaster Recovery (CDBC-DR) to implement the Hurricane Florence Sing single-family repair/rehabilitation, replacement, reconstruction, MHU relocation & acquit	de-Family Housing Program in Honry County, F Isklonibuyout on scattared sites through out the y disturbed parcel, to HQS and current code an If and as required by NFIP and program guide	ropose project activities include a county. Rehabilitation will involve repairin d standards. All activities will be limited to
an existing stick-built, single-family structure (rental or owner-occupied) on a previously the existing footprint of an extant structure and essociated utilities, as well as elevation demolishing/removing an existing MHU and placing a new MHU in the same location, velevated and/or installed with appropriate structural reinforcement, as required by NFIP existing stick-built, single-family structure in the same location, within the disturbed are if and as required by NFIP and program guidelines. Acquisition/Buyout will involve dam have been secured, the damaged structure(s) will be demolished, and the site will be direstrictive coverant will be placed on the property.	P and program guidelines. Reconstruction will in a of the parcel associated with the damaged st naged single-family properties within the 100-ye	emaged structure. The new MHU will be nvolve demolition and reconstruction of an nucture. The new structure will be elswife as floodplain. Once acquired and all utilitie

Previous editions are obsolete

form HUD-7015.15 (1/99)

With reference to the above Program Activity(les	/Project(s), I, the undersigned officer of the responsible entity, certify that:				
The responsible entity has fully carried out its responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action pertaining to the project(s) named above.					
The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with, the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the environmental procedures, permit requirements and statutory obligations of the laws cited in 24 CFR 58.5; and also agrees to comply with the authorities in 24 CFR 58.6 and applicable State and local laws.					
<ol> <li>The responsible entity has assumed responsibilit Historic Preservation Act, and its implementing</li> </ol>	taws.  The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, and its implementing regulations 36 CFR 800, including consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations, and the public.				
	After considering the type and degree of environmental effects identified by the environmental review completed for the proposed project described in Part 1 of this request, I have found that the proposal did did not require the preparation and				
5. The responsible entity has disseminated and/or p	The responsible entity has disseminated and/or published in the manner prescribed by 24 CFR 58.43 and 58.55 a notice to the public in accordance with 24 CFR 58.70 and as evidenced by the attached copy (copies) or evidence of posting and mailing procedure.  The dates for all statutory and regulatory time periods for review, comment or other action are in compliance with procedures and requirements of 24 CFR Part 58.				
In accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b), the responsible entity will advise the recipient (if different from the responsible entity) of any special environmental conditions that must be adhered to in carrying out the project.					
As the duly designated certifying official of the resp	onsible entity, I also certify that:				
and each provision of law designated in the 24 (	status of Federal official under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 FR 58.5 list of NEPA-related authorities insofar as the provisions of these laws ntal review, decision-making and action that have been assumed by the responsible				
: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	e recipient personally, the jurisdiction of the Federal courts for the enforcement tifying officer of the responsible entity				
Signature of Certifying Officer of the Responsible Entity	Title of Certifying Officer				
	Eric Fosmire, Legal Director, South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office				
Ein A. tanum	Date signed				
x	02/12/2021				
Address of Certifying Officer					
Eric Fosmire, Legal Director, SCDRO Eric.Fosmire@admin.sc.gov (803)822	632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201 9580				
Part 3. To be completed when the Recipient is no	t the Responsible Entity				
The recipient requests the release of funds for the procedures and requirements of the environments of the	ograms and activities identified in Part 1 and agrees to abide by the special ronmental review and to advise the responsible entity of any proposed change in ntal conditions in accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b).				
Signature of Authorized Officer of the Recipient	Title of Authorized Officer				

Warning: HUD will prosecute felse claims and statements. Conviction may result in criminal and/or civil penalties. (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012, 31 U.S.C. 3729, 3802)

Date signed

Previous editions are obsolete

form HUD-7015.15 (1/99)

## Horry County: Delegation of Environmental Certifying Officer Authority

Horry County: Delegation of Environmental Certifying Officer Authority



## HENRY MCMASTER

To: All Interested U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Parties:

From: Governor Henry Dargan McMaster

Re: Delegation of Environmental Certifying Officer Authority for HUD Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR), HUD Community Development Block Grant - Mitigation (CDBG-MIT), and other HUD Disaster Recovery Environmental Documents

Date: 7 January 2021

Benjamin I Duncan, II, Program Management Director of the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) of the South Carolina Office of Resilience, is designated as the Environmental Certifying Officer for South Carolina's CDBG-DR, CDBG-MIT, and any other HUD disaster recovery program. In addition, due to the volume of the environmental documents that require a signature by the Certifying Officer for the State of South Carolina's CDBG-DR, CDBG-MIT, or other HUD disaster recovery programs, I also designate Eric G. Fosmire, SCDRO Legal Director, as a second certifying officer. Director Duncan and Mr. Fosmire may sign any environmental review record associated with HUD CDBG-DR grant numbers B-16-DH-45-0001, B-16-DL-45-0001, B-19-DV-45-002, and HUD CDBG-MIT grant number B-18-DP-06-0002. Director Duncan and Mr. Fosmire may also serve as Certifying Officers for environmental review records for any future HUD CDBG-DR, CDBG-MIT, or other HUD disaster recovery grants the State of South Carolina may receive. Mr. Fosmire will represent the responsible entity and will be subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal courts as specified in 24 C.F.R Part 58, Section 58.13.

Responsible Entity, Representative's Information/Certification:

Responsible Entity, representative's name, title, and organization (printed or typed):

Hon. Henry Dargan McMaster, Governor, South Carolina

Signature

STATE HOUSE \* 1100 GERVAIS STREET \* COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201 \* TELEPHONE: 803-734-2100

## Horry County: FONSI, NOI/RROF Notice Legal Affidavit (English & Spanish)

## AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Account#	Ad Number	Identification
777251	0004860016	Combined Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent

#### Attention:

HORNE LLP 632 ROSEWOOD DRIVE COLUMBIA, SC 29201

COMBINEO FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT AND NOTICE OF RITENT TO REQUEST RELEASE OF FUNDS HORRY COUNTY

South Carolina Office of Resilience, Diseater Recovery Office (SODRO)

Rosewood Drive nizia, South Carolina 29201

Those notices shall satisfy two separate but related procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the South Carotha Office of Resilience, Dissater Recovery Office (SCDRO). The proposed satisfate will assist Horry County residents attacked by Hurricano Florence, a Presidents attacked by Surface of Dissate.

#### REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

Chi or about January 27, 2021, the SCDRO will submit a request to the Department of Hausting and Urban Development (HUD) for the tobase of Community Development (HUD) for the tobase of Community Development (HuD) for the tobase of Community Development (Huck Grant, Dissater Receivery (CDBG-DR) funds under Title 1 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 831 of seq.), as amended, and expectated by the Supplemental Appropriations for Classiter Rotol Act, 2018 (Pub. 1. 115–254) and the Additional Supplemental Appropriations for Classiter Rotol Act, 2018 (Pub. 1. 115–254) and the Additional Supplemental Appropriations for Classiter Rotol Act, 2019 (Pub. 1. 115–254) and the Additional Engineering Rotol Francis (HUD) CDBG-DR Grants B-19-0V-48-0001 / B-19-0V-48-0029) for Hub purpose of repair / rehabilitional, recensively.

#### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The SCDRO has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human or reducid environment. This refere, an Environmental larguet Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1988 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Review Record (ERP) on the at the Scotti Carolina Disaster Recovery Office, located at 532 Recovered Prive, Columbia, 3C 32201 or colline at hipperstarming.gov/SCDROHUDdocs and may be examined or copied weekdays between 9.00 A.M. and 5:50 P.M.

The proposed project will provide urgently needed sale and sanitary housing to recidents impacted by Hurticane Pistence, a Presidentially bactered Disector. Therefore, as provided by technical regulations in 24 CFR 85.33(5), SCORO its publishing the combined Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Inter to Independ Release of Funds simultaneously with the submission of the RPOP to HUD. Any individual, group, or agency insy submit within continents out nices policies or the RRI to the South Cardina Office of Resilience, Disease Recovery Office (SCOPRO). Commends may be submitted to the intention of the Recovery Office (SCOPRO). Commends may be submitted to the intention of English Posteria, Legal Disease at SCORO, 632 Recovered Drive. Calumbia, SCO 28201, or by email at exclassified admits.es.pov. All comments received by February 11, 2021 will be considered by the SCORO pilor to autiliteding abundanced and account of the Proposition of a request for release of lunds. Comments should specify which Natios they are addressing.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION

The South Carolina Office of Restitence, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) conflos to HUD that Eric Feening, in the capsoity as Legal Director at SCORO, consents to se-cept the jurisdiction of the Federal Courts II an action is brought to enforce expansibili-ties in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the centraction satisfies its responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the centraction satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and rested these and authorities and allows the South Carolina Office of Resti tence, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) to use Program funds.

The preposed project will provide regently related sale and sanitary housing to sed-donls impacted by Hurricane Florance, a Presidentisty Devisived Bisseler. Therefore, as as provided by Hurricane Florance, a Presidentisty Devisived Bisseler. Therefore, as as provided by Related requisitions at 24 CFF 15.9.3(b), SCDRO to subtlishing the com-bined Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Fingunst Related of Finds similarizations with the submission of the PROF to HUD. HUD will accept objections to its related to the submission of the PROF to Find the reposition of the related to the submission date or its actual recept of the request felicities is lated only if they are on one of the tothoring bases; (a) the certification was not exe-cuted by the Certifying Officer of the SCDROF (b) the SCDRO has contided a stop or failed to make a declasion or finding recurred by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 80 the organt recipion or other participants in the development process have committed funds, incurred costs or undertaken activities and undertand by 24 CFR Part 88 before approved or a release of Lords by HUD; or (d) another Forderd agancy corping pursuent approval of a release of lunds by HUD; or (d) another Foderal agency acong pureuar

I, Michelle Long, makes oath that the advertisment, was published in The Sun News, a newspaper published in Myrtle Beach, State and County aforesaid, in the issue(s) of

State of South Carolina

**County of Horry** 

1 Insertions

Published On:

January 27, 2021

Michelle Long Inside Classified Accounts Representative

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 27th day of January in the year of 2021

ing LRobbi Arny L. Robyins Notary Public My Commission Expires:

November 27, 2022

## Horry County: FONSI, NOI/RROF Notice Legal Affidavit (English & Spanish)

to 4D CFH Part 1504 his submitted a written finding that the graped is unsafts/actiony from the steedpoint of environmental quelity. Objections must be proposed and exbriding in accordance with the required precedures (24 CFR Part 58, Box. 56,70) and shall be addressed to Aaron 8, Oligoni, Cteaster Recovery and Special flature Division, OFD, HUD: ISS Assembly Street, 13th Floor, Columbia, 50 2/2011-4500 or viol most location Biological State (15 CFR) and the Street State (15 CFR) and the State (15 C

Certifying Officer: Eric Fosmire, Legal Director, South Carolina Office of Resilience, Dis ester Recovery Office

Hallazgo Combinado de Ausancia de impacto Significativo y Aviso de Intención al Solictar la Liberación do Fondos Cendado de Norry

Oficina de resillancia de Carolina del Sur, Oficina de recuperación ante desectres Natu

sewood Drive Columbia, Carolina del Sur, 29201

Betos avisos deteránses facer dos requisitos de procedimiento soparados paro rela-claracidos para las abilivándos que realizará la Olicina de Resultancia na Gardina del Suy, Oficina de eccuparación auto desasteres Naturales (SCDRO). Las acididades por puestas eguidaráns los residentes del condecio de Horry efectados por el Huracanflor-coso, un desastan declarada presidencia humano.

#### SOLICITUD DE LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

SOCIOTO DE CREENACION DE FONIXOS

En o alrededer de 27 de anno de 2021, el SCORO envisté una solicitud al Departamente de Vizionda y Gesarrollo Urbano (HUD, por sus algías en ingide) print la libercullar de bendes de la Subvencialo de Dossarrollo Cammilluria para la recuperissider en casec de decesate (COBAO-R), por sus algías en ingide) bayo el Titulo I de la Ley de Vivienda y Decarrollo Comunitario de 1974 (42 USC 5301 el seq.), Según enmendada y aprocada por la Ley de Aproplacones Suplementaliale para Alvico de Desastres, 2018 (Pub. L. 115-254) y la Ley de Aproplaciores Adicionales para Alvico de Desastres, 2018 (Pub. L. 115-254) y la Ley de Aproplaciores Adicionales para Alvico de Desastres, 2018 (Pub. L. 115-254) y la Ley de Aproplaciores Adicionales para Alvico de Desastres, 2018 (Pub. L. 115-254) y la Ley de Aproplaciores Adicionales para Alvico de Desastres, 2018 (Pub. L. 115-254) y la Ley de Aproplaciores Adicionales para Alvico de Desastres, 2018 (Pub. L. 115-254) y la Ley de Aproplaciores Adicionales para Alvico de Desastres, 2018 (Pub. L. 115-254) y la Ley de Aproplaciores de Riconales de reconsidado de Proparas de Vivienda Uniferrillar del Bursacio Florence (Subvencionas HUD COBA-DR B. 19-DV-43-001) f. B-19-DV-43-002) con de propúsicio de repotació de repotació de reconsidado en de Riconales de Sastres de Programa de Vivienda Linfarrillar del Bursació Proportica en un dimesió con la requisite de gastafario de para fermación con de requise de de Sastres de Programa en el condados miscalectarias y en discultandes (Maden, Honry y Dillon), SCDRO estima que acestrado de Horry.

La SCORO ha determinado que el proyecto no tendiá un impacto significativo a el mado ambiente humano o natural. Por lo tento, no se requiero una Declaración de impacto Ambiental bajo la Lay de Politica Ambiental hacianal de 1908 (NERA) información edictoral del proyecto se encuente en el Registro de Restatio Ambiental (ERR), por sun signos en Ingléty archivado en la Cócina de Receptación por Desastro de Carolina del Secuenta del Secuenta de Secuenta de Comunica del Secuencia del Secuenci (RRR), por sus signas on inglés) archivado en la Orcina de recuperación de Carolina del Sur, ubicada en 632 Rosavosad Drivo, Columbia, SC 20201 o en lifros en hizasidadrin so goviSCDRO/HUDdoos y puede ser examinado o copiado entre semano entre las 9:00 a.m. y 5:00 P.M.

#### COMENTARIOS PÚBLICOS

#### CERTIFICACIÓN AMBIENTAL

La Olicina de Resilioncia de Carolina del Sur, Oficina de recuperación untra desastros Naturales (SCDRO) conficia a HUD que Efic Foantiro, en su calidad de Olicedor Legal de SCDRO, considente en acaptar la judicidende de los Tribunales Federadas al so inicia una acción para lucera cumpil las respensabilidades en relación con el proceso de revisión ambiental y que se hayan cumpido estas respeciabilidades. La aproducción de a certificación por judro de HUD satisfacio usu reopensabilidades. La aproducción de la certificación por judro de HUD satisfacio usu reopensabilidades agria la NEPA y las feyes y autoridades relacionedas y permite que la Oficina de Resillanda de Carolina de Sur, Oficina de recuperación ante desastros Naturales (SGDRO) utilico los fondos del Pregrama.

#### OBJECIONES A LA LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

OBJECTIONES A LA LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

El proyecto propuesto proporcionará viviendas seguras e higónicas que se accestan con urgencia a los residentes asictados por el transda Pitromos, un deseabre docidado presidende mento. Por lo tanto, según lo depuesto por las regoviziones deficiales en 24 CFR 93.51 (b), el SCOPIO astignibilizando el Hafiliago del Impacto No Significación combitado y el Ariaco de Internación de Soliciar Liberación do Solicia del HROF el RUD. HUD acaptado algunación de Fondos simuláneamente con la presentación del HROF el RUD. HUD acaptado algunación de Gordos y el Ariaco de Internación de un genidad de quince diser dospués de la forte de presentación adeligada o su recepción real de la solicitud (lo que sea positiario) siden las beasam en una de los algulacións basens: (a) la certificación ro litre ejecutado per el Oficial Cartificador del SCOPIO; (b) el SCOPIO ha emitido un parceso de desearrol han el omprometrol fondos, incurindo en cedes en estilizada contrada por 24 CFR parte 88 antes de la supobación de una liberación de los deserrols han comprometrol fondos, incurindo en cedes en estallación desea de la cultida emitinal. Las objectiones deba prepararse y presentarse de acuerdo con los procedimentos de que el proyección no estalidación desta el punto de vista de la caldada emitenta. Las objectanos deban prepararse y presentarse de acuerdo con los procedimentos de superiorises de ADO en Cartificado de Dissastres y Asuntos Espaciales, CPD, HUO; (335 Ascentily Siricet, 13)li Floor, Calimino, SCO 2500 de procedimentos de conferencia del contributo de contributo con la cartificación (Sagnorá Rudo). Cas pode lates dobjectos.

Oficial de confección: Enc Febreira, Director legal, Oficina de resiliancia de Carelia dal Sur, Olletas de recuperación ente deseatres Naturales.

Sun Publishing Company, Inc.

914 Frontage Road East • Myrtle Beach, SC 29577

## Horry County: FONSI, NOI/RROF Notice Text (English)

## Combined Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds Horry County

January 27, 2021

South Carolina Office of Resilience, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) 632 Rosewood Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29201

These notices shall satisfy two separate but related procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the South Carolina Office of Resilience, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO). The proposed activities will assist Horry County residents affected by Hurricane Florence, a Presidentially Declared Disaster.

#### REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

On or about January 27, 2021, the SCDRO will submit a request to the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the release of Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds under Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.), as amended, and appropriated by the Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief Act, 2018 (Pub. L. 115–254) and the Additional Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief Act, 2019 (Pub. L. 116–20), to undertake a project known as Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program (HUD CDBG-DR Grants B-19-DV-45-0001 / B-19-DV-45-0002) for the purpose of repair / rehabilitation, reconstruction, replacement and acquisition/buyout of single-family housing. SCDRO has allocated an estimated \$72,075,000 in funding for the Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program across an eight (8) county area. In accordance with the requirement to expend 80% (\$57,660,000) of funds within the most impacted and distressed counties (Marion, Horry and Dillon), SCDRO estimates \$19,220,000 in CDBG-DR funding will be expended on program activities in Horry County.

#### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The SCDRO has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human or natural environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Review Record (ERR) on file at the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office, located at 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201 or online at <a href="https://admin.sc.gov/SCDRO/HUDdocs">https://admin.sc.gov/SCDRO/HUDdocs</a> and may be examined or copied weekdays between 9:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

The proposed project will provide urgently needed safe and sanitary housing to residents impacted by Hurricane Florence, a Presidentially Declared Disaster. Therefore, as provided by federal regulations at 24 CFR 58.33(b), SCDRO is publishing the combined Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds simultaneously with the submission of the RROF to HUD. Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on these notices or the ERR to the South Carolina Office of Resilience, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO). Comments may be submitted to the attention of Eric Fosmire, Legal Director at SCDRO, 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201, or by email at eric.fosmire@admin.sc.gov. All comments received by February 11, 2021 will be considered by the SCDRO prior to authorizing submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION**

The South Carolina Office of Resilience, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) certifies to HUD that Eric Fosmire, in his capacity as Legal Director at SCDRO, consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal Courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the South Carolina Office of Resilience, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) to use Program funds.

#### **OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS**

The proposed project will provide urgently needed safe and sanitary housing to residents impacted by Hurricane Florence, a Presidentially Declared Disaster. Therefore, as provided by federal regulations at 24 CFR 58.33(b), SCDRO is publishing the combined Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds simultaneously with the submission of the RROF to HUD. HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the SCDRO's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the following bases: (a) the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the SCDRO; (b) the SCDRO has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58; (c) the grant recipient or other participants in the development process have committed funds, incurred costs or undertaken activities not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or (d) another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality. Objections must be prepared and submitted in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to Aaron B. Gagné, Disaster Recovery and Special Issues Division, CPD, HUD; 1835 Assembly Street, 13th Floor, Columbia, SC 29201-2460 or via email to Aaron.B.Gagne@hud.gov. Potential objectors should contact HUD to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

Certifying Officer: Eric Fosmire, Legal Director, South Carolina Office of Resilience, Disaster Recovery Office

## **Horry County:** FONSI, NOI/RROF Notice Text (Spanish)

## Hallazgo Combinado de Ausencia de Impacto Significativo y Aviso de Intención al Solicitar la Liberación de Fondos Condado de Horry

27 de enero de 2021

Oficina de resiliencia de Carolina del Sur, Oficina de recuperación ante desastres Naturales (SCDRO) 632 Rosewood Drive Columbia, Carolina del Sur, 29201

Estos avisos deberán satisfacer dos requisitos de procedimiento separados pero relacionados para las actividades que realizará la Oficina de Resiliencia de Carolina del Sur, Oficina de recuperación ante desastres Naturales (SCDRO). Las actividades propuestas ayudarán a los residentes del condado de Horry afectados por el Huracán Florence, un desastre declarado presidencialmente.

#### SOLICITUD DE LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

En o alrededor de 27 de enero de 2021, el SCDRO enviará una solicitud al Departamento de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano (HUD, por sus siglas en inglés) para la liberación de fondos de la Subvención de Desarrollo Comunitario para la recuperación en casos de desastre (CDBG-DR, por sus siglas en inglés) bajo el Título I de la Ley de Vivienda y Desarrollo Comunitario de 1974 (42 USC 5301 et seq.), Según enmendada y aprobada por la Ley de Apropiaciones Suplementarias para Alivio de Desastres, 2018 (Pub. L. 115-254) y la Ley de Apropiaciones Adicionales para Alivio de Desastres, 2019 (Pub. L. 116-20), para emprender un proyecto conocido como Programa de Vivienda Unifamiliar del Huracán Florence (Subvenciones HUD CDBG-DR B-19-DV-45-0001 / B-19-DV-45-0002) con el propósito de reparación / rehabilitación, reconstrucción, reemplazo y adquisición / compra de vivienda unifamiliar. SCDRO ha asignado un estimado de \$72,075,000 en fondos para el Programa de Vivienda Unifamiliar del Huracán Florence en un área de ocho (8) condados. De acuerdo con el requisito de gastar el 80% (\$57.660.000) de los fondos en los condados más afectados y en dificultades (Marion, Horry y Dillon), SCDRO estima que se gastarán \$19.220.000 en fondos CDBG-DR en actividades del programa en el condado de Horry.

#### AUSENCIA DE IMPACTO SIGNIFICATIVO

La SCDRO ha determinado que el proyecto no tendrá un impacto significativo a el medio ambiente humano o natural. Por lo tanto, no se requiere una Declaración de Impacto Ambiental bajo la Ley de Política Ambiental Nacional de 1969 (NEPA). Información adicional del proyecto se encuentra en el Registro de Revisión Ambiental (ERR, por sus signas en inglés) archivado en la Oficina de Recuperación por Desastre de Carolina del Sur, ubicada en 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201 o en línea en https://admin.sc.gov/SCDRO/HUDdocs y puede ser examinado o copiado entre semana entre las 9:00 a.m. y 5:00 P.M.

#### COMENTARIOS PÚBLICOS

El proyecto propuesto proporcionará viviendas seguras e higiénicas que se necesitan con urgencia a los residentes afectados por el huracán Florence, un desastre declarado presidencialmente. Por lo tanto, según lo dispuesto por las regulaciones federales en 24 CFR 58.33 (b), El SCDRO está publicando el Hallazgo de Impacto No Significativo combinado con el Aviso de Intención de Solicitar Liberación de Fondos (RROF, por sus siglas en inglés), simultáneamente con la presentación del RROF al HUD. Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia puede enviar comentarios por escrito sobre estos avisos o el ERR a la Oficina de Resiliencia de Carolina del Sur, Oficina de recuperación ante desastres Naturales (SCDRO). Los comentarios pueden enviarse a la atención de Eric

Fosmire, Director Legal de SCDRO, 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201, o por correo electrónico a eric.fosmire@admin.sc.gov. Todos los comentarios recibidos antes de 11 de febrero de 2021 serán considerados por el SCDRO antes de autorizar la presentación de una solicitud de liberación de fondos. Los comentarios deben especificar a qué Aviso se dirigen.

#### CERTIFICACIÓN AMBIENTAL

La Oficina de Resiliencia de Carolina del Sur, Oficina de recuperación ante desastres Naturales (SCDRO) certifica a HUD que Eric Fosmire, en su calidad de Director Legal de SCDRO, consiente en aceptar la jurisdicción de los Tribunales Federales si se inicia una acción para hacer cumplir las responsabilidades en relación con el proceso de revisión ambiental y que se hayan cumplido estas responsabilidades. La aprobación de la certificación por parte de HUD satisface sus responsabilidades según la NEPA y las leyes y autoridades relacionadas y permite que la Oficina de Resiliencia de Carolina del Sur, Oficina de recuperación ante desastres Naturales (SCDRO) utilice los fondos del Programa.

#### OBJECIONES A LA LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

El proyecto propuesto proporcionará viviendas seguras e higiénicas que se necesitan con urgencia a los residentes afectados por el huracán Florence, un desastre declarado presidencialmente. Por lo tanto, según lo dispuesto por las regulaciones federales en 24 CFR 58.33 (b), el SCDRO está publicando el Hallazgo de Impacto No Significativo combinado y el Aviso de Intención de Solicitar Liberación de Fondos simultáneamente con la presentación del RROF al HUD. HUD aceptará objeciones a su liberación de fondos y la certificación de el SCDRO por un período de quince días después de la fecha de presentación anticipada o su recepción real de la solicitud (lo que sea posterior) solo si se basan en una de las siguientes bases: (a) la certificación no fue ejecutada por el Oficial Certificador del SCDRO; (b) el SCDRO ha omitido un paso o no ha tomado una decisión o hallazgo requerido por las regulaciones de HUD en 24 CFR parte 58; (c) el beneficiario de la subvención u otros participantes en el proceso de desarrollo han comprometido fondos, incurrido en costos o realizado actividades no autorizadas por 24 CFR Parte 58 antes de la aprobación de una liberación de fondos por parte de HUD; o (d) otra agencia federal que actúa en conformidad con el 40 CFR Parte 1504 ha presentado una conclusión por escrito de que el proyecto no es satisfactorio desde el punto de vista de la calidad ambiental. Las objeciones deben prepararse y presentarse de acuerdo con los procedimientos requeridos (24 CFR Parte 58, Sec. 58.76) y deben dirigirse a Aaron B. Gagné, División de Recuperación de Desastres y Asuntos Especiales, CPD, HUD; 1835 Assembly Street, 13th Floor, Columbia, SC 29201-2460 o por correo electrónico a Aaron.B.Gagne@hud.gov. Los posibles objetores deben comunicarse con HUD para verificar el último día real del período de objeción.

Oficial de certificación: Eric Fosmire, Director legal, Oficina de resiliencia de Carolina del Sur, Oficina de recuperación ante desastres Naturales.

## Horry County: Agency Distribution List & Record of Comments

## **Agency Distribution List**

The following agencies were notified of the Finding of No Significant Impact and intent to Request Release of Funds as published on January 27, 2021.

RESOURCE TOPIC	CONTACT INFO
AIR QUALITY	Michael Shroup, Director Division of Compliance Management Bureau of Air Quality, DHEC 2600 Bull Street Columbia, SC 29201 shroupmd@dhec.sc.gov
COASTAL BARRIERS	Katie Niemi, Coastal Barriers Coordinator U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Room 860 Arlington, VA 22203 <a href="mailto:katie_niemi@fws.gov">katie_niemi@fws.gov</a>
COASTAL ZONE	Chris Stout, Manager Coastal Zone Consistency Section Ocean & Coastal Resource Management, DHEC 1362 McMillan Avenue, Suite 400 Charleston, SC 29405 stoutcm@dhec.sc.gov
ENDANGERED SPECIES	Mark A. Caldwell, Deputy Field Supervisor U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service South Carolina Ecological Services Field Office Department of the Interior - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200 Charleston, SC 29407 charleston_regulatory@fws.gov; mark_caldwell@fws.gov
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	Tami Thomas-Burton U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV 61 Forsyth Street Atlanta, GA 30303 thomas-burton.tami@epa.gov
FARMLAND	Ann English, State Conservationist United States Department of Agriculture Strom Thurmond Federal Building 1835 Assembly Street, Room 950 Columbia, SC 29201 ann.english@sc.usda.gov

FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT Stephanie Everfield, Regional Environmental Officer

Department of Homeland Security FEMA Regional Environmental Office

3003 Chamblee Tucker Road - Hollins Building

Atlanta, GA 30341-4112 stephanie.everfield@dhs.gov

HISTORIC PRESERVATION W. Eric Emerson, Ph.D., State Historic Preservation Officer

South Carolina Department of Archives and History

8301 Parklane Road

Columbia, South Carolina 29233

EEmerson@scdah.sc.gov JSylvest@scdah.sc.gov

Wenonah G. Haire, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer

Catawba Indian Nation 1536 Tom Steven Road Rock Hill, SC 29730

wenonah.haire@catawba.com bill.harris@catawbaindian.net

HUD FIELD OFFICE Bradley S. Evatt, CPD

Community Planning and Development Disaster Recovery & Special Issues Division

Strom Thurmond Federal Building 1835 Assembly Street – 13th Floor

Columbia, SC 29201-2480 Aaron.B.Gagne@hud.gov

WETLANDS Tom McCoy, Field Supervisor

Charleston Ecological Services Field Office

176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200

Charleston, SC 29407

thomas mccoy@fws.gov; Cesac-rd-mail@usace.army.mil

WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS Jeffery R. Duncan, Ph.D., Southeast Regional Fishery Ecologist

Wild and Scenic Rivers Coordinator

National Park Service

535 Chestnut Street, Suite 207 Chattanooga, TN 37402 Jeff Duncan@nps.gov

# **Record of Comments and Responses**

The following comments were received in response to the Finding of No Significant Impact and intent to Request Release of Funds published on January 27, 2021.

COMMENTOR	DATE / TIME	COMMENT	RESPONSE
John D. Sylvest	2/8/2021	"Good morning,	Acknowledged.
Project Review	9:18 AM	Thank you for providing your FONSI	The second second
Coordinator		and NOI/RROF for the Hurricane	
State Historic		Florence Single-Family Housing	
Preservation Office		Program. Our office does not have	
(SHPO)		any comments or questions on the	
		information provided.	
		We look forward to the continuance	
		of our positive consultation together.	
		Regards,"	

#### Karyn Desselle

From: Fosmire, Eric < Eric.Fosmire@admin.sc.gov>

Sent: Friday, February 12, 2021 10:16 AM

To: Karyri Desselle

Cc: Daniel Paul; Shane Lucky; Lauren Poche; Erich Ortlieb

Subject: RE: [External] FONSI, NOI-RROF Comments - Dillon, Florence, Georgetown, Horry, Marion
Attachments: [External] RE: SCDRO - FONSI, NOI/RROF Hurricane Florence Single Family Housing Program

#### Karyn,

You have captured the response received. I have received no additional responses or inquiries. There have been no requests to view the information in person.

Eric G. Fosmire

Legal Director | Disaster Recovery Office The South Carolina Office of Resillence 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201 803-822-9580

From: Karyn Desselle < Karyn Desselle@hornellp.com>

Sent: Friday, February 12, 2021 10:32 AM

To: Fosmire, Eric < Eric, Fosmire@admin.sc.gov>

Cc: Daniel Paul <Daniel.Paul@hornellp.com>; Shane Lucky <Shane.Lucky@hornellp.com>; Lauren Poche

<Lauren.Poche@hornellp.com>; Erich Ortlieb <Erich.Ortlieb@hornellp.com>

Subject: [External] FONSI, NOI-RROF Comments - Dillon, Florence, Georgetown, Horry, Marion

Importance: High

Good morning Eric,

Attached please find a record of the agency response to the combined notices of Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds for Dillon, Florence, Georgetown, Horry and Marion Counties, received via email through 2/11/2021. If you would, please forward any additional comments or responses you may have received so that we may incorporate those as well, or confirm that there were none.

Best Regards,

#### Authorization to Use Grant Funds:



March 1, 2021

Eric Fosmire, Legal Director South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office 632 Rosewood Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Mr. Fosmire:

SUBJECT: Removal of Environmental Grant Condition and Authority to Use Grant Funds

On February 12, 2021, our Office received your updated Request for Release of Funds (RROF) with the Affidavit and Certification indicating the environmental review for the following project has been completed:

**PROJECT:** The project will use CDBG-DR funding for single-family repair/rehabilitation, replacement, reconstruction, MHU relocation & acquisition/buyout on scattered sites throughout the state. Rehabilitation will involve repairing an existing stick-built, single-family structure (rental or owner-occupied) on a previously disturbed parcel, to HOS and current code and standards. All activities will be limited to the existing footprint of an extant structure, as well as elevation if and as required by NFIP and program guidelines. This is in response to the 2018 Hurricane Florence flood event and will be conducted in scattered sites throughout South Carolina in the following Counties:

PROJECT AMOUNT:
Dillon County - \$ 19,220,000
Florence County - \$ 2,883,000
Georgetown County - \$ 2,883,000
Horry County - \$ 19, 220,000
Marion County - \$19,220,000

GRANT NUMBER: B-19-DV-45-0001 / B-19-DV-45-0002

In accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR Part 58, the RROF has been held for 15 days as required to allow the public to comment or object to the use of HUD funds in this project. No valid objections were received by HUD during this time frame. Based upon the review, this project is considered environmentally cleared and the grant condition requiring that this project be environmentally cleared before committing CDBG funds was removed on March 01, 2021. No further conditions are attached.

This letter and the enclosed Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD-7015.16 form) should be placed in the Environmental Review Record (ERR) for each activity undertaken to show that the prescribed environmental review has been completed and the conditions satisfied. This clearance will remain in effect as long as the related environmental review is valid, and the scope of the activities remains unchanged. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Aaron Gagne at 803-765-5564 or <a href="mailto:aaron.b.gagne@hud.gov">aaron.b.gagne@hud.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

Bradley S. Evatt, Director

SHADEYS. EVATT

Community Planning and Development

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Columbia Field Office Strom Thurmond Federal Building

1835 Assembly Street

www.hud.gov

Columbia, South Carolina 29201-2480

Enclosure

## Authority to Use Grant Funds

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Community Planning and Development

To: (name & address of Grant Recipient & name & title of Chief Executive Officer)

Eric Fosmire, Legal Director South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office 632 Rosewood Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29201 803-822-9580 Copy To: (name & address of SubRecipient)

We received your Request for Release of Funds and Certification, form HUD-7015.15 on

2/12/2021

Your Request was for HUD/State Identification Number

B-19-DV-45-0001/B-19-DV-45-0002

All objections, if received, have been considered. And the minimum waiting period has transpired. You are hereby authorized to use funds provided to you under the above HUD/State Identification Number. File this form for proper record keeping, audit, and inspection purposes.

The environmental release date is March 1, 2021. The project will use CDBG-DR funding for single-family repair/rehabilitation, replacement, reconstruction, MHU relocation & acquisition/buyout on scattered sites throughout the state. Rehabilitation will involve repairing an existing stick-built, single-family structure (rental or owner-occupied) on a previously disturbed parcel, to HOS and current code and standards. All activities will be limited to the existing footprint of an extant structure, as well as elevation if and as required by NFIP and program guidelines. This is in response to the 2018 Hurricane Florence flood event and will be conducted in scattered sites throughout South Carolina in the following Counties:

Dillon County - \$ 19, 220,000 Florence County - \$ 2,883,000 Georgetown County - \$ 2,883,000 Horry County - \$ 19, 220,000 Marion County - \$19,220,000

Typed Name of Authorizing Officer Bradley S. Evatt Title of Authorizing Officer

Director, Community Planning and Development

Signature of Authorizing Officer

Bridey S. EWATT

Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

3/1/2021

Previous editions are obsolete.

form HUD-7015.16 (2/94) ref. Handbook 6513.01

**57** 

# Site Specific Environmental Review Strategy

For the purposes or performing the required CDBG-DR environmental review, each single-family project (program activity) will be categorized as one of the following Proposed Actions:

**Proposed Action 1: 'Rehabilitation'** – Repair / rehabilitation of an existing stick-built, single-family structure (rental or owner-occupied) on a previously disturbed parcel. All activities will be limited to the existing footprint of the extant structure and associated utilities.

**Proposed Action 2: 'Rehabilitation and Elevation'** – Repair / rehabilitation and elevation of an existing stick-built, single-family structure on a previously disturbed parcel, as required by NFIP and program guidelines.

**Proposed Action 3: 'MHU Replacement'** – Replacement of an existing manufactured home on a previously disturbed parcel. MHU will be demolished/removed and a new MHU installed in the same location, within the disturbed area associated with the damaged structure.

**Proposed Action 4: 'MHU Replacement and Elevation'** – Replacement and elevation/structural reinforcement of an existing manufactured home on a previously disturbed parcel. MHU will be demolished/removed and a new MHU installed in the same location, within the disturbed area associated with the damaged structure. The new MHU will be elevated and/or installed with appropriate structural reinforcement, as required by NFIP and program guidelines.

**Proposed Action 5: 'Stick-Built Reconstruction'** – Reconstruction of an existing stick-built, single-family structure on a previously disturbed parcel. The damaged structure will be demolished, and a new structure will be constructed in the same location, within the disturbed area of the parcel associated with the damaged structure.

**Proposed Action 6: 'Stick-Built Reconstruction and Elevation'** – Reconstruction and elevation of an existing stick-built, single-family structure on a previously disturbed parcel. As required by NFIP or program guidelines, the new structure may be elevated. The damaged structure will be demolished, and a new elevated structure will be constructed in the same location, within the disturbed area of the parcel associated with the damaged structure. The structure will be elevated in accordance with NFIP and program guidelines.

**Proposed Action 7: 'Acquisition/Buyout'** – Acquisition/Buyout of damaged single-family properties within the 100-year floodplain. Once acquired and once all utilities have been secured, damaged structure(s) will be demolished, and the site will be cleared of all debris. Properties will then be converted to green space in perpetuity. This activity will be limited to properties located in the most impacted and distressed counties: Dillon, Horry, and Marion.

The following sections detail the steps to be performed when assessing each required review topic. The findings are recorded in the Site-Specific Review Checklist form. The Tier II Site-Specific Review Checklist and all supporting documentation is an integral part of the project's ERR and must be maintained in the file.

## **Airport Hazards**

Siting of HUD - Assisted Projects in Runway Clear Zones at Civil Airports and Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones at Military Airfields (24 CFR 51(d) and 24 CFR 58.6(d))

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

Airport Operators will be consulted on a case-by-case basis.

## Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination

Pursuant to 24 CFR 51.301 (c) [Title 24 Housing and Urban Development; Subtitle A Office of the Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development; Part 51 Environmental Criteria and Standards; Subpart D Siting of HUD Assisted Projects in Runway Clear Zones at Civil Airports and Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones at Military Airfields, the term Civil Airport means "an existing commercial service airport as designated in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems prepared by the Federal Aviation Administration in accordance with section 504 of the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982." 'Commercial service airports' are publicly owned airports with at least 2,500 annual enplanements and scheduled air carrier service (§47102(7)). Primary airports are a commercial service airport with more than 10,000 annual enplanements (§47102(16)). General Aviation Airports are public-use airports that do not have scheduled service or have less than 2,500 annual passenger boardings (49 USC 47102(8)). Reliever Airports are airports designated by the FAA to relieve congestion at Commercial Service Airports and to provide improved general aviation access to the overall community and may be publicly or privately-owned. Military airports include all active, military-owned and operated airport and airfields.

HUD policies prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields. Federal Aviation Administration studies have determined that potential aircraft accident problems pose a significant hazard to projects located near airports and in the immediate area of the landing and approach zones where airplane crashes are most frequent or most likely to occur. On January 6, 1984, HUD published 24 CFR 51(d) entitled, "Siting of HUD Assisted Projects in Runway Clear Zones at Civil Airports and Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones at Military Airfields" which provides guidance on the issue. Under these regulations, HUD assistance may not be used for projects involving new construction, substantial rehabilitation, acquisition of undeveloped land, or activities that would significantly prolong the physical or economic life of existing facilities that will be frequently used or occupied by people.

Based on a review of National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (2021-2025) and Federal Aviation Authority data on airports, there are six (6) civil airports, and five (5) military airfields in South Carolina, one of which is joint use (civil and military). Several of the counties within the program area abut the State of North Carolina; thus, the civil and military airports in neighboring North Carolina were evaluated as well. It has been determined that there is one civil airport in Horry County, Myrtle Beach International; therefore, airport hazards will be review at the Tier II Site-Specific level.

#### **Civil and Military Airports in South Carolina**

COUNTY	AIRPORT	TYPE
LEXINGTON	Columbia Metropolitan	Civil
FLORENCE	Florence Regional	Civil
<b>GREENVILLE &amp; SPARTANBURG</b>	Greenville Spartanburg International	Civil
BEAUFORT	Hilton Head	Civil
HORRY	Myrtle Beach International	Civil
CHARLESTON	Charleston AFB/International	Joint Use
RICHLAND	McEntire Joint National Guard Base	Military
BEAUFORT	MCAS Beaufort	Military
ORANGEBURG	North Air Force Auxillary field	Military
SUMTER	Shaw Air Force Base	Military

#### Civil and Military Airports in neighboring areas of North Carolina

COUNTY	AIRPORT	TYPE
MECKLENBURG	Charlotte/Douglas International	Civil
FAYETTEVILLE	Fayetteville Regional	Civil
HANOVER	Wilmington International	Civil
SCOTLAND	Mackall Army Airfield	Military

#### **Site-Specific Review Process**

Each housing project will be reviewed to determine whether it is located within 2,500 feet of a civil airport or 15,000 feet of a military airfield.

- If no portion of the project parcel lies within 2,500 feet of a civil airport or within 15,000 feet of a military airfield then this finding will be documented on the site-specific review checklist, supported by a map showing the project location relative to the airport, as necessary.
- If any portion of the project parcel lies within 2,500 feet of a civil airport or within 15,000 feet of a military airfield but through calculations, maps or written confirmation from the airport operator, the proposed action site is demonstrated to be outside all Runway Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones, then the project may proceed by documenting the finding on the site-specific review checklist with supporting maps and/or documentation, as appropriate.
- For homes located within the RPZ/CZ or APZ, HUD assistance may not be used if the project involves new construction, substantial rehabilitation, acquisition of undeveloped land, or activities that would significantly prolong the physical or economic life of the existing facility if frequently used or occupied by people.
- If the project is acquisition of developed property for conversion to greenspace, written documentation is to be obtained from the airport operator assuring the project site will not be


- acquired or purchased in the future as part of a clear zone acquisition program. This will be documented on the site-specific review checklist with supporting documentation, as appropriate.
- If a project is minor rehabilitation in an RPZ/CZ, a written notice will be provided to owners/prospective buyers informing them of the potential hazards from airplane accidents as well as the potential for the property to be purchased as part of an airport expansion project. This will be documented on the site-specific review checklist with supporting documentation, as appropriate.
- If the project is minor rehabilitation in an APZ, the project will be reviewed for consistency with Department of Defense (DOD) Land Use Compatibility Guidelines. Proposed projects must be a compatible land use to proceed. This determination will be documented on the site-specific review checklist with supporting documentation, as appropriate.

Projects that do not meet these criteria will not be eligible for assistance unless the airport operator indicates that there is no concern with the project proceeding and the Certifying Officer provides an exception per 24 CFR 51.304(a)(1). If this occurs, it will be entered into the project file and documented on the site-specific review checklist.

# **Airports - Horry County Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program**










Joint Use

Military



Nearest Civilian Airport: Myrtle Beach International

Distance from County Boundary: Within County

Nearest Military Airport: Mackall Army Airfield

Distance from County Boundary: 296656.27 ft.

#### **Coastal Barrier Resources**

Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation

#### **Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination**

The John H. Chaffee Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS) was established in 1982 and is administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The CBRS consists of relatively undeveloped coastal barriers and other areas located the Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, Great Lakes, U.S. Virgin Islands, and Puerto Rico coasts. The CBRS currently includes 585 System Units, which comprise nearly 1.4 million acres of land and associated aquatic habitat. There are also 277 "Otherwise Protected Areas," a category of coastal barriers that are mostly already held for conservation and/or recreation purposes that include an additional 2.1 million acres of land and associated aquatic habitat. The CBRS units are identified and depicted on a series of maps entitled "John H. Chafee Coastal Barrier Resources System." In accordance with 24 CFR 58.6(c), HUD assistance may not be used for project activities located within a Coastal Barrier Resource Area.

South Carolina's coastline includes twenty-three CBRS Units (16 System Units and 7 Otherwise Protected Areas) and there are several Coastal Barrier Resource Units and Otherwise Protected Areas along the Horry County coastline (see attached Coastal Barrier Resources map). Therefore, projects located in Horry County have the potential to be located in, or adversely impact Coastal Barrier Resources. The review of Coastal Barrier Resources will be performed at the Tier II Site Specific level.

#### **South Carolina Coastal Barrier Resource System**

Number of CBRS Units	23
Number of System Units	16
Number of Otherwise Protected Areas	7
Total Acres	220,124
Upland Acres	14,467
Associated Aquatic Acres	205,657
Shoreline Miles	120


#### **Site Specific Review Process**

All projects located in Horry County, will be reviewed at the site-specific level to determine if the project site is within a Coastal Barrier Resource Area. Federal assistance that would encourage development in a CBRS unit, is strictly prohibited. If a project is within a CBRS unit, it will not be able to proceed, otherwise, the Tier II Site-Specific Checklist will document the location of the site relative to the CBRS unit to complete the review.

# **Coastal Barrier Resources - Horry County Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program**








County Boundary

#### **CBRS Units**

Otherwise Protected Area

System Unit



Sources: Coastal Barrier Resources data obtained from the US Fish and Wildlife Service. ESRI Imagery Basemap service.

## Floodplain Management and Flood Insurance

(24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988)
Flood Disaster Protection & Flood Insurance (24 CFR 58.6 (a) & (b)

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

All agency coordination will be conducted on a case-by-case basis.

#### Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination

Executive Order 11988: Floodplain Management requires Federal activities to avoid impacts to floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development to the extent practicable. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains as geographic zones subject to varying levels of flood risk. Each zone reflects the severity or type of potential flooding in the area (flood zone definitions). The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) or Flood Hazard Maps. HUD's regulations in 24 CFR Part 55 outline HUD's procedures for complying with EO 11988. Part 55 applies to all HUD actions that could be harmed or cause harm if located in a floodplain, including but not limited to proposed acquisition, construction, demolition, improvement, disposition, and financing actions under any HUD program. The purpose of Part 55 is not, in most cases, to prohibit actions in a floodplain, but to provide the method for HUD projects to comply with EO 11988 and avoid unnecessary impacts.

Under section 582 of the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 (42 U.S.C. 5154a), HUD disaster assistance that is made available in a special flood hazard area may not be used [...] for repair, replacement, or restoration of damage to any personal, residential, or commercial property if the person had previously received Federal flood disaster assistance conditioned on obtaining and maintaining flood insurance; and the person failed to obtain and maintain the flood insurance. All program applicant's with properties located in the 100-year floodplain will be screened prior to environmental review to determine if they had previously received federal flood disaster assistance and will only be allowed to proceed after providing proof of having obtained and maintained flood insurance as required.

In Horry County, approximately 184,267 acres of land (25.2% of the county's land area) are within the 100-year floodplain. Although specific project sites have not yet been identified, the Program will repair, reconstruct, or replace single-family housing, some of which, may be located in the 100-year floodplain. Additionally, under limited circumstances, the Program will acquire damaged single-family residential properties in the 100-year floodplain in accordance with program guidelines, which will then be demolished and converted to greenspace in perpetuity.

The 8-Step Decision Making Process applies to Program activities involving residential structures within the 100-year floodplain, unless exempt under 24 CFR §55.12 (b) or (c). For activities that occur outside of the 100-year floodplain (i.e., in Zone X or Shaded X), no further compliance with this part is required.

The 8-Step Decision Making Process for Horry County was completed in July 2017, in consideration of housing program activities related to Hurricane Matthew. In the wake of Hurricane Florence, the Program realized that

the residents in the most impacted and distressed counties faced new challenges in the efforts to recover and become more resilient as a community. In response to these changing needs and new challenges, the Program made the decision to incorporate new project alternatives that had previously seen as infeasible or undesirable due to potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts. Under the Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program, SCDRO will implement the acquisition/buyout and relocation alternatives in scenarios where doing so would minimize the threat to lives and property by removing people and structures from harm's way thereby minimizing potential adverse impacts. Additionally, the acquisition/buyout alternative would restore and preserve the natural and beneficial values of floodplains in those areas further reducing future flood risk within the community. As outlined in the previously completed 8-Step, SCDRO will continue to require the elevation of all substantially damaged (as defined at 24 CFR 55.2(b)(10), and reconstructed structures in the floodplain to a minimum of two feet above the base flood elevation. All participants in the program whose property is in the 100-year floodplain shown on the effective Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) or Preliminary FIRM as Best Available Data must carry flood insurance on the subject structure in perpetuity; and in the case of "Coastal High Hazard" areas ("V" zones on the latest (most recent) FEMA-issued maps), that the applicant adhered to construction standards, methods and techniques as required by HUD Regulation 24 CFR Part 55.1 (c)(3). When followed, these regulations will reduce the threat of flood damage to the homes located in the floodplain. The new elevation levels, which applicants are required to adhere to when considering reconstruction or rehabilitation of their substantially damaged properties, represent the best available data and are assumed to advance floodplain management efforts in the impacted counties.

Although the 8-Step Decision Making Process did not specifically take these alternatives into consideration, 24 CFR §55.12 "Inapplicability of 24 CFR Part 55 to certain categories of proposed actions" states that this part shall not apply to:

- 1. "The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, but only if: (i) The property is cleared of all existing structures and related improvements; (ii) The property is dedicated for permanent use for flood control, wetland protection, park land, or open space; and (iii) A permanent covenant or comparable restriction is placed on the property's continued use to preserve the floodplain or wetland from future development." (24 CFR §55.12(c)(3))
- 2. "A minor amendment to a previously approved action with no additional adverse impact on or from a floodplain or wetland;" (24 CFR §55.12(c)(6))

The Program criteria for acquisition/buyout mandate that acquired, damaged single-family residential properties in the 100-year floodplain be demolished and cleared, these properties will then be converted to greenspace in perpetuity through a restrictive covenant placed on the property to prevent future redevelopment of the property. The relocation alternative is considered a minor amendment to the previously approved actions. Under the Program's criteria, relocation will be limited to mobile home units (MHU) in the 100-year floodplain that have been damaged beyond repair but are not eligible for replacement in their current location. These replacement MHUs must be relocated to existing developed lots in the same community and outside of the 100-year floodplain, where an existing 'pad' and all utility connections are in place and ready to receive the home. Therefore, it has been determined that further analysis under the 8-Step Decision Making Process is not required.

#### **Site Specific Review Process**

Each project site will be reviewed using the best available data to determine if the project is located within the 100-year floodplain (1-percent annual chance floodplain). The 1-percent annual chance floodplain includes both A and V Flood Hazard Zones. Zone V is comprised of the area subject to high velocity wave action from the 1-percent annual chance flood. Zone V is subject to more stringent building requirements than other zones because these areas are exposed to a higher level of risk. Zone A is comprised of the area subject to inundation by 1-percent annual chance flood. These areas are not subject to high velocity wave action but are still considered high risk flooding areas.

For projects located outside of the 100-year floodplain (i.e., in Zone X or Shaded X), no further compliance with this part is required.

HUD financial assistance is prohibited in floodways unless an exception in section 55.12(c) applies or the project is a functionally dependent use (e.g. dams, marinas, and port facilities) or a floodplain function restoration activity. Therefore, proposed project sites located in Floodways are only eligible for acquisition / buyout assistance, through which the property will be converted to greenspace in perpetuity.


Additionally, if a property is located in the 100-year floodplain and in a community that is not participating in the National Flood Program or is not in good standing per the NFIP Community Status Book, federal assistance cannot be provided due to the lack of availability of flood insurance. At the time of this assessment, there are not any communities in Horry County listed as not participating in, or not in good standing with, the National Flood Program.


All projects located within Flood Zones A and V, will be required to comply with Federal, state, and local floodplain management regulations including elevation and mandatory flood insurance in these zones. Projects involving new construction (reconstruction or replacement), repair of substantial damage, or substantial improvement, as defined at 24 CFR 55.2(b)(10), must be elevated with the lowest floor, including the basement, at least two feet above the 1-percent annual floodplain elevation utilizing the advisory base flood elevation. Additionally, property owners assisted through the Program will be required to acquire and maintain flood insurance if their properties are in a 100-year floodplain; therefore, SCDRO will only provide assistance to properties in the 100-year floodplain, where the community is participating in the National Flood Program and in good standing. At the time of this assessment, there are not any communities in Horry County listed as not participating or not in good standing with the National Flood Program.

# FEMA Preliminary Flood Zone Coverage - Horry County Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program









Flood Zone	Acreage
Floodway	25402.68
Α	24.91
AE	156721.27
VE	2118.45
Shaded X	12486.55
X	535300.07

## The 8-Step Floodplain Decision Making Process

# South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) Horry County Eight-Step Floodplain Analysis

#### Step 1. Determine if the proposed action is in a 100-year floodplain.

The proposed action is anticipated to offer federal assistance to a robust number of flood-affected applicants for home rehabilitation and reconstruction to enable disaster recovery and a moderate level of resilience to mitigate the impact of future flood events. The number of eligible applicants who occupied homes within the floodplain remains uncertain, and will be determined at the site-specific level. This 8-Step Decision-Making Process only applies to those home construction activities that could potentially occur on residential properties within the FEMA-designated floodplain.

The Advisory 1% annual chance floodplain includes both AE and VE Advisory Flood Hazard Zones. Advisory Zone VE is comprised of the area subject to high velocity wave action (a 3-foot breaking wave) from the 1% annual chance coastal flood. Zone VE is subject to more stringent building requirements than other zones because these areas are exposed to a higher level of risk. Advisory Zone AE is comprised of the area subject to storm surge flooding from the 1% annual chance coastal flood. These areas are not subject to high velocity wave action, but are still considered high risk flooding areas. All projects proposed for funding under CDBG-DR which are located within Advisory Flood Zones AE and VE will be restricted from building footprint expansions and must participate in the NFIP.

While the process of confirming which residential properties had adequate flood insurance for their homes is still underway, new construction activities associated with the proposed action have the potential to occur on residential properties within the 500-year floodplain. While not required, the project will strongly encourage property owners of new residential structures built within the 500-year floodplain to participate in the NFIP, even though single-family homes are not generally considered critical facilities requiring elevation and flood insurance.

All applicants will be advised about the hazards to living in floodplains.

#### Step 2. Notify the public of the intent to locate the proposed action in a floodplain.

A 15-day "Notice for Early Public Review of a Proposed Activity in a 100-Year Floodplain" was published in The Sun News on March 29, 2017. A 15-day comment period was established for the Early Notice, which ended on April 13, 2017. Throughout the comment period, no public comments were submitted to the SCDRO. See attached files for Affidavit of Publication.

# Step 3. Identify and evaluate practicable alternatives to locating the proposed action in a floodplain.

The SCDRO program will benefit homeowners whose primary residences sustained damage from the 2015 Flood Event. The SCDRO will provide grant awards to eligible homeowners for activities

Page 1 of 3

necessary to restore their storm-damaged homes, including rehabilitation, reconstruction, elevation and/or other mitigation activities within the disturbed area of the previously developed parcels in the floodplain.

SCDRO has considered the following alternatives and mitigation measures to be taken to minimize adverse impacts and to restore and preserve natural and beneficial values:

No Action Alternative: This alternative does not achieve the State of South Carolinas goals of restoring the health and safety of flood-damaged housing for its residents, nor does it promote planning and implementation of resilience measures to mitigate damage from future weather extremes. Residents would continue to be displaced from their homes located in the floodplain, live in damaged, unsafe, and unsanitary housing within the flood-damaged neighborhoods or seek shelter elsewhere. Poor structural integrity of flood-damaged homes within the floodplain would put residents at a greater risk should a flood event occur, especially if homes do not meet current elevation requirements. Abandoned structures may not be demolished, posing a lingering health and safety risk, with possible storm-debris field hazards affecting water quality if subject to flood conditions. Storm debris fields on residential properties would potentially remain unaddressed, also posing a threat to public health and water quality.

Locating the damaged homes outside the floodplain: Currently the program does not offer an option to relocate a home-owner or buy-out option.

Proposed Action: The State expects many SCDRO applicants will elect to return to their properties situated in or adjacent to the floodplain. Alternative approaches to protecting properties in these areas have been considered for the State's CDBG-DR housing programs. South Carolina will implement resilient home construction standards. South Carolina will follow HUD guidance to ensure all structures, defined at 44 CFR 59.1, designed principally for residential use and located in the 1 percent annual (or 100-year) floodplain that receive assistance for new construction, repair of substantial damage, or substantial improvement, as defined at 24 CFR 55.2(b)(10), must be elevated with the lowest floor, including the basement, at least two feet above the 1 percent annual floodplain elevation. Residential structures with no dwelling units and no residents below two feet above the 1 percent annual floodplain, must be elevated or flood proofed, in accordance with FEMA flood proofing standards at 44 CFR 60.3(c)(3)(ii) or successor standard, up to at least two feet above the 1 percent annual floodplain.

Property owners assisted through the recovery program will be required to acquire and maintain flood insurance if their properties are located in a FEMA designated floodplain. This requirement is mandated to protect safety of residents and their property and the investment of federal dollars. The elevation height of a house can significantly reduce the cost of flood insurance. South Carolina will implement procedures and mechanisms to ensure that assisted property owners comply with all flood insurance requirements, including the purchase and notification requirements described below, prior to providing assistance.

In addition SCDRO will implement resilient practices to ensure the viability, durability and accessibility of replacement mobile homes.

- Although local building codes allow installation of Wind Zone I rated mobile homes, SCDRO
  will only utilize mobile homes with a minimum wind rating of HUD Wind Zone II or higher
  (able to withstand winds up to 100 MPH).
- SCDRO will adopt the 5'7" rule, prohibiting the installation of mobile homes elevated 5'7" above grade without appropriate structural reinforcement.

Page 2 of 3

Step 4. Identify and describe the proposed action's direct and indirect effects associated with occupying or modifying the floodplain.

The proposed action in a floodplain represents no change from pre-flood conditions except that the structures would now be elevated at least two feet above the best available (most recent) floodplain mapping, thereby reducing future damages from flooding.

Step 5. Identify methods to minimize the potential adverse impacts within a floodplain and to restore and preserve its natural and beneficial values.

The SCDRO requires elevation of all substantially damaged structures in the floodplain. When followed, these regulations will reduce the threat of flooding damage to the homes located in the floodplain. The new elevation levels, which applicants are required to adhere to when considering reconstruction or rehabilitation of their substantially damaged properties, represent the best available data and are assumed to advance floodplain management efforts in the impacted counties.

Property owners participating in the SCDRO project would be required to adhere to the following conditions to minimize the threat to property, minimize losses from flooding and high-wind events, and benefit floodplain values:

- All proposed reconstruction and repair of substantially damaged structures in the floodplain must adhere to the latest (most recent) elevation.
- All participants in the program whose property is in the 100-year floodplain shown on the
  effective Flood Insurance Rate Map or Preliminary FIRM as Best Available Data must
  carry flood insurance on the subject structure for its economic life; and
- In the case of Special Flood Hazard Area (100-year floodplain, Zone A) on the latest (most recent FEMA-issued maps), the applicant must adhere to construction standards, methods and techniques requiring a registered professional engineer to either develop, review or approve, per the associated location, specific applicant elevation plans that demonstrate the design meets the current standards for A zones in FEMA regulation 44 CFR Part 60.3 (e) as required by HUD Regulation 24 CFR Part 55.1 (c)(3).

Therefore, the requirements of the SCDRO will help ensure a minimal adverse impact to the floodplain. It has been determined that the proposed action will have minimal or no adverse impacts within the floodplain and will restore and preserve its natural and beneficial values.

Step 6. Reevaluate the proposed action to determine if it is still practicable given its floodplain effects.

Because the proposed action represents no change from pre-flood conditions other than elevation of the structure, it is still determined to be practicable.

Step 7. If the only practicable alternative is locating in a floodplain, publish a final public notice.

It is our determination that there is no practicable alternative to locating the proposed project in the floodplain. This is due to 1) the need to provide safe, decent and affordable housing; 2) the desire to not displace residents; 3) the lack of a relocation/buy-out program; and 4) the limited Page 3 of 3

scope and impact of the proposed project related to impacts on human health, public property, and floodplain values. The SCDRO has determined that the proposed project would not result in significant adverse impacts to flood levels, flood risk, or the flow of floodwaters on the project site or surrounding areas. The project would reduce flood level, flood risk, and the flow of floodwaters onto the project area. Therefore, the proposed project would be compliant with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (44 CFR § 59) and EO 11988 on Floodplain Management (42 FR 26951).]

A final public notice was published in accordance with 24 CFR Part 55 for a minimum 7-day comment period. The notice was published in The Sun News on June 28, 2017. A 7-day comment period was established for the Final Notice, which ended on July 05, 2017. Throughout the comment period, no public comments were submitted to the SCDRO. See attached files for Affidavit of Publication.

Step 8. The proposed action can be implemented after steps 1 through 7 have been completed.

Implementation of the proposed action may require additional local and state permits, which could place additional design modifications or mitigation requirements on the project.

## Sun Publishing Company, Inc.

PO Box 406 \* Myrtle Beach, SC 29578-0406

ARDURRA GROUP 632 ROSEWOOD DR. COLUMBIA, SC 29201

April 03, 2017

Newspaper: The Sun News Ad Number: 0002998444 Date(s) of Publication: 03/29/2017

Affidavit of Publication:

State of South Carolina

County of Horry

Before me, Manny Berdayes, a Notary Public personally appeared who, after being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is Vice President of Finance of the Sun Publishing Company, Inc., at Myrtle Beach, South Carolina. In the county and state aforesaid: that the above ad(s) was (were) printed and published in said newspaper, on said date(s).

Manny Berdayes

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 3rd day of April, 2017

BILLY FULBRIGHT NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COMM EXPIRES NOV. 2, 2022

Employment S 8

Automotive Merchandise

To Advertise email classifieds@thesunnews.com or call 800-532-5348

#### **Employment**

Accounting

hristmas mouse is tooking to hire full and part-time Sales As-sociales. Must be able to write weekends. Please apply in per-son at 4652 Highway 17 South (Baseloot Landing) or at 2300 S. Kings Highway.

#### Education

MARKET ME

COASTAL CAROLINA UNIVERSITY sasks candidates for the following position:

Adjunct Faculty / External Engagement Coordinator

For job requirements, application ions and deadlines visit: jobs coastal edu

### oastat Carolina University is an AA/EO employer.

General Business The City of Myrtle Beach seek

· Youth Progam Assistant Maintenance Worker - Tamp

Descricom - H.R. Dept. 921 Oak St. 916-1121 EEO/H.

#### General Labor

Jade Security, Inc. Security Officiers PT/FT \$9.25-10.25 MB, NMB, Surface 643-455-2626; pps 6 isde security.com

Healthcare FRONT DESK Medical Receptionist needed for busy, tast paced Medical Practice. Experience preferred. Please Fax resume 643-215-5226.

Please Fax resume 642-325-326-326

Mytte Beach

Mytte Beach

Family Medicine

located 82nd Panway Mythe Beach

FF Medical Assistant or LPN.

Only applicates with a minimum of 2 years aspectised and certification

for the property of the second second second property of the second s

Physical Therapist
with SC loceness needed for outpatient Physical Therapist offices.
Business Partnership opportunity
available.
Fax resums to
843-314-4663.

BALS 314-4663.

BRI UNT MANAGE.

Creat Street Revalo. 8. Novemp.

Creat Street Revalo. 8. Novemp.

And Street Revalo. 8. Novemp.

Creat Revalo. 8. Novemp.

Creat 4652 Sociates Bird.

Myrite Basch. 26 29886 Creating.

a) #Bischestricoster. 8.000.

And Street Revalo. 9. Novemp.

And Street Revalor 9. Novemp.

A

## Hospitality/Hotel

ges, also capable Re loiks are welcome! Trish 813-316-1072 Don. 772-263-2228

### Sales

CHRISTMAS MOUSE is looking to hire full and part-time shee Associates. Must be able to more weekends. Please apply in serson at 4552 Highway 17 Scott Landing) or at 2306 S. Kings Highway.

#### Transportation

tor a furniture store.
Experience & references required. Must also be able to asaemble furniture. Please call
843-651-3501
weekdays 9am-1

1 - 1 - 1 los

• Quick Dry
Process
• Upholstery
Cleaning

• Pet Odor Ret
RATED #1 ON
ANGIE'S LISTI

B43-340-5805

GO HAPPY CLEA Insured 843-443-5483

> Offices & Rentals 'Certain Restrictions May Apply

#### Child/Elder Care Services

Hourly rate \$11.50 Others charge \$14-\$20 Live in (24 hr) \$125 hers charge \$200-\$4 (843) 347-6440 Experienced

Caregivers please call Susan

Sam to Spm • Mon-Fri

#### Entertainment/Food

DIRECT TV /

Free installation L & M ENTERPRISES

843-669-3513

#### Financial/Legal Services

DIVORCE:

Starting at \$250 + Cost

### ne/Business Improvement

A-1

HANDYMAN

25 Years Experience

- Carpenty
- Drywall
- Painting

FREE ESTIMATES 843-655-0207

## A-1 HANDYMAN

25 YEARS EXPERIENCE
• Carpentry • Orywall
• Painting • Misc.
FREE ESTIMATES 843-655-0207

A-1 MULLINS PLUMBING For all your
Plumbing Needs
No Joe too Big or Shi
Over 50 years Expensi 630-417-5154

> A-1 ROOFING gle. Ruccer & Metal / Sixing & Painting FREE ESTIMATES 365-1939 OR (843) 222-2743

A STEP ABOVE CONSTRUCTION LLC Looking to remodel? Storm demage?

PREE Estimates
spectrus Pricing - Iro
distaction Guarante 843-997-1734

A STEP ABOVE CONSTRUCTION LLC Looking to remode? Storm damage?

Custom/Finish Carpentry,Siding, Painting Hardwood/Laminate/Tille Flooring, Decks, Fences & more FREE Estimates petitive Priong - Insi

843-997-1734 ROBERT ROSEN

#### Residence & Commercial Serving Myrie Beach and Conwey

All Jobs From Small to Large - Repairs - Remodeling - All Services SC MASTER PLUMBER LICENSE & INSURED Very Affordable Rates 843-241-4770

HOME MPROVEMENT SUNROOMS REEN PORCHES ADDITIONS BATHROOM REMODELS

METZEL HOME IMPROVEMENTS 843-855-6480

See us at:

#### JMV PAINTING INT. / EXT.

FREE ESTIMATES

843-450-7651

#### MASONRY DUTCH MASONRY II

#### MIKE'S PAINTING

Intenor & Exterior

Pressure
 Washing
 Popoor Cellings
 Remove
 Walloaper
 Minor Sheetrock
 Repair

Licina. Free Est

843-457-2157

#### MURPHY GENERAL CONTRACTOR

Residential & Commercial - REMODELING - KITCH. & BATH - DEMOLITION SPECIALIST - ROOFING LICENSEDANSURED PH:843-357-9190 CL-843-458-8333

## POWERHOUSE HOME SERVICES, LLC

ng Fan install

GARDEN CITY **2 973-670-8047** 

Premier Roofing and Contracting Metal Roof Specialist Shingles Decks Sheetrock Framing

## 910-338-8781 PRESSURE

WASHING Which House out starting as low as \$99.00. St Call today for Free Estimate Licensed

### 843-267-4293 SPECIALTY

Acoting Repairs Prompt Service 135 Years of

STIMATE and REASONABLE (843) 315-8642 We try to make a living, killing

The area's

Palis. ENCLOSURES

TILE & KITCHENS & BATHS

INT & EXT. BOT REPAIRS

WATER FREE ESTIMATES

T CALL

(843) 685 - 0607 Shingles - Tre -cols - All Flashings - Ski coairs - Gulter Cleaning 75 yrs exp. FREE ESTIMATES NO JOB TOO SMALL Caffice Contracting LLC

#### SUPER

CRETE CUSTOM

DOIVEWAYS

· WALKS · PAVERS CUSTOM WALLS DRAINAGE WORK

(ALL TYPES) NO CRACKS

GUARANTEED

· STAMPED

• LIFETIME •
GUARANTEE MOST AVERAGE NEW CONCRETE DRIVEWAYS \$2,500

(843) 450-0085

SUPER SALE NICE JOB SUPER

\$250 OFF APRIL

MASTERCARE INC

MB LARGEST TREE CO FOR OVER 20 YEARS VOTED

#1 TREE COMPANY

· REMOVALS

PRUNINGS FIREWOOD

AMC TREE

843-539-8733

843-903-7333

JOHN'S

LANDSCAPING

& LAWN CARE
No Job loo small,
es of clean up, design,
ation, pruning, mulch, p
prick chips, irrigation re

222-3358

LAWN MOWING & All Landscaping Maintenance COMM/RES.

KEYSTONE MAINTENANCE

Free Estimates edkyttle? © gmail.c 843-940-0999

1 FREE Paint Tree with rish driveway intradation

# CALL NOW!

Brookgreen Estales Corway, NEW DBL Wide, 28//28a, Covered Porch, Seniore Welcome . \$875 843,365.8200

# Lawn/Garden/Landscaping/Trees

### Recreation

Caroline Skin 216 DLV Black,T-TOP Yamaha 115 Four stroke, 245 4ach trailer, Calmin GPS, Kanevood-Sound System,LiveWell. 218 L. ahw 52 (500 svigar@sc.rr.com 843-457-9922

Bnarchte RV Reson Membership \$1000 + transfer iee. \$16-313-8570 Motorrome -Hurricane Thor 2014 34t, big slide, non-amoking, clean, V10,12,500 Mi 376k 843-390-0965

#### STUMPS -STARTING AT \$15/STUM (843) 215-3511 (877) 848- TREE

Ford Crown Victoria 2003 LX Marcon/Ten paint good seats very State 9,54200 703-409-2627.

sta Grey staenger extras 53,700 Portiac Montants 2004 Wh AWD 3.4 Line VB. 8 par leather seets 128,000miles 843-427-7797, voluments 760mc (com

2006 HD FATBOY 29,700miles NEW SADDLEBAGS. \$8,500.00 THE SUN NEWS

CHARCOAL GHEY -- ALWAY: GARAGED YAFFE 121 BARI RINEHART DUAL EXHAUS MANY EXTRAS-MUST SEL 515KFIRM 843-903-2741

Custom Softsal 2001 Parxier soft tal motorcycle, 90°(1450 CC) low miles 20%, Outstom peint, well maintained, garage kept good nubber, lots of axira parts. The bine is not worn out the owner is a soft of the contract of the owner is a soft of the contract of the owner is a soft of the contract of the owner is a soft of the contract o

#### SUVs

DS PorscheCayenne S ONLY 45K Miles V8 Auto LikeNew MossGreeen/Black 2Owners Must See \$20,900 843-240-1605.

#### Trucks & Vans

Ford F150 2011 SVT Reptor Black/ Black of Japtor Grange accent #50ta 4x4 Supercass accelerate edita 4x4 Supercon-accellent cond graphica & towing ag power moonoof, 38k millio \$38,900 843-810-0791 rockeygung@yahoo.com

Ford 7-150 Standard 1997 5 Fender 121,597 M. Engine Has 50k M \$600 203-430-9384

#### Merchandise

Building Materials 6 Base Cabinets and 9 Up

RIGHT ON TIME TREE SERVICE

\$150 or LESS

SHEW

STUMP

GRINDING

WE ACCEPT CREDIT CARDS

≈ 843-240-3981

Misc Services

GRAND STRAND CLOCK REPAIR rs, Clearing, House 9

38 years 843-236-0614

White in odor. Nice on standard Stainless Sink and Disgoser Included \$850 843-688-0556 Furniture For Sale

2 QUEEN SZ beds, solos, fvs - 4P fist screen, antiques, que la pictures. Call for appt, 84(998)-5465

Heating & Air Conditioning

## 2.5 Tan Goodman heat pump/AC sys. \$3250 w/ 10 ys warr, 843-602-608p

Lown & Garcien Supplies

# **Real Estate**

Homes for Sale

Rooms for Rent

#### MB-ATLINITIC VIEW lekey year round, W/D. No pets. 843-848-3845 Manufactured Homes for Rent

/ limplones

Camping/Motorhomes

## Automotive

BMW 85Q 12008 Tilanium göver with black haither ert, and black cloth top, bodbed, rare 8 spear manual, 300 HP Mr., nee cloth, Goodyne run flab, 47,600 miles wegort, Certax, impected \$42,700 mer. \$26,500 433-223 \$600, growedsc.rt.com

Dodge Stlatus 2004 SXT NO REPARTS 540-336-8202, pattygainty@yahoq.com

### Autos/Classic & Specialty

#### Motorcycles

#### Legals

#### Legals & Public Notices

EARLY NOTICE AND PUBLIC REVIEW OF A PROPOSED

At irearcance Agencies, Dinutes i

are an open riscus den en Suan Celiera Dusales Recovery Office
GCGRIGA has elemented that the foliage
family influenced and the foliage
family influenced and the foliage
family influenced and present and
solid family influenced and
solid family influen

gav Data: Marcin 29: 2017

WEATHER YOU WANT TO RENT YOUR HOME SELL YOUR CAR OR ABVERTISE YOUR

YARD SALE









### AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Account #	Ad Number	Identification				
FOCORE	11.77.27.27.27.27		PO	Amount	Cols	Lines
560065	0003146127	Final Notice and Public Explanation of a Proposet		\$906.15	1	204

Attention: Lori Manali ARDURRA GROUP

632 ROSEWOOD DR. COLUMBIA, SC 29201

FINAL NOTICE AND PUBLIC EXPLAN-ATION OF A PROPOSED ACTIVITY IN A 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN HORRY COUNTY

To: All Interested Agencies, Groups and Individuals

This is to give notice that the South Caroima beaster Recovery Office (SCDRO)
has determined that the following proposed action under the Single Family Herepublishing and Recoversuction Program,
Horry County, South Carolina (B-18-DH45-0001) may have some properties to
cated in the 100-year fleedplain, and
SCDRO has identified and evaluated
practicable attendates to locating the action in the foodplain and the potential impacts on the floodplain from the processed
action, as required by Executive Order1988 in accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CPR 56-20 Subpart C Procedures for Making Determinations or
Floodplain Managament. The Disorder
Refer.

Appropriations Act of 2018 (Pub. L. 114, 113, approved December 18, 2015) authorizes SCOPO to undertake a

project known as Single Family Rehabilitation and Heconstruction Program, Harry County, South Carolina for the purpose of schabilitation and Heconstruction Program, Harry County, South Carolina for the purpose of schabilitating anchor reconstructing single standard promes, audior represented at single-family Manufactured Housing United Rivings and Wanufactured Housing United Rivings of Event. This is a multi-level reproject 192016-192026 wherein SCOPO will be carrying out various individual actions on single-family homes. Hechabilitation activities may include in a purpose the pair or replacement of a shructure's demonstration activates will include demolition of the original atomic housing and selectional federations defined and reconstruction of a single-family dwelling. MHU replacement will include demolition of the original atomic and reconstruction of a single-family dwelling. MHU replacement will include demolition globins away and placing new MHU in previous MHU floopprint. The proposed projectical is lecated at multiple locations friendighout here (County).

SCOHO has cansidered the fallowand at

SCORO has considered the following alternatives and miligation measures to be taken to minimize advarsa impacts and to rectore and preserve natural and benefcial values.

No Action Alternative: This atternative does not achieve the State of South Carolina's goals of restaining the health and safety of feod-damaged nousing for its residents, nor does it promote planning and intolerentiation of resilience measures to mitigate damage from future.

#### State of South Carolina

#### County of Horry

Before me, Brenda Moore, a Notary Public personally appeared who, after being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is Vice President of Finance of the Sun Publishing Company, Inc., at Myrtle Beach, South Carolina. In the county and state aforesaid: that the above ad(s) was (were) printed and published in said newspaper, The Sun News, on said date(s).

1 Insertion(s)

Published On: June 28, 2017

SVP of Finance and Operations

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 14th day of July in the year of 2017

My Commission Expires March 16, 2027

Sun Publishing Company, Inc.
PO Box 406 • Myrtle Beach, SC 29578-0406

weather extremes. Residents would commute to be displaced from their hornes occared in the linearplan. I've in damaged intrade, and unsamilary nousing within the flood-damaged neighborhoods or seek shaller clawshere. Poor streathers into the flood-damaged himses within the flood-damaged himses with flood-damaged himses which are strengther flood and the seek and possible storm-datins field flood conditions. Storm datins field flood conditions. Storm datins field flood conditions. Storm datins floot an insanchal properties would possible the air insanchal properties young a linear to public health and water quality.

Locating the camaged names outside the \*complain: Currency the program uses not offer an option to relocate a home-swher or buy out oation.

Proposed Action. The State expects many SCORO applicants will eject to return to their properties situated in or adjacent to the Secretary Action to descript a state of the Secretary of the Secretary of the Secretary of the State's CHRS-DR housing programs. Sound Carolina will imprement resilient home consolution and the Secretary of the Secret

residential use and located in the 1 per-coal shauai for 100 year) floodplain that receive assistance for new

construction, repair of posstantial dum-age or substantial improvement, as ce-fined at 24 CPH 55 260,110; must be ex-vated with the conset foor, neutring this basement at feest two feet above the 1 parcent annual footpoin excepting units and no residents with ms swelling units and no residents below two feet above the 1 percent annual beooption, must be elevated or food proofed, in accordance with FEHA flood proofing standards at 44 CPP EO 3(cri3)(ii) or successor standard, up to all least two feet above the 1 percent annual floodplain. annual flacdalain.

Proparty owners assisted through the re-covery program will be required to acquire and maintain flood insurance in their prop-enties are located in a FEMA designated bodiptein. This requirement is mandated to protect safety of residents and their property and the investment of feederal delates. The elevation neight of a nouse can significantly reduce the next of food insurance. South Corolina will imprement properties and mechanisms to ensure that assisted anaporty owners admary with all food insurance requirements, including the point-assistance of the providing assistance.

delition SCDRO will implement resilient practices to ensure the viability dura-brity and accessority of replacement mo-

- ble nerses.

  Although local buttering codes may allow installation of Wind Zone I rated mobile harnes. SCDHO will only utilize mobile somes with a minimum who rating of HUD Wind Zone II or higher table to withstand wince us to 100 MPH.

  SCDHO will adopt the 57 rate, prohibiting the installation of mobile homes enjoyed to the prohibiting the masketion of mobile homes enjoyed to 7 above grace without approximate structural reinforcement.

SCORO has reevaluated the elematives to building in the Boddalan and has determined that it has no modificable alternative. Enveronmental files that decurrent compliance with states 3 through 5 of Exception (1994) in 1994 and 19

There are truce primary purposes for this notice. First, benefit who may be affected by activises in floodblarts and mose who

Sun Publishing Company, Inc. PO Box 406 - Myrtle Beach, SC 29578-0406 have an interest in the protection of the natural promotion of thought be given an opportunity to express their concerns and provide information about those among the continuous program can be an important public advancional both. The discontinuous of information and request for public comment about footprants can be also induce the risks and important appropriate can be also applied to the risks and important actions and reposition of the possibility and industrial of the propriate and important public and important public and in the programment obterenies, if will participate in actions taking place in filledization, it must inform those who may be put at greater operations.

Writen comments must be received by SCDRO at the following address on at before July 05, 2017. Attention: Encourage Alloreay, 682 Posswood Dress, Cottmbin, SC 29201. And description of the project may also be invisived week-days from 9 AM to 5 PM at the Search Carolina Desister Recovery Office, 032 Carolina Desister Recovery Office, 032 Carolina Desister Recovery Office, 032 Comments may also be submitted via emel at the Comments of the Columbia, 8C 29201.

Date: Jone 28, 2017

9003146127-01

Sun Publishing Company, Inc. PO Box 406 • Myrtle Beach, SC 29578-0406

#### Clean Air

#### Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR 6, 51, 93

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

SC Dept. of Health and Environmental Control, Bureau of Air Quality

#### Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination

South Carolina's SIP includes the initial SIP, which was submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 1972, and the accumulated record of its amendments. These amendments, going back to 1973, along with the original SIP, constitute South Carolina's SIP. Several individual elements can make up the state's complete "SIP." There are many different components of the SIP, including: Infrastructure SIP elements, Nonattainment SIP elements, Attainment Demonstrations, Maintenance Plans, Section 111(d)/129 Plans.


A nonattainment plan is the specific SIP plan element designed to address a particular area in the state that has been designated as nonattainment for a standard. Once nonattainment designations take effect, the state has three years to develop a nonattainment SIP revision outlining how a particular area will attain and maintain the standards by reducing air pollutant emissions in that area. The only nonattainment plans in South Carolina are for the York County part of the Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill NC-SC nonattainment area for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS.

On August 22, 2014, the Department submitted a Marginal Nonattainment Area SIP to meet the requirements for the York County portion of the Charlotte-Rock Hill NC-SC 8-hour Ozone Nonattainment Area, for the 2008 NAAQS ozone standards. This SIP certifies that each Clean Air Act 182(a) marginal area requirement has been met, to include an emissions inventory. On April 17, 2015, DHEC submitted a SIP Package request to redesignate the York nonattainment area to attainment. A Notice of Final Amendment to the Air Quality SIP was published in the State Register on April 24, 2015. This action is due to the latest ozone monitoring data that show all monitors in and near the nonattainment area to have 2014 design values lower than the 2008 NAAQS (0.075 ppm.) A public hearing was held March 30, 2015. No comments, written or oral, were received from the public. On December 11, 2015 the EPA approved DHEC's request (80 FR 76865) and the redesignation to attainment became effective on January 11, 2016.

An area that was once designated as nonattainment, but has been redesignated as attainment, must submit a maintenance plan, as required by section 175A of the Clean Air Act. South Carolina has submitted maintenance plans for two areas of the state, Cherokee County and the York County portion of the Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill NC-SC nonattainment area.

Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires a federal agency that funds any activity in a nonattainment or maintenance area to conform to the State Implementation Plan (SIP). The proposed project area does not include Cherokee County or York County, the only two maintenance areas in South Carolina. Therefore, conformance with the SIP is not required.

The Bureau of Air Quality, Division of Air Assessment, Innovations, & Regulation was contacted on May 8, 2018 regarding project compliance for housing program activities related to Hurricane Matthew. In a response dated May 18, 2018, the Bureau indicated there were two criteria pollutants of concern in South Carolina (Ozone and Particulate Matter 2.5) and offered suggestions for reducing emissions from diesel equipment, as a way to help the state stay in compliance with NAAQS. These suggestions were incorporated into the Mitigation Measures section to be applied to all project activities.



North Carolina/South Carolina 8-hr Ozone Nonattainment Area (2008 Standard)

#### **General Conformity Clean Air Act Requirements**

EPA's federal General Conformity regulation (40 CFR Part 90) implements the CAA. The General Conformity Rule requires that the direct and indirect air emissions from proposed actions in nonattainment areas, are identified and compared to the de minimis levels in the regulation to determine compliance. If the emissions from the action are below the de minimis levels, the action complies with the CAA. Federal projects must conform to Clean Air Act requirements if they may constitute a significant new source of air pollution. For projects that do not involve new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units, it can be assumed that emissions are below de minimis levels and the project is in compliance with the Act.

Proposed project activities include rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of single family (1-4 unit) properties at scattered sites throughout the project area. Emissions associated with the proposed actions are limited to the use of residential and small construction equipment and are estimated to be well below the threshold when compared to the federal General Conformity Rule de minimis thresholds, and therefore, in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

#### Radon

Radon is a cancer causing, radioactive gas that you cannot see, smell, or taste. The U.S. EPA states that radon is the second leading cause of lung cancer in the U.S. and the number one cause among non-smokers. Radon comes from the natural breakdown of uranium in soil, rock, and water and finds its way into homes through cracks and holes in the foundation, construction joints, and plumbing fixtures. As a result, radon gas has been identified by the EPA as an indoor and outdoor air quality issue.

The EPA developed a map of Radon Zones in 1993, using data on indoor radon measurements, geology, aerial radioactivity, soil parameters, and foundation types, in an effort to identify areas of the U.S. with the potential for elevated indoor radon levels. The Zones indicated on the map are not actual radon levels for an area, they are 'indicators' intended to help governments and other organizations target risk reduction activities and resources. The entire 8-county program area, including Horry County, is designated as a Zone 3, EPA's lowest potential rating, and is therefore not anticipated to pose an indoor air quality issue.

The review for the Clean Air Act and Air Quality is concluded at the Tier I Broad Review level.

\*Lead and asbestos removal are not covered under Section 176; see Contamination and Toxic Substances.


#### Site Specific Review Process

There are no Clean Air Act compliance requirements or Air Quality issues in Horry County which would require review at the site-specific level; therefore, the site-specific review checklist will document that the review was concluded at the Tier I level.

# **EPA Radon Zones - Horry County Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program**







#### **Coastal Zone Management**

Coastal Zone Management Act, Sections 307(c) &(d)

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

SC Dept. of Health and Environmental Control, Coastal Services Division

#### **Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination**

The South Carolina Coastal Management Program was established under the guidelines of the national Coastal Zone Management Act (1972) as a state-federal partnership to comprehensively manage coastal resources. The South Carolina Coastal Zone Management Act was authorized in 1977 under SC's Coastal Tidelands and Wetlands Act (CTWA) with the goal of achieving a balance between the appropriate use, development, and conservation of coastal resources in the best interest of all citizens of the state. DHEC's Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management is the designated state coastal management agency and is responsible for the implementation of the state's Coastal Management Program. Implementation includes the direct regulation of impacts to coastal resources within the critical areas of the state including coastal waters, tidelands, beaches, and beach dune systems; and indirect certification authority over federal actions and state permit decisions within the eight coastal counties.

The South Carolina Coastal Zone is defined in Section 3(B) of the South Carolina Coastal Management Act of 1977 as: All coastal waters and submerged lands seaward to the State's jurisdictional limits and all lands and waters in the counties of the State which contain any one or more of the critical areas. These counties are Beaufort, Berkley, Charleston, Colleton, Dorchester, Horry, Jasper, and Georgetown. The critical areas are defined in Section 3(J) as: coastal waters, tide-lands, beaches and primary ocean-front sand dunes.

On June 26, 2018, the SC Department of Health and Environmental Control, Coastal Zone Consistency Section of Ocean & Coastal Resource Management, responded to an email regarding housing program activities related to Hurricane Matthew. The response indicated that while the project activities described were not likely to affect coastal resources, a Coastal Zone Consistency Determination pursuant to 15 CFR 930, Subpart F – Consistency for Federal Assistance to State and Local Governments, would be needed for projects subject to regulation under the SC Coastal Zone Management Program.

The Horry County project area is within the South Carolina Coastal Zone; therefore, all sites will be reviewed for consistency with the Coastal Zone Plan.


#### **Site Specific Review Process**

All projects located in Horry County, will be reviewed at the site-specific level to determine if the project is subject to regulation under the SC Coastal Zone Plan. The Tier II Site-Specific Checklist will document the outcome of the review and any permits or mitigation measure that may be necessary for compliance.

# **Coastal Zone Management - Horry County Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program**







#### **Contamination and Toxic Substances**

Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances (24 CFR 58.5 (i)(2)(i))

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

All agency coordination will be conducted on a case-by-case basis.

#### Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination

Pursuant to 24 CFR § 58.5(i)(2)(i) project sites must be free of hazardous materials that could affect occupant health and safety or restrict property usage. For projects involving single-family (housing with one to four units) documentation must be provided to show that the project site: is NOT listed on the Environmental Protection Agency Superfund National Priorities List, Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) List, or an equivalent state list; is NOT located within the recommended search radius of a toxic or solid waste landfill site or Superfund site or Brownfield; does NOT have a non-residential underground storage tank; and is NOT known or suspected to be contaminated by toxic chemicals or radioactive materials. Envirofacts, the EPA's environmental database, provides access to multiple environmental databases for facility information, including toxic chemical releases, water discharge permit compliance, hazardous waste handling processes, Superfund status, and air emission estimates. The EPA dataset\* will be used to determine if there are any sites of concern with the potential to affect the future occupants of the property or restrict property usage.

#### **EPA Source Databases:**

System	Retrieved	Posted	Update Frequency
Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES)	09/01/2020	09/10/2020	Updated Monthly
Biennial Reporting (BR)	10/05/2020	10/07/2020	Updated Monthly
Facility Registry System (FRS)	01/09/2021	01/09/2021	Updated Weekly
Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP)	11/09/2020	12/01/2020	2019 data is now available
Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS)	08/30/2020	09/02/2020	Updated Monthly
Information Collection Rule (ICR)		05/17/2000	Final Data Update Complete.
Integrated Grants Management System (IGMS)	08/12/2020	08/12/2020	Updated Monthly
Locational Information	Weekly	Weekly	Updated Weekly
National Emissions Inventory (NEI)	05/14/2020	05/20/2020	N/A
Permit Compliance System (PCS)	11/11/2012	11/12/2012	Final Data Update Complete. *
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information (RCRAInfo)	10/05/2020	10/07/2020	Updated Monthly
RadNet, formerly Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System	12/11/2020	12/15/2020	N/A
Safe Drinking Water Information System (SDWIS)	07/16/2020	07/29/2020	Updated Quarterly

Superfund Enterprise Management System (SEMS)	11/25/2019	12/04/2019	Updated Quarterly
TRI Explorer	10/14/2020	10/27/2020	2019 data is now available
Toxics Release Inventory (TRI)	10/14/2020	10/27/2020	TRI 2019 data is now available

<sup>\*</sup>SCDRO intends to utilize the most comprehensive and accurate data available. Therefore, in the event a Statewide dataset for any of the specified categories of hazardous sites, is identified in the future, that dataset will supersede the EPA data for the purposes of site-specific environmental review.

#### Standard Environmental Record Sources and Recommended Minimum Search Distance

Hazardous Site Category	Source	
3,000 feet		
☐ Municipal Solid Waste Landfills	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Closed Municipal Landfills	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Radioactive Site	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Superfund Site (NPL, Delisted NPL, CERCLIS, CERCLIS NFRAP)	EPA Envirofacts	
2,640 feet (0.5 miles)	·	
☐ Industrial and Hazardous Waste Corrective Action (IHWCA)	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Resource Conservation and Recovery Act CORRACTS	EPA Envirofacts	
500 feet		
☐ Brownfield sites	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) facilities (not generators)	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Leaking Petroleum Storage Tanks (LPST)	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP)	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Municipal Setting Designation (MSD)	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Toxic Substances Control Act Site (TSCA)	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Dry Cleaner Remediation Program (DCRP)	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Innocent Owner/Operator Program (IOP)	EPA Envirofacts	
Property/Adjoining Properties		
☐ RCRA Generators (LQG, CELQG, SQG, CESQG)	EPA Envirofacts	
☐ Registered Petroleum Storage Tanks (PSTs)	EPA Envirofacts	

Pursuant to 24 CFR  $\S$  50.3(i)(4) or 58.5(i)(2)(iv) a site investigation will be conducted by a trained / qualified environmental professional (or professionals) using current techniques to assess for contamination.

#### Lead/Asbestos/Mold

In South Carolina, the USEPA administers the Renovation, Repair and Painting program, which establishes the requirements for projects involving residential buildings (owner-occupied and rental). All program activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding lead - based paint, including but not limited to: EPA's Repair, Renovation, and Painting (RRP) Rule (40 CFR 745.80(e)); HUD's lead-based paint regulations in 24 CFR 35(a)(b)(h)(j)(r); HUD's "Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing". Lead paint is a concern for all structures built prior to 1978.

South Carolina regulates the safe handling and treatment of asbestos containing building materials (ACBM) through compliance with Regulation 61-86.1, Standards of Performance for Asbestos Projects, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Asbestos Standard, 1926.1101 and National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) – Asbestos.

Contractors will provide notice to SC DHEC and secure proper permitting, if required to do so. Asbestos projects occurring at a private residential structure of four units or fewer may be exempt from the requirements of this regulation UNLESS the work is performed by a person or persons holding an asbestos abatement license. If the work is performed by an asbestos abatement licensed contractor/individual, all project activities are subject to the regulation. An owner/operator may contact the <a href="DHEC Asbestos Section">DHEC Asbestos Section</a> to request that the Department determine whether a project is an asbestos project subject to the requirements of this regulation.

The EPA's National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (including asbestos-containing materials) does not apply to residential buildings that have four or fewer dwelling units. However, program contractors will be required to meet all applicable OSHA guidelines when conducting CDBG-DR work, including the standard for demolition and renovation (40 CFR 61.145) and the standard for waste disposal for manufacturing, fabricating, demolition, renovation, and spraying operations (40 CFR 61.150).

Mold can also have an adverse effect on human health and is a problem commonly found in flooded houses, both visible on surface drywall and into the interior framework. Any storm-damaged structure that is rehabilitated can have mold present if steps are not taken to eliminate it during the repair.

#### **Site Specific Review Process**

A site investigation will be conducted by a qualified environmental professional (or professionals) using current techniques to assess for contamination and other potentially hazardous site conditions. See site-specific process for Lead-Based Paint, Asbestos, and Mold below.

A desktop review will be conducted to evaluate each site for the presence of environmental hazards on the subject property, or within the specified review distances as identified in a GIS query of Envirofacts or other pertinent dataset.

If potential toxics or hazards are identified, further assessment including completion of additional records reviews, contacting the regulatory agency for a letter of "No Further Action" (NFA) or other documentation of the status and extent of hazardous conditions. If toxics and hazards are not likely to be present at harmful

levels, documentation demonstrating that the contaminants do not pose a threat to the health or safety of the site occupants or restrict property usage, will be added to the environmental review record.

If toxics and hazards are present (or likely present) at harmful levels, then the project may be rejected, the contaminants removed (remediated), or, institutional/engineering controls, when allowed by the program, implemented to prevent site users from coming into contact with the contaminants. Projects will only be allowed to proceed when adverse environmental impacts can be effectively mitigated to prevent the hazard from affecting the health and safety or project occupants. Appropriate documentation will be added to the environmental review record.

#### **Lead-Based Paint**

Reconstruction, replacement, and acquisition / buyout projects are exempt from lead-based paint testing or mitigation requirements. All rehabilitation projects involving houses built before 1978 will have a lead-based paint risk assessment performed by a certified risk assessor prior to any construction work commencing. The property owner will receive a Notice of Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities within 15 days of the issuance of the Risk Assessment Report in accordance with 24 CFR 35.125. If lead-based paint is identified, the safe work practice standards found at 24 CFR 35.1350; 40 CFR 745.85 will be incorporated into the builder's lead-hazard reduction, rehabilitation and renovation activity scope of work, which protects residents and the environment from exposure to, or contamination from lead-based paint, lead-based paint hazards and any waste generated from these activities (24 CFR 35.1345). A clearance testing report by a certified lead-based paint professional showing the hazard no longer exists must be provided upon completing the rehabilitation work. The contractor shall provide a Notice of Hazard Reduction Activity (Lead Based Paint Clearance Exam) not more than 15 calendar days after the hazard reduction activities (including paint stabilization) have been complete.

#### **Asbestos**

All renovation and reconstruction projects will be required to comply with applicable state and federal requirements. If asbestos containing materials are identified, all project activities must comply with OSHA's Asbestos Standard for Construction (29 CFR 1926.1101) and SC DHEC Regulation 61-86.1 (as applicable) for renovation and demolition/disposal involving such materials. All required permits will be obtained prior to the start of any construction activities. Following construction, all documentation pertaining to testing, abatement, removal, disposal and clearance must be provided to the program and added to the environmental compliance file.

#### Mold

Except for taking appropriate personal safety measures during hand-demolition, mold will not be a concern in houses that are demolished, reconstructed, or replaced. However, mold can present a significant health issue if steps are not taken to eliminate it during the repair. Mold will be assumed present in any rehabilitation project and identified in the site-specific checklist. Contractors must follow the EPA suggested guidelines (<a href="https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/resources-flood-cleanup-and-indoor-air-quality">https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/resources-flood-cleanup-and-indoor-air-quality</a>), to ensure that upon completion of rehabilitation activities, residential structures are free of mold attributable to the disaster event.

The Tier II Site Specific Environmental Checklist will document the identification and assessment of: hazardous sites of concern within the specified recommended review distances; on-site environmental hazards; potential lead, asbestos and mold hazards. All pertinent project conditions, remediation/abatement requirements, mitigation measures and best management practices, necessary to protect the health and safety of the occupants, will be noted in the Tier II Site-Specific Environmental Review for each project.

#### **Endangered Species**

Endangered Species Act of 1973, 50 CFR 402; Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 [16 USC 703-712];

Bald and Golden Eagle Act of 1940 [16 USC 668 - 668c]

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, South Carolina Ecological Services Program

#### **Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination**

The Endangered Species Act (ESA) and its implementing regulations provide Federal agencies with a mandate to conserve State- and Federally listed, threatened and endangered (T&E) species and ensure that any action they authorize, fund, or carry out is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species in the wild, or destroy or adversely modify its critical habitat. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service maintains the database of Federally listed species and critical habitat; and the South Carolina Natural Heritage Program, under the Department of Natural Resources, maintains the State's inventory of rare, threatened and endangered species. The Ecological Services Program of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service works collaboratively with other federal agencies, industries, and other stakeholders to achieve infrastructure development goals in ways that are sustainable and compatible with the conservation of fish, wildlife, and their habitats.

In response to receiving a significant volume of projects for review, that are considered routine and represent an insignificant impact to resources entrusted to the Service for conservation (minor construction, renovation or maintenance of property or equipment, change of use, funding or other activities that may have no discernable immediate or long-term effect upon protected species). The Service's South Carolina Ecological Services Field Office (SCESFO) developed blanket authorizations for activities that routinely have minimal or no effect upon trust resources, including certain projects undertaken by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Under this guidance, if the proposed project meets the specifications or suggestions within the blanket authorization letter, the letter may be downloaded and used to satisfy appropriate requirements of the ESA. However, is it important to note that these letters do not represent formal biological opinions, and they do not provide incidental take authorization, nor do they allow for adverse modification of critical habitat.

The "U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Clearance to Proceed with U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, and U.S. Department of Agriculture Projects" blanket authorization letter updated May 30, 2019, states "If the project description falls in one of the categories and the Federal agency, or their designee, determines there is no effect or impact to federally protected species or designated critical habitat, no further action is required under Section 7 of the ESA." The 'Description of DOC, HUD, and USDA Projects Covered' under the blanket authorization letter includes:

3. Construct, expand, maintain, remove, replace, or rehabilitate structures on developed or otherwise disturbed areas. Examples of developed or disturbed areas include paved, filled, graveled, routinely mowed vegetated grasses, agricultural fields, and pasturelands. Undeveloped areas are those sites where natural vegetation dominates.

The letter also provides guidance on the nationwide programmatic biological opinion (PBO) for the northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis, NLEB) issued January 5, 2016 and the final 4(d) rule published on January 14, 2016. Under the PBO and 4(d) rule, all incidental take of the NLEB is exempted from the ESA's take prohibitions under certain conditions. However, incidental take is prohibited within one quarter mile from known hibernacula and winter roost, or within 150 feet from a known maternity roost tree during the months of June and July.

Northern long-eared bats use their maternity roost trees and hibernacula repeatedly for many years. Unless a survey or other information indicates otherwise, if the habitat around a roost is intact and the tree is suitable, we would conclude that the tree is likely an occupied maternity roost during the pup season (June 1 - July 31). Similarly, we would assume that a hibernaculum remains occupied unless a survey or other information indicates otherwise. Therefore, if you have a northern long-eared bat roost tree or hibernacula documented on or near your project area, any incidental take of bats will be exempted by the 4(d) rule if you follow these conservation measures:

- Do not conduct any activities within  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile of known, occupied hibernacula;
- Do not cut or destroy a known, occupied roost tree from June 1 to July 31 (the pup season);
- Do not clear-cut (and similar harvest methods that cut most or essentially all trees from an area, e.g., seed tree, shelterwood, and coppice) within a ¼ mile of known, occupied roost trees from June 1 to July 31.

There are two (2) known hibernacula and one (1) known maternity roost in South Carolina, all of which are more than 0.25 miles outside of the project area.

#### Northern Long-Eared Bats - Known Locations

Hibernacula	Table Rock State Park	35.043748, -82.709153	Pickens County
Hibernacula	Stumphouse Tunnel	34.811032, -83.123822	Oconee County
Maternity Roost	Wooded Area	33.10744, -79.65790	Berkley County

To comply with section 7 of the ESA, the federal agency must analyze the proposed project for potential impacts to federally protected species and/or proposed or designated critical habitat. Using this analysis, the federal agency (or its designated non-federal representative), must make a determination of effect for federally protected species and/or proposed or designated critical habitat. For federally protected species, the federal agency must make one of the following determinations for the proposed project:

"No effect" is the appropriate conclusion if the proposed action will not affect listed species. With a "no effect" determination, the federal agency is not obligated to contact the Service for concurrence.

"May affect, not likely to adversely affect" is not likely to adversely affect is the appropriate conclusion when effects on listed species are expected to be discountable, insignificant, or completely beneficial. If a "may affect, not likely to adversely affect" determination is made, the federal agency must contact the Service for written concurrence.

"May affect, likely to adversely affect" is the appropriate conclusion if any adverse effect to listed species may occur as a direct or indirect result of the proposed action or its interrelated or interdependent actions. If a determination of "may affect, likely to adversely affect" is made, the federal agency must initiate formal consultation with the Service as outline in 50 CFR 402.

Projects that 'May affect' endangered or threatened species or critical habitats require consultation with the Service, in compliance with the procedure of Section 7 of the ESA.

The proposed project activities fall under category 3 of the blanket authorization letter. Additionally, regarding NLEB considerations, the three known hibernacula and maternity roost locations are more than 0.25 miles outside of the project area. Therefore, SCDRO has determined that the proposed project activities will have no effect or impact to federally protected species or designated critical habitat. As stated in the letter, these projects have been evaluated by the Service in accordance with ESA and NEPA, and no further action is required under section 7 of the ESA. The review for Endangered Species is concluded at the Tier I Broad Environmental Review level.

Note: Obligations under the ESA must be reconsidered if: (1) new information reveals impacts of this identified action may affect any listed species or critical habitat in a manner not previously considered; (2) this action is subsequently modified in a manner which was not considered in this assessment; or (3) a new species is listed or critical habitat is designated that may be affected by the identified action.


#### **Site Specific Review Process**


SCDRO has determined that the proposed project activities will have no effect or impact to federally protected species or designated critical habitat. No further action is required under section 7 of the ESA. The site-specific review checklist will document that the review was concluded at the Tier I level.


# Critical Habitat - Horry County Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program












Sources: Critical Habitat data obtained from the US Fish and Wildlife Service; ESRI Imagery Basemap service.

# Northern Long Eared Bat Critical Habitat Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program








Study Area

#### **Northern Long Eared Bat Critical Habitat**

- Hibernacula
- Maternity Roost



Sources: Northern Long Eared Bat Habitat Data obtained from the US Fish and Wildlife Servicel; ESRI Imagery Basemap service.



# United States Department of the Interior FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200 Charleston, South Carolina 29407 May 30, 2019



# U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Clearance to Proceed with U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, and U.S. Department of Agriculture Projects

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) is one of two lead Federal Agencies mandated with the protection and conservation of Federal trust resources, including threatened and endangered species listed under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) (ESA). The U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC), U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), as well as the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) allocate grant funds for rural development projects. Accordingly, obligations under the ESA and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) require HUD and USDA to perform an environmental impact review prior to a project's approval. Primarily, these projects involve repair, maintenance, or reconstruction of existing facilities on previously developed land.

Many of the DOC, HUD, and USDA projects result in no adverse impacts to federally protected species. In determining if your project will have an effect on federally protected species or designated critical habitat under the jurisdiction of the Service, we provide this guidance, relative to the criteria listed below, applicable to many DOC, HUD, and USDA project requests. If the project description falls in one of the categories and the Federal agency, or their designee, determines there is no effect or impact to federally protected species or designated critical habitat, no further action is required under section 7 of the ESA. Please note this guidance applies only to projects in South Carolina.

#### Description of DOC, HUD, and USDA Projects Covered

The following types of projects have been evaluated by the Service in accordance with ESA and NEPA:

- Purchase machinery, equipment, and supplies for use in existing structures and buildings.
- Finance or refinance existing structures or properties. Transfer of loans where the original lending or mortgage institutions for existing projects are no longer holding the loans and the properties transfer via back loans.
- 3. Construct, expand, maintain, remove, replace, or rehabilitate structures on developed or otherwise disturbed areas. Examples of developed or disturbed areas include paved, filled, graveled, routinely mowed vegetated grasses, agricultural fields, and pasturelands. Undeveloped areas are those sites where natural vegetation dominates.
- New, refurbished, or expanded parking lots and amenities associated with existing or proposed private, commercial, or industrial developments that do not expand into previously undeveloped areas.
- Implement streetscape beautification projects. Examples of these projects include the removal and replacement of existing sidewalks, curbing, or gutters; demolishing and

- disposing of existing curbing; installing irrigation systems for plants; installing or replacing streetlights, benches, or trashcans; and installing handicap sidewalk ramps or new sidewalks within city limits in right of ways.
- Repair, replace, or renovate existing wastewater treatment facilities, water supply facilities, and storm water facilities (such as drainage ditches and ponds) without expansion of the existing site boundary.
- Install or replace pipelines or transmission lines using trenchless technology (directional drilling) techniques. Trenchless technology eliminates the need to disturb the environment caused by excavating and backfilling trenches.
- Install or replace pipelines by trench and back fill within previously disturbed lands such
  as, but not limited to, maintained easements and transportation right of ways <u>provided a</u>
  protected species survey is performed and no protected species are found on the site.

The Service recommends that project proponents indicate which of the criteria are applicable to the project when submitting to the appropriate permitting agency.

#### Northern Long-eared Bat Consideration

The Service issued a nationwide programmatic biological opinion (PBO) for the northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*, NLEB) on January 5, 2016. The PBO was issued pursuant to section 7(a)(2) of the ESA to address impacts that Federal actions may have on this species. In addition, the Service published a final 4(d) rule on January 14, 2016, which details special consultation provisions for Federal actions that may affect the NLEB. Briefly, the PBO and the 4(d) rule allow for "incidental" take of the NLEB throughout its range under certain conditions. Take is defined in section 3 of the ESA as to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. Further, incidental take is defined as take that results from, but is not the purpose of, carrying out an otherwise lawful activity. Under the PBO and 4(d) rule, all incidental take of the NLEB is exempted from the ESA's take prohibitions under certain conditions. However, incidental take is prohibited within one quarter mile from known hibernacula and winter roost, or within 150 feet from a known maternity roost tree during the months of June and July.

In consideration of known hibernacula, winter roosts, and maternity roost tree locations in South Carolina, this letter hereby offers blanket concurrence for a may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect determination for the NLEB if the proposed work occurs more than one quarter mile from known hibernacula, winter roosts, or is further than 150 feet from a known maternity roost trees. If an activity falls within one-quarter mile of hibernacula or winter roost or within 150 feet of a maternity roost tree additional consultation with the Service will be required. As a conservation measure for all projects it is recommended that all tree clearing activities be conducted during the NLEB inactive season of November 15<sup>th</sup> to March 31<sup>st</sup> of any given year.

#### Clearance to Proceed

For all of the above listed projects that meet the criteria, have no effect or impact upon federally protected species or designated critical habitat, and, if applicable, meet the requirements of the NLEB 4(d) rule no further coordination with the Service is necessary. This letter may be

downloaded and serve as the Service's concurrence letter for your project. <u>The protected species survey or assessment conducted for the property should be included with this letter when submitting the project to Federal permitting agencies.</u>

Please note that obligations under the ESA must be reconsidered if: (1) new information reveals impacts of this identified action may affect any listed species or critical habitat in a manner not previously considered; (2) this action is subsequently modified in a manner which was not considered in this assessment; or (3) a new species is listed or critical habitat is designated that may be affected by the identified action.

The Service recommends that project proponents contact the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources regarding potential impacts to State protected species. If the proposed project will impact streams and/or wetlands, please contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District. The Service appreciates your cooperation in the protection of federally listed species and their habitats in South Carolina.

Sincerely

Thomas D. McCoy Field Supervisor

#### Karyn Desselle

From: Caldwell, Mark <mark\_caldwell@fws.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 7:35 AM

To: Karyn Desselle
Cc: Wolf, Morgan

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] NLEB Maternity Roosts and Hibernacula in SC

#### Karyn,

Good morning and thank you for your request. The Service is not aware of any new NLEB hibernacula in SC. There are only the two that you have noted. Bats have been captured in various locations but the only maternity roost noted is the one Berkeley County.

#### Mark

Mark A. Caldwell
Deputy Field Supervisor
US Fish and Wildlife Service
South Atlantic-Gulf Region
South Carolina Ecological Services
176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200
Charleston, SC 29407
843-300-0426 (direct line)
843-870-0041 (cell)
843-300-0189 – facsimile

This email correspondence and any attachments to and from this sender is subject to the Freedom of Information Act and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Karyn Desselle < Karyn Desselle@hornellp.com >

Sent: Friday, January 22, 2021 5:50 PM
To: Caldwell, Mark < mark caldwell@fws.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] NLEB Maternity Roosts and Hibernacula in SC

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

#### Good afternoon Mark,

It's been a while but we spoke in January 2019, regarding the locations of NLEB maternity roosts and hibernacula in SC, for the purposes of conducting environmental reviews for the HUD CDBG-DR funded Housing Program for the South

Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (now under the Office of Resilience). At that time, you provided the locations below. With the release of new information showing increases in the range of the species, we wanted to see if there have been any changes in hibernacula and maternity roost locations?

0.25 Mi Buffer - Pickens County hibernacula at Table Rock State Park : 35.043748, -82.709153 0.25 Mi Buffer - Oconee County hibernacula at Stumphouse Tunnel; 34.811032, -83.123822

150 ft Buffer - Berkeley County maternity roost - located approximately at 33.10744, -79.65790.

Also, we will be sending out a few programmatic notices over the next few weeks/months, should we send those to charleston regulatory@fws.gov or to your attention, or someone else? Thanks in advance!

Best Regards,

#### Karyn Desselle

Manager, Government Services | HORNE

0: 225.755.9798 D: 225.341.6169 M: 225.931.7052

10000 Perkins Rowe, Suite 610 Bldg G, Baton Rouge, LA 70810

homellp.com[gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com] Linkedin\_[gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com] Twitter [gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com] Blog [gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com]

#### **Explosive and Flammable Hazards**

24 CFR 51(c)

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

All agency coordination will be conducted on a case-by-case basis.

#### **Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination**

There are inherent potential dangers associated with locating HUD-assisted projects near hazardous facilities which store, handle, or process hazardous substances of a flammable or explosive nature. Project sites located too close to facilities handling, storing or processing conventional fuels, hazardous gases or chemicals of an explosive or flammable nature may expose occupants or end-users of a project to the risk of injury in the event of a fire or an explosion. To address this risk, regulations at 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C require "HUD-assisted projects" to be separated from these facilities by a distance that is based on the contents and volume of the aboveground storage tank, or to implement mitigation measures.


The definition of "HUD-assisted project" at 24 CFR 51.201 is predicated on whether the project increases the number of people exposed to hazardous operations. Therefore, activities to reconstruct, rehabilitate, or replace housing that existed prior to the disaster, where the number of dwelling units is not increased, and the activities are limited to the general area of the pre-existing footprint, are not required to apply the acceptable separation distance (ASD) standards in 24 CFR Part 51C. An ASD analysis is required if the number of dwelling units increases and / or the building footprint changes substantially, potentially bringing the structure (and number of residents) closer to an aboveground tank containing a flammable or explosive substance.

HUD has updated the definition of "hazard" in 24 CFR 51.201 to exclude from mandatory separation distance requirements in 24 CFR part 51, subpart C all containers that are 1,000 gallons or less in water volume capacity and comply with the National Fire Protection Association Code 58, in the 2017 edition (NFPA 58 (2017)). Therefore, the following categories of containers are not covered by 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C requirements, in spite of the fact that they store or handle covered gases or liquids:

- Stationary aboveground containers that store natural gas and have floating tops
- Underground storage containers, mobile conveyances (tank trucks, barges, railroad tank cars), and pipelines, such as high-pressure natural gas transmission pipelines or liquid petroleum pipelines
- Aboveground storage tanks that are ancillary to a one-to-four-unit single-family FHA-insured property
- Aboveground storage tanks containing liquified petroleum gas ("LPG" or propane) when they are 1,000 gallons or less in volume and comply with the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 58, version 2017 (NFPA 58 (2017)).

In these jurisdictions, citation to the NFPA website (codefinder.nfpa.org) referencing the applicable state or local code is sufficient to document that any tank in that jurisdiction containing propane of 1,000 gallons or less water volume is excepted from coverage under 24 CFR part 51, subpart C. As verified by NFPA Code

<u>Finder</u>, with the exception of a single reference by IFGC in the City of Columbia to NFPA (2014), the entire State of South Carolina, including the project area, has adopted and is in compliance with NFPA 58 (2017). The City of Columbia is outside of the project area for the program.



#### **Site Specific Review Process**

Projects involving reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of housing that existed prior to the disaster, where the number of dwelling units is not increased and the activities are limited to the general area of the pre-existing footprint, will not require further review for above ground storage tanks.

Projects involving a relocation of an MHU to a new location on the same property or to a different previously developed property will require further review. An ASD analysis using HUD's <u>ASD Calculator</u> will be performed for all ASTs identified within 1 mile of the project site, through site reconnaissance, detailed review of recent

aerial imagery and contacting local agencies with an interest in tracking the locations of ASTs within a specific community. If the AST meets or exceeds the acceptable separation distance from the project site, or the risk of exposure to blast overpressure and thermal radiation can be sufficient mitigated through the presence of natural barriers, existing man-made barriers, or reconfiguring or relocating the project site, the project may proceed. The Tier II Sit-Specific Review Checklist will document the determination, to include the ASD analysis and any mitigating factors, as required.

If the acceptable separation distance is not met, and mitigating factors are insufficient to prevent exposure to blast overpressure and thermal radiation, the project cannot proceed.

#### **Farmland Protection**

Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR 658

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

U.S. Department of Agriculture, South Carolina Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)

#### **Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination**

The Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) of 1981 (7 USC 4201 et seq.) regulates Federal actions with the potential to convert farmland to non-agricultural uses. The purpose of the Act, as regulated in 7 CFR 658, is "to minimize the extent to which Federal programs contribute to the unnecessary and irreversible conversion of farmland to nonagricultural uses."

"Farmland", in accordance with 7 CFR 658.2(a), is defined as "prime or unique farmlands as defined in section 1540(c)(1) of the Act or farmland that is determined by the appropriate ... government agency ... to be farmland of statewide or local importance." The definition further explains that farmland does not include land already in or committed to urban development or water storage, and that farmland already in urban development includes all land with a density of 30 structures per 40-acre area.

Pursuant to 7 CFR § 658.3(c) the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) does not apply to the purchase, maintenance, renovation or replacement of existing structures and sites converted prior to application for HUD funding, including actions related to the construction of minor new ancillary structures, such as garages or sheds.

Hence, the regulations to protect Farmlands do not apply to projects involving rehabilitation, reconstruction, acquisition/buyout (demolition of an existing structure), replacement of existing homes, and relocation of replacement MHUs onto previously developed lots where all existing utility connections and systems are in place, as these properties were previously converted to non-agricultural use when the initial development occurred.

Additionally, the SC NRCS was contacted on May 8, 2018 regarding Hurricane Matthew housing program activities. A response was received on June 1, 2018 stating that, "the project is in an area already in urban development or is in existing right-of-ways. There is no significant impact on Prime or Statewide Important Farmlands."

The Farmland Protection review is concluded at the Tier I Broad Environmental Review Level.


#### **Site Specific Review Process**

FPPA does not apply to the proposed project activities. The Tier II Site-Specific Review Checklist will document that the review was concluded at the Tier I level.


# Prime Farmland - Horry County Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program











Sources: NRCS Farmland and Soils Data compiled by ESRI Living Atlas; ESRI Imagery Basemap service.

#### **Historic Preservation**

National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

South Carolina Dept of Archives and History, State Historic Preservation Office

#### **Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination**

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, requires the lead federal agency with jurisdiction over a federally funded or federally-licensed activity to consider impacts to historic properties before approving a project. The implementing regulation of Section 106, issued by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), is 36 CFR 800. If the project requires Section 106 approval, it is called an undertaking. The historic preservation review process mandated by Section 106 is outlined in regulations issued by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. Revised regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties" (36 CFR 800), became effective August 5, 2004 (https://www.achp.gov/protecting-historic-properties). Resources for evaluation include: the National Register database, existing state and local inventories, local historical and preservation organizations, and local planning departments to identify properties that are listed in or eligible for the National Register.

The NHPA regulation establishes the process to identify cultural resources that may be impacted by the undertaking and evaluate their eligibility for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). It further requires assessing the effects of an undertaking on historic properties, and specifies the consultation methods to avoid, reduce, or mitigate any adverse effects to historic properties. Adverse effects include, but are not limited to, destruction or alteration of all or part of a property; isolation from or alteration of its surrounding environment; introduction of visual, audible, or atmospheric elements that are out of character with the property or that alter its setting; transfer or sale of a federally owned property without adequate conditions or restrictions regarding preservation, maintenance, or use; and neglect of a property resulting in its deterioration or destruction.

A historic property is defined as any building, district, structure, archaeological site, or object that is either listed, or eligible for listing, in the NRHP. Under this regulatory definition, other cultural resources can be present within a project's Area of Potential Effect but are not considered historic properties if they do not meet the NRHP eligibility requirements. To be considered eligible for the NRHP, a property must meet one of the four following criteria (36 CFR 60.4): (a) they are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; (b) they are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; (c) they embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or (d) they have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

The South Carolina Department of Archives and History was contacted for comment regarding project compliance for activities related to Hurricane Matthew in a letter dated October 11, 2016. In an email dated

October 18, 2016, the following clarifications and guidance was provided, "Rehabilitation and Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes: Rehabs of buildings less than 45 years old would be excluded per I.B.1 of the FEMA PA. Otherwise, other specific rehab activities can be excluded primarily under II.'s Tier Two Allowances, regardless of building age. Demolition and/or Reconstruction to buildings less than 45 years old would be excluded per II.B.11. If a building is well over 45 years old and its activities (rehab, demolition and/or reconstruction) are not excluded from review per the Allowances then standard Section 106 consultation is recommended."

"Replacement of MHU's: These would also be addressed by the above citations. However, while the FEMA PA does not specifically address MHU's (i.e. mobile homes), our office has no concerns with repairs to, or demolition or replacement of any MHU, regardless of age. Consultation with our office for MHU projects is not necessary."

Follow-up letters seeking additional comments regarding project compliance for activities related to Hurricane Florence were sent to both the South Carolina Department of Archives and History State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and the Catawba Indian Nation Tribal Historic Preservation Officer on January 26, 2021. The SC SHPO responded on 2/8/2021 concurring with the consultation approach outlined in the January 26, 2021 letter. No response was received from the THPO.

The HUD Addendum to the South Carolina Programmatic Agreement (PA) among the Federal Emergency Management Agency, The South Carolina State Historic Preservation Officer, The South Carolina Emergency Management Division, and Tribes Participating as Invited Signatories to include the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office and Participating South Carolina Units of General Local Government, was executed on November 16, 2016. The below procedures follow the process defined therein.

#### **Site Specific Review Process**

All projects have the potential to adversely affect historic properties through inappropriate alterations to:

- 1. the applicant building itself (if listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places [NRHP]);
- 2. a NRHP-listed or NRHP-eligible district if the work site is within its boundaries; and/or
- 3. an archaeology site that is NRHP-listed or NRHP-eligible, or that is significant to a Native American Tribe.

Above-ground (architectural history) and below-ground (archaeology) assessments have different review requirements. They shall be assessed separately by appropriate professionals, overseen by an archaeologist and architectural historian that each meet their respective qualifications as listed by the Secretary of the Interior (SOI) (https://www.nps.gov/history/local-law/arch\_stnds\_9.htm).

If the proposed activities meet the first tier PA allowance(s), this will be recorded on the SSC and the historic preservation review is concluded for both archaeology and architectural history.

If the proposed activity does not meet the first-tier allowances, an SOI-qualified architectural historian/archaeologist, as appropriate, will further evaluate whether it is already NRHP-listed or is potentially eligible for listing as a NRHP property at the individual level. If negative, and all project activities comply with


the second-tier allowances in the PA, these shall be identified on the SSC and the architectural review shall be completed by the SOI-qualified architectural historian/archaeologist, as appropriate.

If that review indicates that the building is NRHP-listed or potentially individually NRHP-eligible, is located within any designated historic district (local, NRHP-eligible, or NRHP-listed) or the pre-storm residence is of historic-age and project activities do not meet PA allowances, then a memorandum or letter report with appropriate photographs and maps will be prepared by the architectural historian. This document will also identify whether the proposed program activity will result in a Section 106 determination of "No Adverse Effect" or "Adverse Effect". The report will then be submitted to the SC SHPO to review and concur or object to the finding.

## **Historic Preservation - Horry County Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program**








**County Boundary** 

Not Eligible/Requires Evaluation

Not Eligible/Requires Evaluation

Significant Resource



Sources: Historic Preservation Data obtained from the State of North Carolina GIS Portal; ESRI Imagery Basemap service.

#### **Noise Abatement and Control**

Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

All agency coordination will be made on a case-by-case basis.

#### **Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination**

The Noise Control Act of 1972 regulates noise to protect people from negative health and welfare effects resulting from noise pollution in the environment. HUD's noise standards may be found in 24 CFR Part 51, Subpart B. Consideration of noise applies to the acquisition of undeveloped land and existing development as well – where these activities result in a new noise-sensitive land use. For proposed new construction in high noise areas, the project must incorporate noise mitigation features.

HUD has determined that noise abatement and control is not applicable to a disaster recovery program which meets the definition under 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3): "The policy does not apply to...any action or emergency assistance under disaster assistance provisions or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster."

Rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement (Proposed Actions 1-6) fit this definition and will not require further review. Although relocated replacement MHUs may be in a 'new' location, per program requirements, the home must be placed on an existing residentially developed MHU lot –thus the 'new' location is actually a previously existing noise-sensitive (residential) land use, and also fits the definition above.

Additionally, acquisition / buyout (Proposed Action 7) involves demolition and conversion to greenspace in perpetuity, which will not result in a noise-sensitive land use.

The review for Noise is concluded at the Tier I Broad Environmental Review level.

#### **Site Specific Review Process**

HUD's noise regulations do not apply to projects involving reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of housing for the purpose of restoring facilities substantially as existed prior to the disaster, and projects that will not result in a new noise-sensitive land use. The Tier II Site-Specific Review Checklist will document that the review was concluded at the Tier I level.

#### **Sole Source Aquifers**

Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR 149

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

No agencies were consulted.

#### **Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination**

Aquifers and surface water are drinking water systems that may be impacted by development. The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 requires protection of drinking water systems that are the sole or principal drinking water source for an area and which, if contaminated, would create a significant hazard to public health.

Sole Source Aquifer designations are one tool to protect drinking water supplies in areas where alternatives to the groundwater resource are few, cost-prohibitive, or nonexistent. The designation protects an area's ground water resource by requiring U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) review of any proposed projects within the designated area that are receiving federal financial assistance. All proposed projects receiving federal funds are subject to review to ensure they do not endanger the water source.

Only new construction and conversion activities are subject to review for Sole Source Aquifers (SSA).

A review of the EPA regional Sole Source Aquifer (SSA) maps determined that the project area is not within the boundaries of a designated SSA. There are no sole source aquifers located in South Carolina. The review for SSA is concluded at the Tier I Broad Environmental Review level.


#### **Site Specific Review Process**

There are no sole source aquifers in South Carolina. The Tier II Site-Specific Review Checklist will document that the review was concluded at the Tier I level.

# Sole Source Aquifers Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program








Study Area

Sole Source Aquifers



Sources: Aquifer data obtained from the Environmental Protection Agency's GIS Portal; ESRI Imagery Basemap service.

#### **Wetlands and Surface Waters Protection**

Executive Order 11990 (particularly sections 2 and 5), and Clean Water Act Compliance (33 CFR 320 - 330)

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

All agency coordination will be made on a case-by-case basis.

#### **Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination**

Executive Order (EO) 11990 was issued "to avoid to the extent possible the long- and short-term adverse impacts associated with wetlands as defined at Section 6(e) and to avoid direct or indirect support of new construction (draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities or placement of any buildings or facilities) in wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative." In addition to compliance with EO 11990, project activities located within wetlands or with surface waters (e.g., creeks, lake shores or coastline) may also be subject to permitting under Sections 401 and 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA).

Sections 401 and 404 provide the USACE with the authority to permit or deny placement of dredge or fill material in waters of the U.S. (see https://www.epa.gov/wotus-rule). Examples of fill include, but are not limited to: rock, sand, soil, clay, plastics, construction debris, wood, overburden from excavation activities, and materials used to create any building or infrastructure within a water of the U.S. designated water or wetland. All activities will be located on lands privately owned by the applicant and Sections 10 and 14 (also Section 408) of the Rivers and Harbor Act will not apply.

Based on a review of the National Wetlands Inventory data, there are wetlands throughout the program area and it is assumed that at least some of the proposed project sites will intersect with NWI-mapped wetlands. Projects that involve new construction (as defined in Executive Order 11990), expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance in a wetland (per NWI and verified by site reconnaissance and the presence of wetland indicators) are required to obtain any necessary permits as required by the Corps and are subject to processing under 24 CFR 55.20 (unless an exemption applies).

Although the 8-Step Decision Making Process did not specifically take these alternatives into consideration, 24 CFR §55.12 "Inapplicability of 24 CFR Part 55 to certain categories of proposed actions" states that this part shall not apply to:

1. "The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, but only if: (i) The property is cleared of all existing structures and related improvements; (ii) The property is dedicated for permanent use for flood control, wetland protection, park land, or open space; and (iii) A permanent covenant or comparable restriction is placed on the property's continued use to preserve the floodplain or wetland from future development." (24 CFR §55.12(c)(3))

The Program criteria for acquisition/buyout mandate that acquired, damaged single-family residential properties in the 100-year floodplain be demolished and cleared, these properties will then be converted to

greenspace in perpetuity through a restrictive covenant placed on the property to prevent future redevelopment of the property. Therefore, it has been determined that further analysis under the 8-Step Decision Making Process would not be required for acquisition/buyout activities.

#### **Site Specific Review Process**

All projects that involve new construction (as defined in Executive Order 11990), expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance will be evaluated to determine if the project site is located in or adjacent to a wetland (per NWI and verified by site reconnaissance and the presence of wetland indicators) or waterbody.

If there is no evidence that project construction activities could impact a water / wetland feature then the review will be complete. The finding will be noted in the project file and documented through appropriate notes, maps and photographs.

If the desktop review, site inspection, agency coordination and/or aquatic features delineation study ascertain that a jurisdictional water / wetland is present or adjacent to the proposed construction work area then this finding will be recorded through a memorandum or letter report from a professional ecologist. This document will provide, where feasible, recommendations that present practical mitigation actions that could avoid or minimize potential adverse impacts to the aquatic feature.


If the option is feasible the applicant may be asked to move the program activity to another location that is confirmed to be outside all wetlands / waters of the US. If this option is not feasible then the document and site recommendations will be submitted to the USACE for review and comment. If approved by the USACE then the applicant will be informed of the mitigation requirements. As required by the USACE, on a case-by-case basis, the 8-Step process outlined at 24 CFR 55.20 with modifications necessary for compliance with Sections 2 and 5 of EO 11990, will be performed. Included in the ERR will be any compliance requirements to meet USACE permit needs.

All relevant findings for each application will be documented on the Tier II Site-Specific Review Checklist and kept on file in the final ERR.

## National Wetlands Inventory - Horry County Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program







#### Wild and Scenic Rivers

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

National Park Service

#### **Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination**

The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act created the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS) in 1968 to protect selected rivers in a free-flowing condition and to recognize their importance to our cultural and natural heritage (16 USC 1271). The NWSRS includes, designated Wild and Scenic Rivers, Study Rivers and the National Rivers Inventory. The Act prohibits federal support for activities such as construction of dams or other onstream activities that could harm a designated river's free-flowing condition, water quality or outstanding resource values. Boundaries for protected rivers generally extend one-quarter mile from either bank in the lower 48 states.

South Carolina has approximately 29,898 miles of river, of which 41.9 miles are designated as wild & scenic. The Chattooga River is the only river is South Carolina that is designated as wild and scenic. There are currently 3 study rivers, none of which are located in South Carolina. The Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) is a listing of more than 3,400 free-flowing rivers or river segments in the US that are believed to possess one or more "outstanding remarkable" natural or cultural value. Under a 1979 Presidential Directive, all federal agencies must seek to avoid or mitigate actions that would adversely affect one or more of the NRI segments. There are ten (10) NRI segments located within the program project area.

A request for comment was submitted to the National Park Service on May 8, 2018 in regard to Hurricane Matthew housing activities. No response was received.

Based on the distance to the rivers, and the general location of the project sites within the project area, the Program will not impact a designated Wild and Scenic River or Study River. Project activities will not include any water resources projects that require Section 404 permits (dams, water diversion projects, bridges, roadway construction or reconstruction, boat ramps, etc.). Additionally, the proposed project activities are limited to reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of existing housing, and any ground disturbance would be limited to the disturbed area of the previously developed lot and therefore, are not likely to have an adverse effect on the natural, cultural, and/or recreational values of an NRI segment.

The review for Wild and Scenic Rivers is concluded at the Tier I Broad Environmental Review level.

#### **Site Specific Review Process**

The Tier II Site-Specific Review Checklist will document that the review was concluded at the Tier I level. All projects will be conditioned to "take care to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on the rivers identified in the Nationwide Inventory."

#### WILD & SCENIC RIVERS

SOUTH	Chattooga	May 10, 1974. The segment from 0.8 miles below Cashiers
CAROLINA		Lake in North Carolina to the Tugaloo Reservoir. The West Fork from its confluence with the main stem upstream 7.3 miles.
		Wild — 41.6 miles; Scenic — 2.5 miles; Recreational — 14.6 miles; Total — 58.7 miles

#### **STUDY RIVERS**

OREGON	Cave, Lake, No Name and Panther Creeks	December 19, 2014 (Public Law 113-291). Cave Creek from the River Styx to the boundary of the Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest. Lake Creek from its headwaters at Bigelow Lakes to the confluence with Cave Creek. No Name Creek from its headwaters to the confluence with Cave Creek. Panther Creek from its headwaters to the confluence with Lake Creek. Upper Cave Creek from its headwaters to the confluence with the River Styx.
		8.3 miles
CONNECTICUT	Housatonic River	November 11, 2016 (Section 2(a)(ii) Application by Governor Malloy). From the Massachusetts/Connecticut border downstream to Boardman Bridge in New Milford, Connecticut.
		41.0 miles
MAINE	York River	December 19, 2014 (Public Law 113-291). From the headwaters of the York River at York Pond to the mouth of the river at York Harbor and any associated tributaries.
		11.3 miles plus tributaries

#### **National Rivers Inventory (within Program Counties)**

River	County	Reach	Length (miles)	Description	ORVs	Water- shed (HUC Code 8)	Year Listed / Update d
LITTLE PEE DEE RIVER	Marion, Horry, Dillon	SC 57 bridge to confluence with Pee Dee River	118	Low country blackwater river with many reaches of remote swampland and pristine cypress forests; sandy beaches; plentiful waterfowl.	Cultural, Fish, Historic, Recreational, Scenic, Wildlife	Little Pee Dee	1982


LUMBER RIVER	Marion, Horry, Dillon	NC State line to confluence with Little Pee Dee River	17	Forested, swampy floodplain rich in wildlife, including Swainsons Warbler and Red- Cockaded Woodpecker; excellent fishery; of Revolutionary War significance.	Cultural, Fish, Historic, Recreational, Scenic, Wildlife	Little PeeDee	1982
PEE DEE RIVER	Georgetown, Horry, Marion, Florence, Dillon, Darlington, Marlboro, Chesterfield	NC State line to Atlantic Ocean	177	Flows through lowland swamps, scenic bluffs; numerous oxbow lakes and sandbars; abundance of wildlife.	Cultural, Fish, Geologic, Historic, Recreational, Scenic, Wildlife	Lower PeeDee	1982
LYNCHES RIVER	Florence, Sumter, Lee, Darlington, Kershaw, Chesterfield	SC 903 bridge to confluence with Pee Dee River	152	Scenic and secluded coastal plain stream with stretches of whitewater; lush vegetation and dense forests.	Fish, Geologic, Recreational, Scenic, Wildlife	Carolina Coastal- Sampit	1982
NORTH SANTEE RIVER	Georgetown	Confluence with Wadmacon Creek to mouth at Santee Bay	18	Slow moving shallow swamp stream with natural corridor and diversity of flora and fauna.	Cultural, Fish, Geologic, Historic, Recreational, Scenic, Wildlife	Santee	1982
SANTEE RIVER	Charleston, Georgetown, Berkeley, Williamsburg, Clarendon	Santee River to confluence with South Santee River	71	Slow moving shallow swamp stream with natural corridor exhibiting a diversity of flora and fauna; numerous historical and archaeological sites.	Cultural, Fish, Geologic, Historic, Recreational, Scenic, Wildlife	Santee	1982
SAVANNAH RIVER	Charleston, Georgetown, Berkeley, Williamsburg, Clarendon	Hartwell Dam to confluence with Beer Garden Creek. Then from Augusta Regional Airport to Kings Island.	196	Popular year round for recreational activities; geological sites, including160 foot high Shell Bluffs; habitat for variety and abundance of wildlife.	Cultural, Fish, Geologic, Historic, Recreational, Scenic, Wildlife	Upper Savannah	1982


SOUTH SANTEE RIVER	Charleston, Georgetown, Berkeley, Williamsburg, Clarendon	Confluence with Santee River to Atlantic Ocean	16	Slow moving shallow swamp stream with natural corridor exhibiting a diversity of flora and fauna; numerous historical and archaeological sites	Cultural, Fish, Geologic, Historic, Recreational, Scenic, Wildlife	Santee	1982
WACCAMAW RIVER	Georgetown, Horry	NC State line to confluence with Pee Dee River	98	Deep blackwater swamp stream characterized by numerous buttressed tree species, predominately cypress draped with Spanish moss; abundance of wildlife	Cultural, Fish, Historic, Recreational, Scenic, Wildlife	Waccamaw	1982
BLACK RIVER	Georgetown, Williamsburg, Clarendon	Confluence with Pocotaligo River to Confluence with Pee Dee River	112	Southern blackwater stream with limestone bluffs and numerous buttressed tree species; oxbow lakes and white sand bars.	Cultural, Fish, Geologic, Historic, Recreational, Scenic, Wildlife	Black	1982


### National Wild and Scenic Rivers - Horry County Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program











Sources: National Wild and Scenic Rivers compiled by the US Forest Service, National Park Service, Bureau of Land Management, and US Fish and Wildlife Service; ESRI Imagery Basemap service.

# National Rivers Inventory - Horry County Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program








County Boundary

National Rivers Inventory



Sources: Nationwide Rivers Inventory obtained from the National Park Service; ESRI Imagery Basemap service.

#### **Environmental Justice**

**Executive Order 12898** 

#### **Regulatory Agencies Consulted**

All agency coordination will be made on a case-by-case basis.

#### **Regulatory Background and Broad Review Determination**

Executive Order 12898, "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-income Populations" (2/94) requires certain federal agencies, including HUD, to consider how federally assisted projects may have disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority and low-income populations.

As required by HUD per the federal register notice, low- to moderate-income households will receive at least 70% of the proposed Program funding. The proposed activities will assist these low- to moderate-income residents in the areas most affected by Hurricanes Matthew and Florence, many of whom are designated as minority populations. The program is designed to allow residents to return to, or continue living in, their current communities, with the primary goal of improving the condition of the housing, making it more durable, energy-efficient, safe from mold, asbestos, lead based paint, and other health and safety impacts. The program will also enhance health and safety by making many homes less vulnerable to flooding and future storm damage by repairing or replacing/reconstructing to current code and Housing Quality Standards and elevating the structures above the flood level.

While the program's intent is to beneficially impact these target populations, it is understood that any adverse environmental impacts that may be identified during the site-specific environmental review, could result in an unintended disproportionate, adverse impact.

#### **Site Specific Review Process**

Environmental Justice will be analyzed at the site-specific level once all sections of the Tier II Site-Specific Environmental Checklist are completed to determine if the project may adversely impact a low-income or minority population. If adverse impacts are identified, the impacts must be mitigated and documented in the Tier II Site-Specific Checklist.



Age 65+

#### **EJSCREEN ACS Summary Report**



Location: Horry County Ring (buffer): 0-mile radius Description: Horry County, SC

Summary of ACS Estimates			2013 - 2017
Population			310,188
Population Density (per sq. mile)			274
People of Color Population			70,948
% People of Color Population			23%
Households			125,168
Housing Units			198,229
Housing Units Built Before 1950			3,874
Per Capita Income			25,804
Land Area (sq. miles) (Source: SF1)			1,134.01
% Land Area			90%
Water Area (sq. miles) (Source: SF1)			120.93
% Water Area			10%
	2013 - 2017 <b>ACS Estimates</b>	Percent	MOE (±)
Population by Race			
Total	310,186	100%	į.
Population Reporting One Race	305,456	98%	3,560
White	250,756	81%	1,109
Black	42,576	14%	622
American Indian	1,399	0%	278
Asian	3,802	1%	337
Pacific Islander	304	0%	90
Some Other Race	6,619	2%	1,124
Population Reporting Two or More Races	4,730	2%	707
Total Hispanic Population	18,578	6%	ì
Total Non-Hispanic Population	291,608		
White Alone	239,238	77%	369
Black Alone	41,901	14%	521
American Indian Alone	1,295	0%	261
Non-Hispanic Asian Alone	3,782	1%	336
Pacific Islander Alone	279	0%	80
Other Race Alone	979	0%	397
Two or More Races Alone	4,134	1 %	641
Population by Sex			
Male	150,251	48%	122
Female	159,935	52%	122
Population by Age			
Age 0-4	15,750	5%	93
Age 0-17	58,962	19%	1,131
Age 18+	251,224	81%	2,209
A and CE t	66,000	0.400	

Data Note: Détail may not sum to totals dué to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race. N/A means not available. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS) 2013 - 2017

January 26, 2021 1/3

66,092

21%

1,304



#### **EJSCREEN ACS Summary Report**



Location: Horry County Ring (buffer): 0-mile radius Description: Horry County, 8C

	2013 - 2017 <b>ACS Estimates</b>	Percent	MOE (±)
Population 25+ by Educational Attainment			
Total	226,485	100%	157
Less than 9th Grade	7,418	3%	695
9th - 12th Grade, No Diploma	16,858	7%	985
High School Graduate	74,237	33%	1,715
Some College, No Degree	75,939	34%	1,839
Associate Degree	22,395	10%	891
Bachelor's Degree or more	52,033	23%	1,588
Population Age 5+ Years by Ability to Speak English			
Total	294,436	100%	86
Speak only English	272,422	93%	1,046
Non-English at Home <sup>1+2+3+4</sup>	22,014	7%	1,002
<sup>1</sup> Speak English "very well"	13,121	4%	965
<sup>2</sup> Speak English "well"	4,335	1%	547
<sup>3</sup> Speak English "not well"	3,501	1%	456
<sup>4</sup> Speak English "not at all"	1,057	0%	302
3+4Speak English "less than well"	4,558	2%	546
2+3+4Speak English "less than very well"	8,893	3%	772
Linguistically Isolated Households'			
Total	2,079	100%	320
Speak Spanish	1,463	70%	268
Speak Other Indo-European Languages	318	15%	126
Speak Asian-Pacific Island Languages	253	12%	114
Speak Other Languages	45	2%	44
Households by Household Income			
Household Income Base	125,168	100%	1,371
< \$15,000	15,845	13%	943
\$15,000 - \$25,000	14,862	12%	890
\$25,000 - \$50,000	36,572	29%	1,289
\$50,000 - \$75,000	25,189	20%	953
\$75,000 +	32,700	26%	1,250
Occupied Housing Units by Tenure	32,100	2070	1,200
Total	125,168	100%	1,371
Owner Occupied	87,486	70%	1,155
Renter Occupied	37,682	30%	
Employed Population Age 16+ Years	31,002	30 70	1,274
Total	257,775	100%	372
In Labor Force	149,154	58%	1,720
Civilian Unemployed in Labor Force	10,588	4%	771
Not In Labor Force	108,621	42%	1,801
TOTAL CONSTITUTE	100,021	44 /0	1,001

Data Note: Datail may not sum to itotals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race. N/A means not available, Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS) "Households in which no one 14 and over speaks English "very well" or speaks English only.

January 26, 2021 2/3

Tier II Site Specific Environmental Review Checklist



## Hurricane Florence Single-Family Housing Program Tier II Site-Specific Environmental Review

Version 1.0 February 2021

(To be used following the EA-level Tier I Environmental Broad Review for projects involving rehabilitation, reconstruction, replacement, elevation, and relocation of owner-occupied single-family residential structures.)

#### **Project Information**

Program Name:	Hurricane Florence Single-Fa	mily Housing Program	
Matthew Case ID:		Florence Case ID:	
Applicant Name:			
Property Address:			
County:		Year Built:	
Parcel ID:		Coordinates (Lat/Long):	
Inspector Name:		Date Inspected:	
Preparer Name:		Date Prepared:	
Reviewer Name:		Date Reviewed:	
Proposed Action:			
Attachments:			
Project Description:			
Environmental Findin	g:		
☐ The proposed activi	ty conditionally complies with	environmental requiremen	ts for funding.
The proposed activithat makes it ineligible).	ty does not comply with enviro	onmental requirements for	funding due to (state topic(s)



**HUD Grant Number:** 

#### SITE-SPECIFIC MITIGATION MEASURES

#### **PROJECT CONDITIONS**

- 1. (EXAMPLE) The reconstructed structure must be reconstructed in the same location on the property and should not be shifted closer to the wetland areas of the property.
- 2. (EXAMPLE) The project site is located in the XYZ National Historic District; therefore, all reconstruction activities must adhere to the building plans, in design and materials, as shown in the approved plans to maintain compliance with the Certificate of Appropriateness.

#### **GENERAL MITIGATION MEASURES**

- All changes to the scope of work of a proposed activity, must be revised and resubmitted for reevaluation under NEPA (24 CFR 58.47).
- Acquire all required federal, state and local permits prior to commencement of construction and comply with all permit conditions.
- 3. Use of energy-efficient doors, water heaters and HVAC units, as well as the incorporation of weatherization measures to the extent practicable.

#### **HISTORIC PRESERVATION**

4. Ensure that the work does not diminish the historic integrity of any local historic district or historic property.

#### FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT AND FLOOD INSURANCE

- 5. All proposed reconstruction, manufactured housing replacement, substantial improvements, and elevation activities in the 100-year floodplain must adhere to the minimum standard of Base Flood Elevation plus 2 feet or the local floodplain requirements, whichever is more restrictive.
- 6. All residences in, or partially in, the 100-year floodplain shown on the current effective FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map must be covered by flood insurance and the flood insurance must be maintained per program guidelines.
- 7. Applications approved to build within the "Coastal High Hazard" areas ("V" or "VE" Zones shown on the current effective FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map) must adhere to construction standards, methods, and techniques requiring a registered professional engineer to either develop, review, or approve, per the associated location, specific Applicant elevation plans that demonstrate the design meets the current standards for V zones in FEMA regulation 44 CFR 60.3(e) as required by HUD Regulation 24 CFR 55.1(c)(3).

#### **WETLANDS / WATER QUALITY**

- 8. Implement and maintain erosion and sedimentation control measures sufficient to prevent deposition of sediment and eroded soil in onsite and offsite wetlands and waters. This includes buffering and filtering runoff water and using BMPs to control nonpoint source runoff.
- 9. Soil compaction will be minimized by controlling project activities in vegetated areas, including lawns.
- 10. Protect existing drain inlets from debris, soil and sedimentation.



11. Protect stream, wetlands, woods and other natural areas from any unnecessary construction activities or disturbance.

#### **NOISE**

- 12. Outfit all heavy equipment with operating mufflers.
- 13. Comply with the applicable local noise ordinance.

#### **AIR QUALITY**

- 14. Utilize alternatively fueled equipment when possible.
- 15. Utilize emission controls applicable to the equipment.
- 16. Reduce idling time on construction equipment.
- 17. Minimize dust emissions through good operating practices.
- 18. Retrofit, repower, or replace older and more polluting diesel construction equipment in order to satisfy clean air construction requirements, as necessary.
- 19. Require an asbestos survey and project license as may be required prior to any demolition activities such as deconstruction of a building or removal of structures in the right-of-way of a road project.

#### **HAZARDOUS MATERIALS**

- 20. Except where exempted, all activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding asbestos, including but not limited to the following:
  - Regulation 61-86.1, Standards of Performance for Asbestos Projects,
  - Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Asbestos Standard,
  - 1926.1101 and National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) Asbestos.
  - Applicant must comply with all laws and regulations concerning the proper handling, removal and disposal of hazardous materials (e.g. asbestos, lead-based paint) or household waste (e.g., construction and demolition debris, pesticides/herbicides, white goods).
- 21. All activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding lead-based paint, including but not limited to:
  - EPA's Repair, Renovation, and Painting (RRP) Rule (40 CFR 745.80(e));
  - HUD's lead-based paint regulations in 24 CFR 35(a)(b)(h)(j)(r);
  - HUD's "Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing".
- 22. Project rehabilitation and new construction shall apply appropriate materials and construction techniques to prevent radon gas contamination (https://www.epa.gov/radon/radon-resources-builders-and-contractors).
- 23. Upon completion all rehabilitated residential dwellings must be free of mold attributable to the disaster event.
- 24. Comply with all laws, regulations, and industry standards applicable to above ground and underground storage tanks.
- 25. Storage tanks installed below the base flood elevation must be watertight and must be anchored to resist floatation and lateral movement during a storm surge or other flood.



#### **COASTAL ZONE**

26. Septic tank repair or replacement in a coastal zone will be situated as safe distance from the shoreline to ensure proper drainage and filtering of tank effluents before they reach the water's edge with special attention given in identified erosion areas.

#### **NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS**

**27.** Contractors are required to "take care to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on the rivers identified in the Nationwide Inventory" when present in the vicinity of construction activities.



#### \*OPTIONAL\*

Eı	nvironmental Site-Specific Conditions to be Addressed Dur	ing Construction
	Category	Inspection Checkpoints (0, 50%, 100%)
	Historic Preservation	
	Local Historic Preservation Commission permits	
	Use of historically acceptable building materials	Pre-Con, 50%, 100%
	Specific historic building exterior design	116-0011, 3070, 10070
	Setback restrictions	
	Required to report unusual buried cultural materials	When occurs
	Floodplain, Elevation, and Insurance	
	V-zone engineering design standards required	Pre-Con
	Damaged building is in floodway and must be entirely removed	100%
	Permanent restrictive covenant required for floodway	Pre-Con
	Elevation to required level above BFE	100%
	Purchase and maintain NFIP flood insurance	100%
	Coastal Zone Management	
	Coastal zone management conditions	Pre-Con, 100%
	Hazardous Materials	
	Lead-based paint hazard noted for rehabilitation:	
	LBP testing report negative. No hazard present	Pre-Con
	Assuming present. Requires controls and clearance report	50%, 100%
	LBP testing report positive. Requires controls and clearance	Pre-Con, 100%
	Asbestos hazard noted for reconstruction. Landfill ticket required.	100%
	Asbestos hazard noted for rehabilitation:	
	Asbestos testing report negative. No hazard present	Pre-Con
	Assuming present. Requires controls and clearance.	50%, 100%
	Asbestos testing report positive. Requires controls and clearance	Pre-Con, 50%, 100%
	Debris present that must be segregated to authorized landfill	100%
	Mold hazard noted for rehabilitation:	
	Mold testing report negative. No hazard present	Pre-Con
	Assuming present. Requires remediation and clearance report	50%, 100%
	Mold testing report positive. Requires remediation and clearance	Pre-Con, 50%, 100%
	Other hazardous material(s) identified that require mitigation	Pre-Con, 100%
	Other	
		Call SCDRO
		Call SCDRO
	Builder's Pre-Construction Meeting Receipt Acknowledge	ment
Builder'		Date:
Represe	entative	
Builder'	s Signature	



## **Site-Specific Review Checklist**

1. Airport Hazards (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D)
Is the proposed project site located in one of the counties where airport hazards were eliminated at the Tier I level (Chesterfield, Darlington, Dillon, Georgetown, Marlboro, Marion)?
Yes. Airport Hazards Analysis Complete.
No. The proposed project site located in a county where airport hazards exist (Florence, Horry). See attached Airport Map. Is the proposed project site located within 2,500' of a civil airport of 15,000' of a military airfield?
No. Airport Hazards Analysis Complete.
Yes. Is the proposed project site located in a Runway Protection Zone/Clear Zone (RPZ/CZ) or Accident Potential Zone (APZ)?
No. Airport Hazards Analysis Complete.
Yes. Does the project involve new construction, substantial rehabilitation, acquisition of undeveloped land, or activities that would significantly prolong the physical or economic life of the existing structure?
Yes. THE PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROCEED.
No. Project activities are limited to minor rehabilitation or buyout for conversion to greenspace. Complete Sections I and II below.
I. Runway Protection Zone/Clear Zone (RPZ/CZ)
Proposed project site is NOT in an RPZ/CZ. Proceed to Section II.
Project site is located in an RPZ/CZ. Is the project part of clear zone acquisition program?
Yes. THE PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROCEED.
No. Applicant has been informed of the potential hazards from airplane accidents as well as the potential for the property to be purchased as part of a future airport expansion project. See attached Airport Hazards Notification Letter.  Airport Hazards Analysis Complete upon completion of RPZ/CZ & APZ review sections.
II. Accident Potential Zone (APZ)
Proposed project site is NOT in an APZ. See RPZ/CZ review section.
Project site is located in an APZ. Is the proposed project consistent with Department of Defense (DOD) Land Use Compatibility Guidelines?
No. THE PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROCEED.
Yes. See attached documentation of consistency with DOD guidelines. Airport Hazards Analysis Complete upon completion of RPZ/CZ & APZ review sections.
OTHER: (explain finding)



2. Coastal Barrier Resources (Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal B	arrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501])
Is the proposed project site located in a non-coastal co eliminated at the Tier I level (Chesterfield, Darlington,	·
Yes. Coastal Barriers Analysis Complete.	
No. The proposed project site located in a county we have the proposed Barriers Map. Is the project site located in a county we have the project site located in a county we have the project site located in a county we have the proposed project site located in a county we have the proposed project site located in a county we have the proposed project site located in a county we have the proposed project site located in a county we have the proposed project site located in a county we have the proposed project site located in a county we have the proposed project site located in a county we have the project site located in a county we have the project site located in a county we have the project site located in a county we have the project site located in a county we have the project site located in a county we have the project site located in a county we have the project site located in a county we have the project site located in a county we have the project site located in a county we have the project site located in the	where coastal barrier resources exist (Georgetown, ct located in a CBRS Unit?
Yes. Project is not allowed within a CBRS Unit.	THE PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROCEED.
No. Coastal Barriers Analysis Complete.	
OTHER: (explain finding)	
<b>3. Floodplain Management and Flood Insurance</b> (EO 11988, 24 CFR 55, 24 CFR 58.6, Flood Disaster Protection 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a])	n Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of
FIRM Panel:	Effective Date:
Preliminary FIRM Panel:	Issue Date:
Is the subject structure located in the FEMA designated FIRM or Preliminary FIRM (if applicable)? See attached	
No. Floodplain Analysis Complete.	
Yes. Is the proposed project located in a FEMA-des	ignated <u>FLOODWAY</u> ?
Yes. Is the project acquisition / buyout?	
No. PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROC	EED.
<del></del>	ctures/development, and a restrictive covenant will be space in perpetuity in accordance with 24 CFR art 55. Floodplain Analysis Complete.
No. Is the project site located in a community National Flood Program?	that is participating and in good standing with the
No. PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROC	EED.
county and is included within the Tier I Environment in the Tier I Environment in the floodplain will be elevated to a minimum participants in the program whose property in the propert	king Process for Floodplains was completed for the commental Review. As outlined in the 8-Step, all at 24 CFR 55.2(b)(10), and reconstructed structures in of two feet above the base flood elevation. All s in the 100-year floodplain shown on the effective ary FIRM as Best Available Data will be required to e in perpetuity.
Additional requirements apply to projects loc Zones). Is the project site located in a "V" zor	cated in a Coastal High Hazard / Wave Action Zone ("V" ne?
No. Project must comply with requires. Floodplain Analysis Complet	rements outlined above. See Site-Specific Mitigation e.



Yes. In addition to the requirements outlined above, the project must adhere to the construction standards, methods and techniques outlined in 24 CFR Part 55.1(c)(3)(i) and (ii). See Site-Specific Mitigation Measures. Floodplain Analysis Complete. OTHER: (state finding) 4. Clean Air (Clean Air Act, as amended, Section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93) Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level. 5. Coastal Zone Management (Coastal Zone Management Act, Sections 307 (c), (d)) Is the proposed project site located in a county that is not part of South Carolina's Coastal Zone Management Program (SCCZMP) for which potential impacts were eliminated at the Tier I level (Chesterfield, Darlington, Dillon, Florence, Marlboro, Marion)? Yes. Coastal Zone Analysis Complete. No. The project is located in South Carolina's Coastal Zone Management Area. Is the project located in the vicinity of any one of the critical areas as defined in Section 3(J) of the South Carolina Coastal Management Act of 1977 which consist of: coastal waters, tide-lands, beaches and primary ocean-front sand dunes? No. Coastal Zone Analysis Complete. Yes. Does this project include expansion or addition to an existing structure, major rebuilding (rehabilitation of a habitable structure determined to be damaged 66.66% or more, pursuant to R.30-14(D)(3)(a) and (b)), replacement, or reconstruction activities? No. Proposed project is minor rehabilitation (less than 66.66% damaged). Coastal Zone Analysis Complete. Yes. Project includes expansion or addition to an existing structure, major rebuilding, replacement, or reconstruction, MHU replacement and/or elevation within previously disturbed parcel; or property acquisition / buyout; and is therefore, subject to review by South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management (SCDHEC OCRM). Project must receive approval or permits as required and must comply with all permit requirements. See Site-Specific Mitigation Measures. Coastal Zone Analysis Complete. OTHER: (state finding) 6. Contamination and Toxic Substances (24 CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)) COMPLETE SUBSECTIONS I-V. I. Site Inspection Findings

Are there any recognized environmental conditions (REC), such as obvious signs of hazardous, toxic, or radioactive materials or substances as observed on the site from the public right of way during the site visit that could adversely impact the proposed site where the specified REC could potentially affect the health and safety of occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property?



Address, City, State, Zip

Case ID

Case ID Address, City, State, Zip No. On-Site Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section II. Yes. Site inspection observations are included in the Environmental Questionnaire. Can the potentially hazardous conditions be resolved through mitigation? No. PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROCEED. Yes. Project must comply with the requirements outlined in the Site-Specific Mitigation Measures. On-Site Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section II. OTHER: (explain finding) II. Regulatory Agency Records Review Findings Is the subject property within the specified search radius of any facilities or sites of concern where toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances, are known or suspected to be present? See attached Hazardous Facilities Map. No. Regulatory Records Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section III. Yes. There are facilities or sites of concern within their respective search radii. See attached Hazardous Facilities review table. Do any of these sites/facilities pose a threat to the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? No. As described in the Hazardous Facilities review table, based upon further investigation (including additional records reviews, correspondence with the regulatory oversight agency), proximity and topography, mechanisms for exposure, regulatory status ("No Further Action" (NFA), closed, inactive), or other documentation of the status and extent of hazardous conditions, it has been determined that the hazardous sites and facilities of concern, are not suspected of adversely impacting the proposed project site. Therefore, and toxic substances or contaminants associated with these facilities are not likely to be present on the subject property at harmful levels that would pose a threat to the health or safety of the site occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property. Regulatory Records Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section III. Yes. Can the hazardous environmental conditions be mitigated to prevent the hazard from affecting the health and safety of project occupants? No. PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROCEED. Yes. Project must comply with the requirements outlined in the Site-Specific Mitigation Measures. Regulatory Records Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section III. OTHER: (explain finding) **III. Lead Based Paint** Structure Date of Construction: Was the structure built after January 1, 1978? Yes. Lead-Based Paint Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section IV. No. Is the proposed project rehabilitation? No. Reconstruction, replacement, or acquisition / buyout are exempt from lead-based paint testing and mitigation requirements. Lead-Based Paint Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section IV. Yes. See attached Lead-Based Paint Risk Assessment. Was the structure positive for lead-based paint or lead hazards?



No. Lead-Based Paint Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section IV.
Yes. Project must comply with the requirements outlined in the Site-Specific Mitigation Measures. <b>Lead-Based Paint Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section IV.</b>
IV. Asbestos
Was the structure built after January 1, 1982?
Yes. Asbestos Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section V.
No. Asbestos testing is required. Did the structure test positive for asbestos or is asbestos presumed to be present?
No. Asbestos Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section V.
Yes. Project must comply with the requirements outlined in the Site-Specific Mitigation Measures. <b>Asbestos Analysis Complete. Proceed to Section V.</b>
V. Mold
Is the proposed project rehabilitation?
No. Mold is not considered a concern in houses that are demolished, reconstructed, or replaced. <b>Mold Analysis Complete.</b>
Yes. Project must comply with the requirements outlined in the Site-Specific Mitigation Measures. <b>Mold Analysis Complete.</b>
*Contamination and Toxic Substances Analysis is complete only when ALL subsections (I-V), have been completed.*
7. Endangered Species (Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402)  Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level.
(Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402)
(Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402)  Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level.  8. Explosive and Flammable Hazards
(Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402)  Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level.  8. Explosive and Flammable Hazards (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)  Does the proposed project involve reconstruction, rehabilitation, replacement of housing that existed prior to the disaster, where the number of dwelling units is not increased and the activities are limited to the general
(Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402)  ☐ Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level.  8. Explosive and Flammable Hazards (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)  Does the proposed project involve reconstruction, rehabilitation, replacement of housing that existed prior to the disaster, where the number of dwelling units is not increased and the activities are limited to the general area of the pre-existing footprint, or acquisition / buyout?  ☐ Yes. The acceptable separation distance (ASD) standards in 24 CFR Part 51C do not apply. AST Analysis
(Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402)  ☐ Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level.  8. Explosive and Flammable Hazards (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)  Does the proposed project involve reconstruction, rehabilitation, replacement of housing that existed prior to the disaster, where the number of dwelling units is not increased and the activities are limited to the general area of the pre-existing footprint, or acquisition / buyout?  ☐ Yes. The acceptable separation distance (ASD) standards in 24 CFR Part 51C do not apply. AST Analysis Complete.  ☐ No. The project involves relocation of an MHU on the same property or to a different, previously developed property. Are there above ground storage tanks (ASTs) that contain explosive or combustible
(Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402)  ☐ Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level.  8. Explosive and Flammable Hazards (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)  Does the proposed project involve reconstruction, rehabilitation, replacement of housing that existed prior to the disaster, where the number of dwelling units is not increased and the activities are limited to the general area of the pre-existing footprint, or acquisition / buyout?  ☐ Yes. The acceptable separation distance (ASD) standards in 24 CFR Part 51C do not apply. AST Analysis Complete.  ☐ No. The project involves relocation of an MHU on the same property or to a different, previously developed property. Are there above ground storage tanks (ASTs) that contain explosive or combustible substances within one (1) mile of the project activity?
Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402)  Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level.  8. Explosive and Flammable Hazards (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)  Does the proposed project involve reconstruction, rehabilitation, replacement of housing that existed prior to the disaster, where the number of dwelling units is not increased and the activities are limited to the general area of the pre-existing footprint, or acquisition / buyout?  Yes. The acceptable separation distance (ASD) standards in 24 CFR Part 51C do not apply. AST Analysis Complete.  No. The project involves relocation of an MHU on the same property or to a different, previously developed property. Are there above ground storage tanks (ASTs) that contain explosive or combustible substances within one (1) mile of the project activity?  No. There are no ASTs within one (1) mile of the proposed project site. AST Analysis Complete.  Yes. See attached AST Map. Is the structure less than the acceptable separation distance (ASD) from
(Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402)



Yes. See attached mitigation documentation and correspondence from a licensed engineer. <b>AST Analysis Complete.</b>	
OTHER: (explain finding)	
9. Farmland Protection (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)  Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level.	
10. Historic Preservation (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)	
COMPLETE SUBSECTIONS I-V.	
I. Above Ground Resources	
Programmatic Agreement Review - Does the project consist solely of activities listed in the Programmatic Agreement (PA), Appendix B Allowances?	
Yes. The proposed scope of work for above ground resources conforms to the following allowances as outlined in the PA.	
Appendix B, Tier 1 Allowance(s):	
Appendix B, Tier 2 Allowance(s):	
Applied by:	
Does the Undertaking involve a National Historic Landmark?	
No. Review for Above Ground Resources is complete.	
Yes. Attach documentation that notification and appropriate information has been provided to the Southeast Region's National Park Service National Historic Landmark Manager, SHPO and the participating tribes. <b>Review for Above Ground Resources is complete.</b>	
No. The proposed scope of work for above ground resources does not meet the allowances listed in Appendix B of the PA. <b>Consultation is necessary, proceed below.</b>	
Standard Project Review – SHPO/Tribal Consultation	
No above ground Section 106-defined historic properties or NRHP-listed, NRHP-eligible or local historic districts are in the Area of Potential Effects.	
<ul> <li>No Historic Properties Affected Determination. SHPO concurrence on file. Above Ground Review Concluded.</li> </ul>	
☐ Individual historic properties or historic districts are located within the Area of Potential Effect.	
☐ No Adverse Effect Determination (SHPO concurrence on file)	
Are project conditions required?	
No. Above Ground Review Concluded.	
Yes. Attach conditions. Above Ground Review Concluded.	
Adverse Effect Determination (SHPO concurrence on file)	
Mitigation not possible. PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROCEED	
Adverse effect resolved. Resolution Method:	



Using measure(s) listed in PA (SHPO concurrence on file).		
Separate MOA on file.		
Are project conditions required?		
No. Above Ground Review Concluded.		
Yes. Attach conditions. Above Ground Review Concluded.		
OTHER: (explain finding)		
II. Archaeological Resources		
Programmatic Agreement Review - Does the project consist solely of activities listed in the Programmatic Agreement (PA), Appendix B Allowances?		
Yes. The proposed scope of work for below ground resources conforms to the following allowances as outlined in the PA.		
Appendix B, Tier 1 Allowance(s):		
Appendix B, Tier 2 Allowance(s):		
Applied by:		
No. The proposed scope of work for below ground resources does not meet the allowances listed in Appendix B of the PA. <b>Consultation is necessary, proceed below.</b>		
Standard Project Review – SHPO/Tribal Consultation Performed		
No known below ground resources are in the Area of Potential Effects.		
<ul> <li>No Historic Properties Affected Determination (SHPO concurrence on file) Below Ground</li> <li>Review Concluded.</li> </ul>		
Potential below ground resources are located within the Area of Potential Effect.		
No Adverse Effect Determination (SHPO concurrence on file)		
Are project conditions required?		
No. Below Ground Review Concluded.		
Yes. Attach conditions. <b>Below Ground Review Concluded.</b>		
Adverse Effect Determination (SHPO concurrence on file)		
Mitigation not possible. PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROCEED		
Adverse effect resolved. Resolution method:		
Using measure(s) listed in PA (SHPO concurrence on file).		
Separate MOA on file.		
Are project conditions required?		
No. Below Ground Review Concluded.		
Yes. Attach conditions. <b>Below Ground Review Concluded.</b>		
OTHER: (explain finding)		
*Historic Preservation Analysis is complete only when ROTH Subsections (I-II) have been completed *		



11. Noise Abatement and Control (24 CER Part 51 Subpart C)		
(24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)  Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level.		
12. Sole Source Aquifers (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)  Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level.		
13. Wetland Protection (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)		
Does the proposed project involve new construction (as defined in Executive Order 11990), expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance?		
No. Wetland Analysis Complete.		
Yes. Are there protected wetlands (per NWI, and verified by site reconnaissance or the presence of wetland indicators, or through a jurisdictional determination from the Corps) or waterbodies present on or adjacent to the proposed project site? See attached Wetland Map and applicable documentation.		
No. Wetland Analysis Complete.		
Yes. Will project activities adversely impact wetlands/water bodies?		
No. Mitigation measures will be incorporated into project activities to ensure that wetlands/water bodies are not adversely impacted by construction activities. See Site Specific Mitigation Measures. <b>Wetland Analysis Complete.</b>		
Yes. Is the proposed project acquisition/buyout (property to be demolished and cleared, and converted to greenspace in perpetuity through a restrictive covenant preventing future redevelopment) and therefore, exempt from 8-Step Decision Making Process per 24 CFR §55.12?		
Yes. Wetland Analysis Complete.		
No. Is the 8-Step Decision Making Process for Wetlands complete?		
No. PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROCEED.		
Yes. Activity complies with EO 11990 and the Clean Water Act. See attached 8-Step Process documentation. Project must obtain required permits and incorporate mitigation measures to minimize adverse impacts from construction activities. See Site Specific Mitigation Measures. <b>Wetland Analysis Complete.</b>		
OTHER: (explain finding)		
14. Wild and Scenic Rivers (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)  Compliance achieved at the Tier I Broad Review level.		
15. Environmental Justice (24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C)		
Were any adverse environmental impacts identified during the proposed project's environmental review?  No. Environmental Justice Analysis Complete.		



Case ID	Address, City, State, Zip
Yes. Based on program criteria, any adverse impacts are presumed to be dispincome and/or minority populations. Can the impact(s) be mitigated to the extenconsidered adverse?	, ,
No. PROPOSED PROJECT CANNOT PROCEED.	
Yes. See Site Specific Mitigation Measures. Environmental Justice Analyst	sis Complete.
OTHER: (explain finding)	



#### SITE-SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION

**Environmental Review Preparer:** 

# Preparer Signature: Date: First Last, Environmental Specialist, HORNE LLP **Environmental Review QC:** QC Reviewer Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_ First Last, Environmental Specialist, HORNE LLP **SOI-Qualified Historic Preservation Reviewer:** Certifying Officer Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_ First Last, Architectural Historian, HORNE LLP **Responsible Entity's Certifying Officer:** Certifying Officer Signature:



Eric Fosmire, Legal Director, South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office

## **Original Tiered Environmental Broad Review**



Flooding in Horry County on October, 2015

## Tier I: Broad Environmental Review Record (ERR)

# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT DISASTER RECOVERY (CDBG-DR) PROGRAM

## Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program Horry County, South Carolina

For the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO), of the South Carolina Department of Commerce



# Hurricane Matthew B16-1DL-45-0001

Ardurra Group, LLC | New Orleans, LA | November 17, 2016

Ardurra



#### **CERTIFICATION OF CONTINUED ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE**

# Re-evaluation in accordance to 24 CFR Part 58.47 The South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) of the South Carolina Department of Commerce

Grantee Name: The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO)

Grant Number: B-16-DH-45-0001

Program Name: Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program

Tier 1 County: Horry County

**Original Level of Finding:** Finding of No Significant Impact – The project will not result in a Significant Impact on the Quality of Human Environment.

Date of Environmental Determination: 12/01/2016

Date of Notice of Intent (NOI-RROF) Published: 12/06/2016

Date Request for Release of Funds Submitted to HUD: 12/22/2016

Date of Authority to Use Grant Funds (AUGF): 01/07/2017

#### **Project Description:**

- Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes. The reconstruction work will not
  expand the original footprint of the structure if possible. If lot restrictions apply and the
  footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or wetland.
- Replacement of Damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHUs) and Modular Units Deemed Unrepairable through a Feasibility Review. The replacement of damaged MHUs and Modular Units will be replaced on the original site.

#### Re-evaluation of the Tier 1 for Hurricane Matthew

Grantee Name: The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office

**Grant Number:** B-16-DL-45-0001

Program Name: Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program

Tier 1 County: Horry County

In accordance to 24 CFR 58.47 Re-evaluation of environmental assessments and other environmental findings.

- (a) A responsible entity must re-evaluate its environmental findings to determine if the original findings are still valid, when:
- (1) The recipient proposes substantial changes in the nature, magnitude or extend of the project, including adding new activities not anticipated in the original scope of the project;
- (2) There are new circumstances and environmental conditions which may affect the project or have a bearing on its impact, such as concealed or unexpected conditions discovered during the implementation of the project or activity which is proposed to be continued; or
- (3) The recipient proposed the selection of an alternative not in the original finding.

### **Hurricane Matthew Description of Project:**

South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) proposes to provide Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds to individual homeowner whose homes were damaged by Hurricane Matthew in October of 2016.

- Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes. The reconstruction work will not
  expand the original footprint of the structure if possible. If lot restrictions apply and the
  footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or wetland.
- Replacement of Damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHUs) and Modular Units Deemed Unrepairable through a Feasibility Review. The replacement of damaged MHUs and Modular Units will be replaced on the original site.

In reviewing the original Tier 1 for Horry County as it related to the housing disaster work for the floods of 2015 and the activities for housing disaster work for Hurricane Matthew the only change is the new funding that became available from Housing and Urban Development. The activities remain the same and it is expected that the results of the original Tier 1 would receive the same responses from interested parties. For the original Tier 1 it was estimated that 1,500 units would be repaired or replaced with HUD (CDBG-DR) funds. These additional Hurricane Matthew funds would allow an estimated 1,040 units to be repaired or replaced with the HUD CDBG-DR funds. The intent and level of each activity has not changed and neither of these programs are completing new development. Each activity is to repair or replace an existing unit that was damaged or destroyed by the floods or by Hurricane Matthew.

In accordance with the provisions of 24 CFR Par 58.47, it is the finding of the Certifying Officer of the above referenced grantee that:

The scope, scale, nature, magnitude and location of the project are substantially unchanged from that originally reviewed and approved; further, no new circumstances or environmental conditions which

may affect the project or have a bearing on its impact, such as concealed or unexpected conditions, have been discovered; and the selection of an alternative not in the original finding is not proposed, reevaluation of the project under 58.47 is therefore not required. The same conditions that previously applied to the project remain unchanged.

I certify that the above statements accurately reflect the revisions to the project scope of work and that such revisions do not alter the basis under which the project received its original environmental status determination.

**Signature of RE Certifying Officer:** 

Eric Fosmire Certifying Officer

Title: Attorney, South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO)

Date: 07/27/17

Address: 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201

Environmental Review Record Project Summary		
Responsible Entity:	The South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO), of the South Carolina Department of Commerce	
Certifying Officer:	Eric Fosmire, Attorney, SCDRO	
Program Name:	Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction for Horry County, South Carolina	
Federal Agency:	U.S. Department of Department of Urban Development (HUD)	
Project Sponsor:	The South Carolina Department of Commerce	
Program Name:	Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program	
Project Site Address:	Scattered Sites throughout Horry County, SC	
Project County:	Horry County, South Carolina	
Estimated Total Program Cost:	\$96,827,000	
Estimated Total Horry County Funds:	\$7,400,000	
Project Sponsor Address:	632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201	
Primary Contact Name:	Eric Fosmire	
Email:	Eric.Fosmire@scdr.sc.gov	
Telephone Number:	(803) 896-4171	
Project NEPA Classification:	24 CFR 58.36 Environmental Assessment	
ENVIRONMENTAL FINDING:	☑ Finding of NO Significate Impact – The project will not result in a Significate Impact on the Quality of Human Environment.	
	☐ Finding of Significate Impact – The project may significantly affect the quality of the Human Environment.	

	The undersigned herby certifies that The South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO), of the South Carolina Department of Commerce has conducted an environmental review of the project identified above and prepared the attached Environmental Review Recorded (ERR) in compliance with all applicable provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, (42 USC Sec. 4321 et seq.) and its implementing regulations under 24 CFR Part 58.
Preparer Signature	Derek J. Galon
Title/Agency	Derek A. Galose , Environmental Manager, Ardurra Group
RE Approving Official Signature	Ein A room
Title/Agency	Eric Fosmire, Attorney, South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office
Date	12/01/2016



# State of South Carolina Office of the Governor

NIKKI R. HALEY GOVERNOR 1205 PENDLETON STREET COLUMBIA 29201

**To:** All Interested U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Parties:

From: Nikki R. Haley

RE: Delegation of Certifying Officer for CDBG-DR Environmental Documents

Date: November 3, 2016

A. Daniel Young, Director of Grants Administration, South Carolina Department of Commerce, by virtue of his position, is designated as the State of South Carolina CDBG-DR Environmental Certifying Officer. In addition, due to the volume of environmental documents anticipated that require sign off by the Certifying Officer for the State of South Carolina's Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) Program, I also designate Eric Fosmire, attorney for the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) as a second Certifying Officer. Mr. Fosmire may sign any and/or all Environmental Review Records associated with the current HUD CDBG-DR Grant # B-16-DH-45-0001 and also serve as Certifying Officer for Environmental Review records for any future CDBG Disaster Grants the State of South Carolina may receive. Eric Fosmire will represent the responsible entity and will be subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal courts as specified in 24 C.F.R. Part 58 Section 58.13.

#### Responsible Entity, Representative's Information/Certification:

Responsible Entity, Representative's name, title, and organization (printed or typed):

Nikki R. Haley, Governor, South Carolina

Signature:

Date: 11-3

#### **Exhibits**

Exhibit 1: Site-Specific Environmental Assessment Form

## **Appendicies**

Appendix A: Coastal Barrier Resources Act/Coastal Barrier Improvement

Appendix B: Clean Air Act

Appendix C: Coastal Zone Management Act

Appendix D: Endangered Species

Appendix E: Farmland Protection Policy

Appendix F: Historic Preservation

Appendix G: Wild & Scenic Rivers

Appendix H: Combined Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds (FONSI/NOIRROF)

Appendix I: Request for Release of Funds (RROF) and Authority to Use Grant Funds (AUGF)



## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# Tier I: Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

### **Project Information**

Project Name: Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program: South Carolina 2015

Flood Event, Horry County.

Responsible Entity: The South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO), of the South

Carolina Department of Commerce (SCDOC)

**Grant Recipient:** South Carolina Department of Commerce

State/Local Identifier: South Carolina

**Grant Number: B-16-DH-45-0001** 

Preparer: Derek A. Galose, Environmental Manager, Ardurra Group

Certifying Officer Name and Title: Eric Fosmire, Attorney, SCDRO

Grant Recipient: South Carolina Department of Commerce

Consultant: Ardurra Group, LLC

Direct Comments to: Eric Fosmire, Attorney, SCDRO

632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201 Eric.Fosmire@scdr.sc.gov

(803) 896-4171

#### **Project Location:**

Scattered Sites, Horry County, South Carolina. The geographic scope for the Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program described herein is the jurisdictional area of Horry County, South Carolina. According to the United States Census Bureau in 2010, its population was 289,650, the county seat is Conway. The overall area of the county has a total area of 1,255 square miles (3,250 km²), of which 1,134 square miles (2,940 km²) is land and 121 square miles (310 km²) (9.6%) is water Horry County, is located in the Pee Dee region of South Carolina, approximately 90 miles north of Charleston, South Carolina and approximately 130 miles east of the state capital.



#### **Description of the Proposed Project** [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) proposes to provide Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds to individual homeowners throughout Horry County whose homes were damaged by the October 2015 flood event. Projects include single-family homeowner repair and reconstruction and replacement of Manufactured Housing Units (MHUs) as necessary. Location figures of the project sites are located in the Site-Specific Appendices. This project will include reconstruction of homes and rehabilitation of homes in close proximity, requiring preparation of an Environmental Assessment pursuant to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E. This classification of project is also subject to provisions of Sec. 58.6 as applicable.

#### Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes:

Rehabilitation activities will include repair of storm damages and other items to bring the home to minimum Section 8 Housing Quality Standards (HQS). Rehabilitation can include items such as:

- Roof repair/replacement
- Flooring repair/replacement
- Electrical repair/replacement
- Window repair/replacement
- Lead Based Paint and Mold remediation
- Handicapped accessibility
- Sheetrock repair/replacement
- Building foundations repair/replacement
- Repair/replacement unsafe water and sewer supplies

Proposed single-family reconstruction will not expand the original existing footprint of the existing structure. Should lot restrictions apply and if the footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or a wetland.

South Carolina will implement construction methods that emphasize high quality, durability, energy efficiency, sustainability, and mold resistance. All rehabilitation, reconstruction, and new construction will be designed to incorporate principles of sustainability, including water and energy efficiency, resilience, and mitigation against the impact of future disasters.

Where feasible, the State will follow best practices such as those provided by the U.S. Department of Energy's Guidelines for Home Energy Professionals Certifications and Standard Work Specification. New construction and replacement construction activities that include changes in structural elements such as flooring systems, columns, or load bearing interior or exterior walls must fully incorporate Green building standards. Rehabilitation construction will incorporate Green Building materials to the extent feasible according to the specific project scope. Material must meet established industry recognized standard that have achieved certification under at least one of the following programs.

- ENERGY STAR (Certified Homes)
- Enterprise Green Communities
- LEED (New Construction, Homes, Midrise, Existing Buildings Operations and Maintenance, or Neighborhood Development)
- ICC-700 National Green Building Standard, (v) EPA Indoor Air Plus (ENERGY STAR a prerequisite), or any other equivalent comprehensive green building program.

#### Replacement of Damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHU) & Mobile Homes:

The replacement of damaged MHUs will be on the original existing site. SCDRO will perform a repair feasibility analysis and replace damaged mobile homes that cannot reasonably be repaired. SCDRO will implement resilient practices to ensure the viability, durability and accessibility of replacement mobile homes:

- Although local building codes allow installation of Wind Zone I rated mobile homes, SCDRO will only utilize mobile homes with a minimum wind rating of HUD Wind Zone II or higher (able to withstand winds up to 100 MPH)
- SCDRO will adopt the 5'7" rule, prohibiting the installation of mobile homes elevated 5'7" above grade without appropriate structural reinforcement

The award maximums for each type of housing assistance are listed below:

- Single Family Housing unit repair/rehabilitation of existing units: up to \$25,000 in Housing Recovery funds;
- Manufactured Housing Unit (MHU) replacement of damaged unit: up to \$60,000 in Housing Recovery funds;
- Modular Housing Unit replacement/Single-Family Reconstruction awards up to \$90,000 in Housing Recovery funds.

SCDRO will implement and monitor construction results to ensure the safety of residents and the quality of homes assisted through the program. All single-family homes repaired or reconstructed must comply with the current HUD HQS. In addition, SCDRO has coordinated with DHEC to ensure applicants are aware of the risks associated with mold and take steps to limit the impact of any mold issues that may arise.

#### **Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal** [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

South Carolina suffered a historic rain event that began on the evening of Oct 1, 2015 and received unprecedented and historical rainfall and flooding during the event. This heavy rainfall of over 20 inches across the State came from an upper atmospheric low-pressure system that funneled tropical moisture from Hurricane Joaquin. Heavy rainfall occurred across South Carolina during October 1–5, 2015, the storm caused major flooding from the central to the coastal areas of South Carolina.

The purpose of the prosed project is to assist homeowners in Horry County whose single-family homes were damaged or destroyed by the 2015 major flood event. The program is needed to assist with providing adequate housing for residents whose single-family homes were damaged or destroyed due to the flooding event.

Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction activities are expected to take place on scattered sites throughout the most distressed neighborhoods within Horry County and most affected by the 2015 flooding event. Property owners of any location within the county that sustained damage from the aforementioned storms are able to apply for the program.

#### **Existing Conditions and Trends** [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

Many properties in Horry County were either damaged or substantially damaged due to the flooding caused by the rains that began on October 01, 2015. Some property owners are repairing their homes as funds become available, while others have no available funds to complete needed repairs.

Repairing and/or reconstructing damaged homes with CDBG-DR funds would ensure that the structures are resilient and safe. In the absence of the proposed project, existing debris and damaged structures would remain in the existing locations and could potentially be a hazard in future storms.

## **Funding Information**

Grant Number	HUD Program	Funding Amount
B-16-DH-45-0001	CDBG_DR	\$96,827,000 (Entire Grant for 22 affected counties)

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$7,400,000 (Horry County)

Estimated Total Project Cost (HUD and non-HUD funds) [24 CFR 58.32(d)]: \$7,400,000

### Compliance with 24 CFR 50.4, 58.5, and 58.6 Laws and Authorities

Record below the compliance or conformance determinations for each statute, executive order, or regulation. Provide credible, traceable, and supportive source documentation for each authority. Where applicable, complete the necessary reviews or consultations and obtain or note applicable permits of approvals. Clearly note citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references. Attach additional documentation as appropriate.

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determinations
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE OI and 58.6	RDERS, AND R	EGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4
Airport Hazards  24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	Yes No	Each site will be mapped to determine if the property is within 2,500 of a Federal Aviation Agency-designated civilian airport or 15,000 feet of a military airfield. If so, the airport will be contacted to determine if the project is located within the Runway Clear Zone or Runway Protection Zone, or within a military airfield Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.  HUD will not fund new construction or substantial rehabilitation activities in Runway Clear Zones or Protection Zones.
		Please refer to the Site-Specific Checklist for each individual property for compliance documentation.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	Yes No	The South Carolina Coastal Barrier Coordinator was contacted on October 11, 2016 for a determination of no effect or input on the need for individual consultation concerning compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resource Act.  A response was received on November 04, 2016 stating: "In regards to the National Environmental Policy Act, any federal funding requested within a Coastal Barrier Resource System (CBRS) unit triggers an extraordinary circumstance, and a categorical exclusion cannot be used unless the Costal Barrier Resource Act (CBRA) is addressed specifically in your review. Therefore, once the specific locations of homes to be replaced under the grant are determined, the disaster recovery office should notify the Fish and Wildlife Service to determine if any of those locations are in a CBRS unit, which could affect whether they are eligible for funding or not."  All properties will be mapped at the site specific level to determine if they are located in a Coastal Barrier Area or Otherwise Protected Area. If the site is located in either area, consultation with the FWS will occur to determine project compliance.

		No HUD funding will be used in a Coastal Barrier
		Resources Area.
		See Appendix A for compliance documentation.  Please refer to the Site-Specific Checklist for each
		individual property for compliance documentation.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994	Yes No	All sites will be reviewed for their location within the floodplain, and, if the site is in the 100-year floodplain the applicant will be required to purchase and maintain flood insurance as required by the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).
[42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]		Please refer to the Site-Specific Checklist for each individual property for compliance documentation.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE OI	RDERS, AND R	EGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4
& 58.5	,	
Clean Air Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	Yes No	The proposed project does not include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units. As such, the review is in compliance with this section.
		However, The Division of Air Assessment, Innovations, & Regulation Bureau of Air Quality was contacted on October 11, 2016 regarding project compliance. A response was received from the Bureau on October 24, 2016. The response listed suggestions on how the project can assist with staying in compliance with the NAAQS. All suggestions were incorporated into the Mitigation Measures section of this EA.
		Project activities will be completed on existing residential developed sites and existing structures and would not substantively affect the SC State Implementation Plan (SIP) due to the implementation of standard BMPs that control dust and other emissions during construction.  Air quality impacts will be short term and localized.
		No significant impacts on air quality will result and
		further assessment is not required.  See <b>Appendix B</b> for Agency Consultation.
		No further assessment necessary.
Coastal Zone Management Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	Yes No	The Coastal Services Division of the SCDHEC was contacted on October 11, 2016 for a "no effect" concurrence on a program wide level. A response was received on October 28, 2016 requesting that SCDRO review the Residential Development Policies on their website and reply with at statement that the proposed project is fully consistent with the policies.
		A response was given to DHEC on October 28, 2016 stating, "The proposed project is for rehabilitation/reconstruction of existing single-family residential units and no new development in the coastal zones will occur as a result of the proposed

		project. Since exact home locations are unknown at this time, rehabilitation and/or reconstruction of an existing single-family home may occur in the coastal zone. Any rehabilitation/reconstruction planned in a coastal zone will be fully consistent with the Residential Development Policies as outlined in Chapter III on pages 16 -18 in the South Carolina Management of Coastal Resources. Mitigation Measures have been added to the Tier I EA for all rehabilitation /reconstruction homes located in a coastal zone".
		DHEC responded again on November 5 <sup>th</sup> , 2016, stating: "After reviewing the Consistency Determination and associated documents, the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management (SCDHEC OCRM) concurs pursuant to that the proposed activity complies with the enforceable policies contained within the S. C. Coastal Zone Management Program (SCCZMP) pursuant to 15 C.F.R. § 930 Subpart F. This conditional concurrence is based upon the review of the Guidelines for Evaluation of All Projects as well as the (1) Residential Development, (2) Public Services and Facilities (water Supply) and (3) Stormwater Management (runoff) policies contained within the SCCZMP. This letter does not alleviate Colleton County from the responsibility of obtaining other required local, state or federal approvals for the work described above. Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions."
		All properties will be mapped at the site specific level to determine if they are located in a Coastal Zone. If the site is located in a Coastal Zone, the project will be reviewed for compliance with the enforceable policies contained in the SCCZMP and mitigation measures will be added at the Site Specific Measures as required.
		Proposed projects located in Colleton County that are located in the Coastal Zone will acquire all local, state or federal approvals as necessary before the start of construction activities.
		See Appendix C for compliance documentation.  Please refer to the Site-Specific Checklist for each individual property for compliance documentation.
Contamination and Toxic Substances	Yes No	HUD policy requires that the proposed site and adjacent areas be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect
24 CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)		the health and safety of occupants of the property.  All sites will be mapped at the site-specific level to identify nearby dumps, junkyards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with releases or suspected releases requiring clean-ups and/or further investigation.

		In addition, all activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding lead-based paint, including but not limited to, EPA Repair, Renovation, and Painting (RRP) Rule (40 CFR 745.80 Subpart E), HUD's lead-based paint regulations in 24 CFR Part 35 Subparts A, B, H, J, and R, and HUD "Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing."
		individual property for compliance documentation.
Endangered Species  Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	Yes No	The Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) requires the Action Agency to make a determination of effect to any federally listed species or designated critical habitat that may occur as a result of an action that is funded, authorized, or carried out by the proposed action.
		The FWS was contacted on October 11, 2016 for a no effect determination or input on the need for individual consultation concerning compliance for the project.
		A response was received on October 19, 2016 stating; "The Service recognizes that many activities, such as the replacement of homes, typically do not pose a threat to T&E species or the surrounding environment. Such activities do not normally require a detailed review under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) or the ESA. However, NEPA and ESA considerations must be accounted for. In order to assist requesting parties with projects of this nature the Service developed several clearance authorizations covering multiple topics that may be used to fulfill such needs. The clearance authorizations are found on our website www.fws.gov/charleston/regulatory.html. The most relevant authorization applicable to your needs is the DOC_HUD_USDA Rural Development letter found under the General Guidance heading. This letter may be downloaded and serve as the Service's consultation for any project that meets the criteria in the letter.
		The letter also states: "Please note that the obligations under the ESA must be reconsidered if: (1) new information reveals impacts of this identified action may affect any listed species or critical habitat in a manner not previously considered; (2) this action is subsequently modified in a manner which was not considered in this assessment; or (3) a new listed or critical habitat is designated that may be affected by the identified action."
		Since exact project locations aren't known at this time and it is possible that a new species may be added or a new critical habitat may be designated, each site will be mapped to determine its location in relation to State and County endangered species and critical habitats. Should the project have the potential to affect an endangered or threatened species or critical habitat consultation will be initiated to resolve any potential impacts.

Explosive and Flammable Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	Yes No	See Appendix D for Agency Consultation and General Concurrence Letter.  Please refer to the Site-Specific Checklist for each individual property for compliance documentation.  The project is in compliance. Acceptable Separation Distance requirements do not apply, the definition of HUD assisted projects in 24 CFR Part 51.201 is predicated on whether the HUD project will increase the number of people exposed to hazardous operations.  The project does not include development,
		construction, or rehabilitation activities that will increase residential densities, or conversion.  No further assessment is required.
Farmlands Protection  Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part	Yes No	The Natural Resources Conservation Service was contacted on October 11, 2016 for project compliance. A concurrence was received on November 3, 2016 stating that, "there will be no effect to Prime Farm Land and/or Wetlands in South Carolina as a result of the listed work.
658		The proposed project is in compliance. The proposed project will not convert "Important Farmland" or other "Farmland of Statewide or Local Importance" as identified by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), formerly, the Soil Conservation Service to nonagricultural purposes.  Consultation can be found in <b>Appendix E.</b>
		No further assessment is required.
Floodplain Management  Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	Yes No	For each address (or group of addresses for structures that are located in the same vicinity) at which repair or reconstruction activities would occur, a floodplain determination will be made using the applicable Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) or best available data if newer floodplain maps are available. A HUD Floodplain worksheet will be completed for each property. If it is determined that the home is located in a 100-year floodplain, the 8-step process, as described in 24 CFR Part 55.20, will be completed.
		Please refer to the Site-Specific Checklist for each individual property for compliance documentation.
Historic Preservation  National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	Yes No	The SC State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) was contacted on October 11, 2016 for project compliance. A response was received from the SHPO on October 18, 2016 stating; "Rehabilitation and Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes: Rehabs of buildings less than 45 years old would be excluded per I.B.1 of the FEMA PA. Otherwise, other specific rehab activities can be excluded primarily under II.'s Tier Two Allowances, regardless of building age. Demolition and/or Reconstruction to buildings less than 45 years old would be excluded per II.B.11. If a building is well over 45 years old and its activities

		(rehab, demolition and/or reconstruction) are not excluded from review per the Allowances then standard Section 106 consultation is recommended."
		"Replacement of MHU's: These would also be addressed by the above citations. However, while the FEMA PA does not specifically address MHU's (i.e. mobile homes), our office has no concerns with repairs to, or demolition or replacement of any MHU, regardless of age. Consultation with our office for MHU projects is not necessary."
		The HUD Addendum to the South Carolina Programmatic Agreement (PA) among the Federal Emergency Management Agency, The South Carolina State Historic Preservation Officer, The South Carolina Emergency Management Division, and Tribes Participating as Invited Signatories has been signed and executed as of November 18, 2016.
		All properties will be reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act on a sitespecific basis.
		If the proposed project activities do not fall within any of the Tier I or Tier II allowances in the PA, consultation with the SHPO will be required. The PA and SHPO Consultation can be found in <b>Appendix F</b> .
		Please refer to the Site-Specific Checklist for each individual property for compliance documentation.
Noise Abatement and Control  Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	Yes No	The Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program would result in the same amount of development which existed at pre flood. The proposed activities would cause temporary increases in noise levels. Temporary increases in noise levels will be mitigated by complying with local noise ordinances.
CTRT att 31 Suopait D		HUD has determined That noise abatement and control is not applicable to a disaster recovery program which meets the definition under 24 CFR Part 51.101(a)(3) "The policy does not apply to research demonstration projects which do not result in new construction or reconstruction, flood insurance, interstate land sales registration, or any action or emergency assistance under disaster assistance provisions or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster.
Solo Source Aguiferra		No further assessment is required.  Pre-determined by HUD and the EPA. There are no
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section	Yes No	sole source aquifers in South Carolina.

1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149		No further assessment necessary.
Wetlands Protection  Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	Yes No	All sites will be evaluated for the presence of wetlands in accordance with South Carolina Division of National Resources, and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) maps, and if necessary, an on-site wetlands inspection and delineation will occur.
		If project work on a specific site will impact a wetland, appropriate permits will be obtained, in compliance with Executive Order 11990. If Executive Order 11990 is triggered, the 8-Step decision making process under 24 CFR Part 55.20 modified for wetlands will be completed.
		Please refer to the Site-Specific Checklist for each individual property for compliance documentation.
Wild and Scenic Rivers  Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	Yes No	The National Park Service was contacted on October 11, 2016 for project compliance. A response was received on October 26, 2016 stating; "the nature of the project will have no bearing on any Wild and Scenic River".
		See <b>Appendix G</b> for compliance documentation.
		No further assessment necessary.
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTIC	E	
Environmental Justice  Executive Order 12898	Yes No	Environmental Justice will be analyzed at the site specific level once all sections of the site-specific statutory checklist are completed to determine if the project may adversely impact a low-income or minority community. No sites will be approved if they have the ability to disproportionately affect low-income or minority population.
		Please refer to the Site-Specific Checklist for each individual property for compliance documentation.

**Environmental Assessment Factors** [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27] Recorded below is the qualitative and quantitative significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area. Each factor has been evaluated and documented, as appropriate and in proportion to its relevance to the proposed action. Verifiable source documentation has been provided and described in support of each determination, as appropriate. Credible, traceable and supportive source documentation for each authority has been provided. Where applicable, the necessary reviews or consultations have been completed and applicable permits of approvals have been obtained or noted. Citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references are clear. Additional documentation is attached, as appropriate. **All conditions, attenuation or mitigation measures have been clearly identified.** 

**Impact Codes**: Use an impact code from the following list to make the determination of impact for each factor.

(1) Minor beneficial impact

- (2) No impact anticipated
  (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
  (4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement

Environmental	Impact	
Assessment Factor	Code	Impact Evaluation
LAND DEVELO	PMENT	•
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	1	The program will not require zoning changes or amendments, and will consist of Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program of existing stock damaged by flooding. Reconstruction will not expand the existing footprint consistent with current local plans. The proposed project would repair or replace existing flood damaged homes consistent with local plans and zoning ordinances. If it is determined that permits are needed, the contractors will obtain from the appropriate department.
Soil Suitability/ Slope/ Erosion/ Drainage/ Storm Water Runoff	2	<b>Soil Suitability:</b> Any problems involving unsuitable soils on the proposed work sites were dealt with when the homes to be renovated or rebuilt were originally constructed. Therefore, unsuitable soils are not expected to cause problems for the proposed project. If unsuitable soils have caused structural problems for any of the existing or previous homes on the project sites, this would generally be addressed during the local permitting process.
		Slope: The program is the Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program, and adverse effects to slope are not anticipated. Minor adjustment to soil slope may result for properties requiring soil amendment or preparation for stilts and associated footings. However, impacts to slope within a localized area on a property would be considered negligible.
		<b>Erosion:</b> The proposed rehabilitation of existing homes and reconstruction of homes in the same footprint or on previously developed lots would not involve placement of significant amounts of fill or creation of significant expanses of bare soil, and would therefore have little potential to cause significant erosion.
		On sites close to wetlands, however, best management practices would be implemented to protect the wetlands from sedimentation caused by erosion (see Mitigation Measures below). Proximity of wetlands would be determined on a site-specific basis.
		Storm Water: Reconstruction or rehabilitation of single family residential properties will not involve a change in the existing structures footprint and should have no significant impact on storm water systems. All sites will be evaluated for the need to comply with storm water permitting requirements general permit or local Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) permits). If multiple adjacent sites are worked on, the sites will be aggregated for the purposes of construction storm water compliance.
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Noise	2	The Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program would be typical of home remodeling activities. Typical effects of rehabilitation may include sidewalk closures and fugitive dust and noise, which would be addressed under existing regulations governing construction activity in South Carolina, Horry County, and local municipalities. If a site is determined to have hazardous materials, mitigation measures would be implemented to minimize the exposure of workers and the public.

		The presence or absence of hazards and nuisances are discussed on a site-specific basis.
Energy Consumption	1	Fossil fuel energy consumption would occur via the use of construction equipment and the shipment of materials required for the rehabilitation and reconstruction. However, the program would not expand the housing stock relative to conditions prior to the serve flooding would not increase long-term energy consumption. Rehabilitated and reconstructed homes would be more energy-efficient as a result of the program, due to incorporation of energy efficient building materials and practices.

Environmental	Impact	
Assessment Factor	Code	Impact Evaluation
SOCIOECONOM	IIC	
Employment and Income Patterns		The Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program would support employment and income patterns. The program is not expected to result in significant effects on area employment and income patterns. In addition, the proposed activities would benefit the affected areas by generating employment for the construction industry.
Demographic Character Changes, Displacement	2	The proposed use of CDBG-DR funds is for the Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program and would not alter the demographic character of the area. The occupants of properties will be the same occupants that resided in the area prior to disaster. No significant impacts would occur to the demographic character of the 22 affected counties.

Environmental	Impact	
Assessment Factor	Code	Impact Evaluation
COMMUNITY F.	ACILITIE	S AND SERVICES
Educational and Cultural Facilities		The Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program using the proposed CDBG-DR funding would not result in adverse effects on the public schools in South Carolina. In most cases, students displaced by flood waters would be able to return to their local school.  There will be no significant adverse effects on educational facilities as new residences are not being added only existing structures being repaired.
Commercial Facilities		The Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program using the proposed CDBG-DR funding would not result in a significant effect on existing commercial establishments. Returning residents would frequent commercial establishments in the neighborhood. This would be an economic benefit to all local businesses that experienced a loss in revenue since the flood.
Health Care and Social Services		The Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program using the proposed CDBG-DR funding would not result in a large increase in demands on the health care system. There will be no significant adverse effects on health care facilities as new residences are not being added and only existing structures are being repaired.
Solid Waste Disposal / Recycling	3	The proposed project would create remodeling, demolition and construction waste and may cause a slight increase in long-term generation of municipal solid waste.
Waste Water / Sanitary Sewers		Waste water should not be generated from the reconstruction or rehabilitation of the existing residences. The reconstruction or rehabilitation of single family residential properties using the proposed CDBG-DR funding would not result in a significant demand on waste water disposal/treatment services. No significant impact would occur as a result of reconstructing the residences, as the housing stock would not be increased beyond pre disaster conditions.

Water Supply	1	No demands would be placed on the water supply in any of the 22 affected counties as no new residences are being built. The reconstruction or rehabilitation of residential properties using the proposed CDBG-DR funding would not result in a significant impact on water supplies. Reconstructed and rehabilitated homes may be fitted with water conserving fixtures and will likely consume less water than before the flood event.
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	1	The proposed program will not result in additional housing units and, thus, will not increase the demand on the local police departments, fire departments or emergency medical departments. The proposed use of CDBG-DR funding for the Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program would help displaced homeowners return to their neighborhoods, stabilizing those neighborhoods and helping restore public safety. Debris from construction activities will be managed as to not impede response time. It is possible debris or trucks may temporarily block access, though these hindrances would be minor and temporary. Redevelopment activities will ultimately provide benefits by reducing the amount of derelict properties.
Parks, Open Space and Recreation	2	The proposed use of CDBG-DR for the Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program of storm damaged residential properties would not introduce a sizeable new population to neighborhoods impacted by serve flooding from the disaster; therefore, no new demand on open space or recreational facilities would be generated. No significant negative impacts would occur.
Transportation and Accessibility	2	CDBG-DR funds for The Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program of storm damaged residential properties will not generate significant levels of traffic or place a significant demand on transportation systems in the area. Population density from pre flood disaster levels is not expected to increase, since no additional housing stock of significance is being constructed.

Environmental	Impact	
Assessment Factor	Code	Impact Evaluation
NATURAL FEATU	RES	
Unique Natural Features, Water Resources	2	Water Resources: The proposed rehabilitation, demolition and reconstruction in the same footprint would not pose a significant threat to groundwater or other water resources. There are no sole source aquifers located in South Carolina; however, since this action is for Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program water supplies are already established and no significant impacts are expected to occur.  Unique Natural Features: The Environmental Review Guide for Community Development Block Grant Programs defines unique natural features as "primarily geological features which are unique in the sense that their occurrence is infrequent or they are of special social, cultural, economic, educational, aesthetic or scientific value.  Development on or near those features may render them inaccessible to investigators or visitors, or otherwise limit potential future use and appreciation of these resources. Examples of unique natural features include: sand dunes, waterfalls, unique rock outcroppings, caves, canyons, and petrified forests  The proposed rehabilitation or reconstruction of existing homes in the same footprint would have no adverse effect on natural features or agricultural land.
Vegetation, Wildlife	2	The Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) requires the Action Agency (SCDRO) to make a determination of effect to any federally listed species or designated critical habitat that may occur as a result of an action that is funded, authorized, or carried out by the Action Agency.

	The proposed project can be cleared under the "HUD and USDA Rural Development Projects Clearance Letter   No Effect (available at <a href="http://www.fws.gov/charleston/pdf/Regulatory/20120509_HUD_No_Effect_LTR.pdf">http://www.fws.gov/charleston/pdf/Regulatory/20120509_HUD_No_Effect_LTR.pdf</a> ) and found in Appendix D.
Other Factors	

Additional Studies Performed: No additional studies were performed for this broad review of the environmental assessment.

Field Inspection (Date and completed by): Will be Completed at the Site Specific Level.

#### List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

- 1. Federal Aviation Administration. National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems. Internet Website: <a href="http://www.faa.gov/airports/planning\_capacity/npias/">http://www.faa.gov/airports/planning\_capacity/npias/</a>
- Federal Aviation Administration. Report to Congress National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems.
   http://www.faa.gov/airports/planning\_capacity/ppias/reports/media/2013/ppias2013Narrat
  - http://www.faa.gov/airports/planning capacity/npias/reports/media/2013/npias2013Narrative.pdf
- 3. United States Census Bureau. American Fact Finder. Internet Website: http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml?refresh=t
- 4. United States Department of Agriculture. Natural Resources Conservation Service. Internet Website: <a href="http://websoilsurvey.sc.eqov.usda.qov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx">http://websoilsurvey.sc.eqov.usda.qov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx</a>
- 5. United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Community Development Block Grant Program CDBG. Internet Website:

  <a href="http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program">http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program</a> offices/comm planning/communitydev elopment/programs
- United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Noise Abatement and Control. Internet Website: <a href="http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program">http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program</a> offices/comm planning/environment/re view/noise
- 7. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Designations for fine particulates. Internet Website: http://www.epa.gov/pmdesignations/2006standards/final/region2.htm
- 8. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Nonattainment Areas. Internet Website: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/oagps001/greenbk/ancl.html">http://www.epa.gov/oagps001/greenbk/ancl.html</a>
- 9. United States Fish and Wildlife Service. National Wetlands Inventory. Internet Website: <a href="http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Wetlands-Mapper.html">http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Wetlands-Mapper.html</a>. <a href="https://www.fws.gov/ecological-services/habitat-conservation/cbra/Maps/index.html">https://www.fws.gov/ecological-services/habitat-conservation/cbra/Maps/index.html</a>
- 10. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Designations for Sole Source Aquifers <a href="https://archive.epa.gov/pesticides/region4/water/groundwater/web/html/r4ssa.html">https://archive.epa.gov/pesticides/region4/water/groundwater/web/html/r4ssa.html</a>
- 11. South Carolina Department of Natural Resources Flood Mitigation Program Internet Website: <a href="http://www.dnr.sc.gov">http://www.dnr.sc.gov</a>
- 12. South Carolina List of At-Risk, Candidate, Endangered, and Threatened Species Internet Website: <a href="https://www.dnr.sc.gov/species/index.html">https://www.dnr.sc.gov/species/index.html</a>.
- 13. South Carolina Ecological Services Field Office Department of the Interior U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Internet Website: https://www.fws.gov/charleston/EndangeredSpecies County.html

<u>www.fws.gov/charleston/</u> EPA Region IV Sole Source, Internet Website: epa.gov/pesticides/region4/water/groundwater/web/html/r4ssa.ht

14. South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental ControL Internet Website: <a href="http://www.scdhec.gov/http://www.scdhec.gov/Agency/RegulationsAndUpdates/LawsAndRegulations/Air/">http://www.scdhec.gov/Agency/RegulationsAndUpdates/LawsAndRegulations/Air/</a>

#### **List of Permits Obtained:**

All necessary permits will be obtained at the site-specific level.

**Public Outreach** [24 CFR 50.23 & 58.43]:

None

#### **<u>Cumulative Impact Analysis</u>** [24 CFR 58.32]:

The federal Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) regulations implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), set forth at 40 CFR Part 1508.7, require federal agencies to consider the environmental consequences of their actions, including not only direct and indirect effects, but also cumulative effects. Cumulative impacts result from the incremental consequences of an action (the Proposed Action) when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions (40 CFR 1508.7).

The cumulative effects of an action may be undetectable when viewed in the individual context of direct and even indirect impacts, but nevertheless can eventually lead to a measurable environmental change. Based upon the completion of this environmental assessment, environmental review of the proposed project indicates that there will be no significant changes to the existing environmental conditions across the impact categories implemented by HUD in response to NEPA. The proposed project is to repair/replace homes on existing residential lots. The proposed project would have no impacts on air quality, endangered species, noise abatement and control, explosive and flammable operations, sole source aquifers, wild & scenic rivers, slope, soil suitability, energy consumption, community facilities and services, transportation, and unique natural features.

The project would result in beneficial impacts to comprehensive plans and zoning; compatibility and urban impact, hazards and nuisances, including site safety; and visual quality.

<u>Alternatives</u> [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

Relocating to Sites Outside the Floodplain and/or Wetlands: The benefits of performing residential reconstruction, rehabilitation, and elevation activities on the currently occupied sites include, but are not limited to: sites are owned by the current residents, sites are adequately sized and are consistent with surrounding land uses and have already met the requirements for funding under the current program. A search for suitably sized, available sites outside the floodplain and/or wetlands and the procurement of other sources of available funding would extend the amount of time that residents would have to continue to live in unsafe and unsanitary

conditions in un-elevated homes and thus remain at risk of future flood incidents. Failure to locate another site and/or procure funding from another source would result in some residents having to indefinitely live in such conditions. Therefore, this alternative was rejected.

**Use of Other Flood Protection Measures**: Use of other flood protection measures could include building flood protection infrastructure such as levees around the communities at risk of flooding. Construction of this type of flood protection would be cost-prohibitive and would fail to improve current unsafe and unsanitary housing conditions. Therefore, this alternative was rejected.

#### **No Action Alternative** [24 CFR 58.40(e)]:

Under the No Action alternative, the rehabilitation/reconstruction or replacement of MHUs of single family homes on scattered sites throughout Horry County damaged by the flood event would not occur. This alternative was rejected because it would result in residents living in unsafe and unsanitary conditions in un-elevated homes in the floodplain and/or wetlands. This would continue to put them at risk of future flood incidents. Some homeowners would gradually secure resources to rebuild from non-SCDRO sources. Other homeowners may not be able to secure resources from other funding programs. Since the CDBG-DR funding would not be available, some damaged properties would remain as they are: unsafe, un-sanitary and unelevated and thus continue to be at risk of future flood incidents.

#### **Summary of Findings and Conclusions:**

Based upon completion of this environmental assessment, environmental review of the proposed project indicates there will be no significant changes to existing environmental conditions across the impact categories implemented by HUD in response to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 listed below.

- Clean Air
- Explosive and Flammable Hazards
- Farmland Protection
- Noise Abatement & Control
- Sole Source Aguifers
- Wild & Scenic Rivers

Based on completion of this environmental assessment, the following subjects require sitespecific analysis before it can be concluded that the proposed project activities would have no significant environmental impacts on individual sites:

- Airport Hazards
- Coastal Barrier Resources
- Flood Insurance
- Coastal Zone Management Act
- Contamination & Toxic Substances
- Endangered Species
- Floodplain Management
- Historic Preservation
- Wetlands Protection

#### Environmental Justice

The Tier 2 Site Specific Review Form can be found in Exhibit 1. The Tier II: Site-Specific review must be completed prior to any construction activities occurring on a particular site.

### Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]

Summarize below all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid, or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements, and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

The following mitigation measures are required as conditions for approval of the program.

#### General

All program activity will acquire all required federal, state and local permits prior to commencement of construction and comply with all permit conditions. If the scope of work of a proposed activity changes significantly, the application for funding must be revised and resubmitted for reevaluation under NEPA.

#### Construction

- Implement and maintain erosion and sedimentation control measures sufficient to prevent deposition of sediment and eroded soil in onsite and offsite wetlands and waters.
- Soil compaction will be minimized by controlling project activities in vegetated areas, including lawns.
- Protect existing drain inlets from debris, soil and sedimentation.
- Protect stream, wetlands, woods and other natural areas from any unnecessary construction activities or disturbance.

#### Historic Preservation

- Ensure that the work does not diminish the historic integrity of any local historic district or historic property.
- Require an asbestos survey and project license as may be required prior to any demolition activities such as deconstruction of a building or removal of structures in the right-of-way of a road project.
- Use of energy-efficient doors, water heaters and HVAC units, as well as the incorporation of weatherization measures to the extent practicable.
- For compliance with NAAQS:
  - Utilize alternatively fueled equipment when possible
  - o Utilize emission controls applicable to the equipment
  - Reduce idling time on construction equipment
  - Minimize dust emissions through good operating practices
  - Retrofit, repower, or replace older and more polluting diesel construction equipment in order to satisfy clean air construction requirements as necessary.
- Coastal Zone Properties;

- Septic tank repair or replacement in a coastal zone will be situated as safe distance from the shoreline to ensure proper drainage and filtering of tank effluents before they reach the water's edge with special attention given in identified erosion areas.
- Construction measures shall be designed so as to control erosion and sedimentation, water quality degradation, and other negative impacts on adjacent water and wetlands. This includes; buffering and filtering runoff water and using BMPs to control nonpoint source runoff.

#### **Determination:**

Finding of S The project may sig	Significant Imp gnificantly affect	act [24 CFR the quality o	58.40(g)(2); 40 ( of the human envir	CFR 1508.27] ronment.	
Preparer Signature:	Derek	a	Salon	Date: 12	2/1/2016
Name/Title/Organiza	ation: Derek A.	Galose, Er	nvironmental Ma	nager, Ardurra G	roup, LLC

Name/Title: Eric, Fosmire, Attorney, South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO)

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

## Exhibit 1:

# Tier II: Site-Specific Environmental Assessment Form



		HUI	<b>O Grant Number:</b> B-16-DH-45-0001		
	SC Submittal date:		Application #:		
	Date of field inspection:		Date review initiated:		
	Inspector name:		Reviewer name:		
	Name of Program: South Caro Program	lina Disaster Rec	overy Office (SCDRO) Single-Family Rehabilitati	on and Reconstruction	
	Property address:				
	County:	hORRY	Census tract:		
	Block:		Lot:		
	Target building site(s):	N/A	GPS Coordinates (Lat/Long):	Latitude: Longitude: -	
	Attachments: A-Floodplain/M	aps; B-Environm	ental Questionnaire Sheet; C-Photographs;		
to e Coo Reg	Project Description:  The SC Housing Recovery Program Tier I Environmental Review of the Proposed CDBG-DR (Tier I EA) Funded Action was completed to establish measures for compliance with multiple State and Federal environmental regulations for the program, including various Codes of Federal Regulation (CFR), United States Codes (USC), Executive Orders (EO), and South Carolina Codes, Rules and Regulations (SCCRRs. This is the Tier II site-specific review for activities eligible under this program, for which funds were released at the Tier 1 level on Click here to enter a date. for Choose a County  The proposed project involves rehabilitation activities on an existing residential property with the above-listed address, where the home site is not located in the 100-year floodplain but received damage as a result of the 2015 SC Storm Event. This home was				
	constructed in , as verified by SCDRO. Proposed activities would consist of addressing storm-related damage to the residence including: , to bring it to current minimum residential property standards. Activities would be limited to the disturbed area of the previously developed residential site.  The proposed project involves rehabilitation activities on an existing residential property with the above-listed address, where the home site <b>is</b> located in the 100-year floodplain and received damage as a result of the 2015 SC Storm Event. This home was constructed in , as verified by SCDRO. Proposed activities would consist of addressing storm-related damage to the residence including: , to bring it to current minimum residential property. Activities would be limited to the disturbed area				
	of the previously developed residential site.  The proposed project involves rehabilitation and elevation activities on an existing residential property with the above-listed address, where the home site is located in the 100-year floodplain and received damage as a result of the 2015 SC Storm Event This home was constructed in , as verified by SCDRO. Proposed activities would consist of addressing storm-related damage to the residence, including: , to bring it to current minimum residential property standards, including elevation of the home two feet above the base flood elevation (BFE) (see individual comments associated with applicable compliance factors in this document).				
	The proposed project involves home reconstruction on an existing residential property with the above-listed address, where the home site received damage as a result of the 2015 SC Storm Event. This home was constructed in , as verified by SCDRO. Proposed activities would consist of addressing storm-related damage to the residence including: , to bring it to current minimum residential property standards. If the home site is located in the floodplain, compliance with the local floodplain ordinance would be required and include elevation of the home (two feet above the BFE).				
	The proposed project involves replacement of a mobile/modular home on an existing residential property with the above-listed address, where the home site received damage as a result of the SC 2015 Storm Event. Proposed activities would consist o replacing the damaged mobile/modular home with a new mobile/modular home. Although local building codes allow installation of Wind Zone I rated mobile homes, SCDRO will only utilize mobile homes with a minimum wind rating of HUD Wind Zone II of higher (able to withstand winds up to 100 MPH). If the home site is located in the floodplain, compliance with the local floodplain ordinance would be required and include elevation of the home (two feet above the BFE). SCDRO will adopt the 5'7" rule prohibiting the installation of mobile homes elevated 5'7" above grade without appropriate structural reinforcement.				
	residential property at the above-l was constructed in , as veri home, removing all construction of Wind Zone I rated mobile homes higher (able to withstand winds u ordinance would be required and	isted address, who fied by SC Disasto debris and haulin , SCDRO will onl p to 100 MPH).If d include elevation	single-family stick-built home with a mobile/ere the home received damage as a result of the Ser Recovery Office. Proposed activities would cong to an appropriate facility. Although local builty utilize mobile homes with a minimum wind of the home site is located in the floodplain, compon of the home (two feet above the BFE). So and 5'7" above grade without appropriate structural	SC 2015 Storm Event. The home asist of demolishing the existing ding codes allow installation of rating of HUD Wind Zone II or bliance with the local floodplain CDRO will adopt the 5'7" rule,	



	Site Specific Findings
ST	ATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 and 58.6
Air	port Hazards (3624 CFR Part 51 Subpart D )
1.	Is the project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?  □ No → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.  Provide a map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport. See Attachment A.  □ Yes → Continue to Question 2.
2.	Is the project located within a Runway Potential Zone/Clear Zone (RPZ/CZ) or Accident Potential Zone (APZ)  Yes, project is in an APZ → Continue to Question 3.  Yes, project is an RPZ/CZ → Project cannot proceed at this location.  No, project is not within an APZ or RPZ/CZ.  → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide
3.	a map showing that the site is not within either zone.  Is the project in conformance with DOD guidelines for APZ??  Yes, project is consistent with DOD guidelines for APZ.  Explain how you determined that the project is consistent:
	<ul> <li>No, the project cannot be brought into conformance with DOD guidelines and has not been approved. → Project cannot proceed at this location.</li> <li>Project is not consistent with DOD guidelines, but it has been approved by Certifying Officer or HUD Approving Official Explain approval process:</li> </ul>
	If mitigation measures have been or will be taken, explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.  → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide
	<ul> <li>Any documentation supporting this determination.</li> <li>Worksheet Summary Compliance Determination Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:</li> <li>Map panel numbers and dates</li> <li>Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates</li> <li>Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers</li> <li>Any additional requirements specific to your region</li> </ul>
	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?  Yes  No



Coa	astal Barrier Resources  Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]
1.	Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?  No → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.  Provide a map showing that the site is not within a CBRS Unit.  Yes → Continue to Question 2.
In	deral assistance for most activities may not be used at this location. You must either choose an alternate site or cancel the project. very rare cases, federal monies can be spent within CBRS units for certain exempted activities (e.g., a nature trail), after insultation with the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) (see 16 USC 3505 for exceptions to limitations on expenditures).
2.	<ul> <li>Indicate your selected course of action.</li> <li>         After consultation with the FWS the project was given approval to continue         → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map and documentation of FWA approval.</li> <li>         Project was not given approval         Project cannot proceed at this location.     </li> <li>Compliance Determination</li> </ul>
	Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:  • Map panel numbers and dates  • Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates  • Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers  • Any additional requirements specific to your region
Are	formal compliance steps or mitigation required?  Yes No
Flo	od Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]
1.	Does this project involve financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?  ☐ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance → Continue to Worksheet Summary ☐ Yes. Continue to Question 2.
2.	Provide a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site.  The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.
	Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?
3.	<ul> <li>No → Continue to the Worksheet Summary.</li> <li>Yes → Continue to Question 3.</li> <li>Is the community participating in the National Flood Insurance Program or has less than one year passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards?</li> </ul>
	Yes, the community is participating in the National Flood Insurance Program.  For loans, loan insurance or loan guarantees, flood insurance coverage must be continued for the term of the loan. For grants and other non-loan forms of financial assistance, flood insurance coverage must be continued for the life of the building irrespective of the transfer of ownership. The amount of coverage must equal the total project cost or the maximum coverage limit of the National Flood Insurance Program, whichever is less. Copy of Flood Insurance Policy will be maintained in the Applicant file.  → Continue to the Worksheet Summary.
	Yes, less than one year has passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards. If less than one year has passed since notification of Special Flood Hazards, no flood Insurance is required.  → Continue to the Worksheet Summary.



	<ul> <li>No. The community is not participating, or its participation has been suspended.</li> <li>Federal assistance may not be used at this location. Cancel the project at this location.</li> </ul>
Are	e formal compliance steps or mitigation required?  Yes  No
	STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 and 58.5
Cle	ean Air Act Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93
1.	<b>Not applicable. Compliance determined in Tier I EA.</b> Project does not include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units.
Со	astal Zone Management Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)
1.	Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?  ☐ Yes → Continue to Question 2.
2.	<ul> <li>No → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map showing that the site is not within a Coastal Zone.</li> <li>Does this project include activities that are subject to state review?</li> <li>Yes → Continue to Question 3.</li> </ul>
	$\square$ No $\rightarrow$ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map showing that the site is not within a Coastal Zone.
3.	Has this project been determined to be consistent with the State Coastal Management Program? $\square$ Yes, with mitigation. $\rightarrow$ Continue to Question 4.
	<ul> <li>Yes, without mitigation. → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation used to make your determination.</li> <li>No., project must be canceled.</li> </ul>
4.	<u>Project cannot proceed at this location.</u> Explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.
	→ Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation of the consultation (including the State Coastal Management Program letter of consistency) and any other documentation used to make your determination.
	Worksheet Summary Compliance Determination Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:  Map panel numbers and dates Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers Any additional requirements specific to your region
	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?  ☐Yes ☐No



	ntamination and Toxic Substances CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)
1.	Evaluate the site for contamination. Do any of the following apply to the subject property? (1) Property is listed on an EPA Superfund National Priorities or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) List, or equivalent State list; (2) Property is within 3,000 feet of a landfill site, hazardous waste or solid waste cleanup site; (3) Has an underground storage tank (which is not a residential fuel tank); (4) Known or suspected to be contaminated by toxic chemicals or radioactive materials; or (5) During site reconnaissance of subject property and visible adjoining properties, inspector has observed potential environmental concerns.  □ No → Provide a map or other documentation of absence or presence of contamination and explain evaluation of site contamination in the Worksheet below. Include Environmental Questionnaire completed by Construction Inspector.  → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.
	☐ Yes → Describe the findings, including any recognized environmental conditions (RECs), in Worksheet Summary below.  Continue to Question 2.
2.	Mitigation  Document the mitigation needed according to the requirements of the appropriate federal, state, tribal, or local oversight agency. If the adverse environmental mitigation cannot be mitigated, then HUD assistance may not be used for the project at this site.  Can adverse environmental impacts be mitigated?  □ Adverse environmental impacts cannot feasibly be mitigated  → Project cannot proceed at this location.
3.	☐Yes, adverse environmental impacts can be eliminated through mitigation.  → Provide all mitigation requirements and documents. Continue to Question 3.  Describe how compliance was achieved. Include any of the following that apply: State Voluntary Clean-up Program, a No Further Action letter, use of engineering controls, or use of institutional controls.
	If a remediation plan or clean-up program was necessary, which standard does it follow?  Complete removal Risk-based corrective action (RBCA) Other  Worksheet Summary Compliance Determination Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:  Map panel numbers and dates Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers Any additional requirements specific to your region
	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?
	□No



En	dangered Species
Enc	dangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402
1.	Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect species or habitats?  □No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.  → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.
	□ No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office.  Explain your determination:
	$\square$ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats. $\Rightarrow$ <i>Continue to Question 2.</i>
2.	Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?  Obtain a list of protected species from the Services. This information is available on the <u>FWS Website</u> or you may contact your <u>local FWS</u> and/or <u>NMFS</u> offices directly.
	<ul> <li>No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat.</li> <li>→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination. Documentation may include letters from the Services, species lists from the Services' websites, surveys or other documents and analysis showing that there are no species in the action area.</li> </ul>
	$\square$ Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area. $\rightarrow$ Continue to Question 3.
3.	What effects, if any, will your project have on federally listed species or designated critical habitat?  ☐ No Effect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species in the action area, you have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species or critical habitat.  → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination. Documentation should include a species list and explanation of your conclusion, and may require maps, photographs, and surveys as appropriate.
	☐ May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect: Any effects that the project may have on federally listed species or critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant.  → Continue to Question 4, Informal Consultation.
	☐ Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed species or critical habitat. → Continue to Question 5, Formal Consultation.
4.	<b>Informal Consultation is required</b> Section 7 of ESA (16 USC. 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to endangered and threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD-assisted project may affect any federally listed endangered or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. See 50 CFR Part 402 Subpart B Consultation Procedures.
	Did the Service(s) concur with the finding that the project is Not Likely to Adversely Affect?
	<ul> <li>Yes, the Service(s) concurred with the finding.</li> <li>→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and provide the following:         <ul> <li>(1) A biological evaluation or equivalent document</li> <li>(2) Concurrence(s) from FWS and/or NMFS</li> <li>(3) Any other documentation of informal consultation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	Exception: If finding was made based on procedures provided by a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office, provide whatever documentation is mandated by that agreement.
	$\square$ No, the Service(s) did not concur with the finding. $\rightarrow$ Continue to Question 5.



#### **Tier II: Site-Specific Environmental Assessment Form**

\*Intended for use following CEST and EA level Tier I Environmental Review conducted for South Carolina (SC) Single-Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program THIS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM MUST BE KEPT IN INDIVIDUAL CLIENT FILE

#### 5. Formal consultation is required

Section 7 of ESA (16 USC 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to federally listed endangered and threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD assisted project may affect any endangered or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. See 50 CFR Part 402 Subpart B Consultation Procedures.

- → Once consultation is complete, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and provide the following:
  - (1) A biological assessment, evaluation, or equivalent document
  - (2) Biological opinion(s) issued by FWS and/or NMFS

(2) Biological opinion(s) issued by FWS and/or NMFS (3) Any other documentation of formal consultation
6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain detail the proposed measures that will be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the time for implementation. Mitigation as follows will be implemented:
☐ No mitigation is necessary.
Explain why mitigation will not be made here:
Worksheet Summary Compliance Determination Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:  Map panel numbers and dates Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers Any additional requirements specific to your region
Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?  Yes  No
Explosive and Flammable Hazards
24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C
<ol> <li>Not applicable. Compliance determined in Tier I EA. Acceptable Separation Distance requirements do not apply definition of HUD assisted projects in 24 CFR Part 51.201 is predicated on whether the HUD project will increase the numb people exposed to hazardous operations.</li> </ol>
Farmlands Protection
Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658

1. Not applicable. Compliance determined in Tier I EA. Project does not include any activities, including new construction,

acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use.



Floodp	lain Management
Evec	utive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55
1.	Does 24 CFR 55.12(c) exempt this project from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55?  Yes  Provide the applicable citation at 24 CFR 55.12(c) here. If project is exempt under 55.12(c)(7) or (8), provide supporting documentation.
	→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.
	$\square$ No $\rightarrow$ Continue to Question 2.
2.	<b>Provide a FEMA/FIRM or ABFE map showing the site.</b> The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) or Advisory Base Flood Elevations (ABFEs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.
	<b>Does your project occur in a floodplain?</b> $\square$ No $\rightarrow$ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.
	☐ Yes
	Select the applicable floodplain using the FEMA map or the best available information:  ☐ Floodway → Continue to Question 3, Floodways
	☐ Coastal High Hazard Area (V Zone) → <i>Continue to Question 4</i> , Coastal High Hazard Areas
	☐ 500-year floodplain (B Zone or shaded X Zone) → Continue to Question 5, 500-year Floodplains
	$\Box$ 100-year floodplain (A Zone) → <i>The 8-Step Process is required. Continue to Question 6</i> , 8-Step Process
3.	Floodways  Is this a functionally dependent use?  ☐ Yes  The 8-Step Process is required. Work with your HUD FEO to determine a way to satisfactorily continue with this project. Provide a completed 8-Step Process, including the early public notice and the final notice.  → Continue to Question 6, 8-Step Process
	Federal assistance may not be used at this location <i>unless a 55.12(c) exception applies.</i> You must either choose an alternate site or cancel the project at this location.
4.	Coastal High Hazard Area Is this a critical action?  ☐ Yes  Critical actions are prohibited in coastal high hazard areas. Federal assistance may not be used at this location. Unless the action is excepted at 24 CFR 55.12(c), you must either choose an alternate site or cancel the project.
	□ No  Does this action include construction that is not a functionally dependent use, existing construction (including improvements), or reconstruction following destruction caused by a disaster?  □ Yes, there is new construction.  New construction is prohibited in V Zones ((24 CFR 55.1(c)(3)).
	<ul> <li>□ No, this action concerns only a functionally dependent use, existing construction (including improvements), or reconstruction following destruction caused by a disaster.</li> <li>This construction must have met FEMA elevation and construction standards for a coastal high hazard area or other standards applicable at the time of construction.</li> <li>→ Continue to Question 6, 8-Step Process</li> </ul>



7. Mitigation

Tier II: Site-Specific Environmental Assessment Form
\*Intended for use following CEST and EA level Tier I Environmental Review
conducted for South Carolina (SC) Single-Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program
THIS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM MUST BE KEPT IN INDIVIDUAL CLIENT FILE

5.	500-year Floodplain  Is this a critical action?  □ No → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.
	☐ Yes → Continue to Question 6, 8-Step Process
6.	8-Step Process.  Does the 8-Step Process apply? Select one of the following options:  □ 8-Step Process applies.
	Provide a completed 8-Step Process, including the early public notice and the final notice.  → Continue to Question 7, Mitigation
	☐ 5-Step Process is applicable per 55.12(a)(1-3).  Provide documentation of 5-Step Process.  Solort the applicable citation:
	Select the applicable citation:  55.12(a)(1) HUD actions involving the disposition of HUD-acquired multifamily housing projects or "bulk sales" of HUD-acquired one- to four-family properties in communities that are in the Regular Program of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24).
	□ 55.12(a)(2) HUD's actions under the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1701) for the purchase or refinancing of existing multifamily housing projects, hospitals, nursing homes, assisted living facilities, board and care facilities, and intermediate care facilities, in communities that are in good standing under the NFIP.
	55.12(a)(3) HUD's or the recipient's actions under any HUD program involving the repair, rehabilitation, modernization, weatherization, or improvement of existing multifamily housing projects, hospitals, nursing homes, assisted living facilities, board and care facilities, intermediate care facilities, and one- to four-family properties, in communities that are in the Regular Program of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and are in good standing, provided that the number of units is not increased more than 20 percent, the action does not involve a conversion from nonresidential to residential land use, the action does not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(10), and the footprint of the structure and paved areas is not significantly increased.
	□ 55.12(a)(4) HUD's (or the recipient's) actions under any HUD program involving the repair, rehabilitation, modernization, weatherization, or improvement of existing nonresidential buildings and structures, in communities that are in the Regular Program of the NFIP and are in good standing, provided that the action does not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(10) and that the footprint of the structure and paved areas is not significantly increased.
	→ Continue to Question 7, Mitigation
	8-Step Process is inapplicable per 55.12(b)(1-4). Select the applicable citation:
	55.12(b)(1) HUD's mortgage insurance actions and other financial assistance for the purchasing, mortgaging or refinancing of existing one- to four-family properties in communities that are in the Regular Program of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24), where the action is not a critical action and the property is not located in a floodway or coastal high hazard area.
	55.12(b)(2) Financial assistance for minor repairs or improvements on one- to four-family properties that do not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(10)
	<ul> <li>55.12(b)(3) HUD actions involving the disposition of individual HUD-acquired, one- to four-family properties.</li> <li>55.12(b)(4) HUD guarantees under the Loan Guarantee Recovery Fund Program (24 CFR part 573) of loans that refinance existing loans and mortgages, where any new construction or rehabilitation financed by the existing loan or mortgage has been completed prior to the filing of an application under the program, and the refinancing will not allow further construction or rehabilitation, nor result in any physical impacts or changes except for routine</li> </ul>
	maintenance. $\Box$ 55.12(b)(5) The approval of financial assistance to lease an existing structure located within the floodplain, but only
	<ul> <li>if—         <ul> <li>(i) The structure is located outside the floodway or Coastal High Hazard Area, and is in a community that is in the Regular Program of the NFIP and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24);</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	(ii) The project is not a critical action; and (iii) The entire structure is or will be fully insured or insured to the maximum under the NFIP for at least the term of the lease.
	→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated.



tne t	timeline for implementation.	
or 5-	nich of the following mitigation/minimization measures have been identified for this pro- 5-Step Process? Select all that apply.	oject in the 8
[ [	<ul> <li>□ Permeable surfaces</li> <li>□ Natural landscape enhancements that maintain or restore natural hydrology</li> <li>□ Planting or restoring native plant species</li> </ul>	
Ţ	Bioswales	
L [	<ul><li>☐ Evapotranspiration</li><li>☐ Stormwater capture and reuse</li></ul>	
į	Green or vegetative roofs with drainage provisions	
[	Natural Resources Conservation Service conservation easements or similar easements	
l [	☐ Floodproofing of structures ☐ Elevating structures including freeboarding above the required base flood elevations	
į	Other	
→ Be	Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summ	nary below.
	rksheet Summary	
	<b>npliance Determination</b> vide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, s	uch oc
	p panel numbers and dates	ucii as.
	nes of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates	
	nes of plans or reports and relevant page numbers	
Any a	additional requirements specific to your region	
	e formal compliance steps or mitigation required? Yes	
ic Pres	eservation	
41 1	111' 4 ' D	
	l Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800 Section 106 review required for your project?	
	o, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA).	(See the PA Da
to f	o find applicable PAs.)	
Eit	Either provide the PA itself or a link to it here. Mark the applicable exemptions or include	e the text here
- 1		



[36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)]. Either provide the memo itself or a link to it here. Explain and justify the other determination here:
→ Continue to the Worksheet Summary.
$\square$ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect). $\rightarrow$ <i>Continue to Step 1.</i>
The Section 106 Process  After determining the need to do a Section 106 review, initiate consultation with regulatory and other interested parties, identify and evaluate historic properties, assess effects of the project on properties listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, and resolve any adverse effects through project design modifications or mitigation.  Note that consultation continues through all phases of the review.  Step 1: Initiate consultation  Step 2: Identify and evaluate historic properties  Step 3: Assess effects of the project on historic properties
Step 1 - Initiate Consultation  The following parties are entitled to participate in Section 106 reviews: Advisory Council on Historic Preservation; State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs); federally recognized Indian tribes/Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs); local governments; and project grantees. The general public and individuals and organizations with a demonstrated interest in a project may participate as consulting parties at the discretion of the RE on HUD official. Participation varies with the nature and scope of a project. Refer to HUD's website for guidance or consultation, including the required timeframes for response. Consultation should begin early to enable full consideration of preservation options.  Use the When to Consult with Tribes checklist within Notice CPD-12-006: Process for Tribal Consultation to determine if you
should invite tribes to consult on a particular project. Use the <a href="Tribal Directory Assessment Tool">Tribat</a> to identify tribes that may have an interest in the area where the project is located. Note that consultants may not initiate consultation with Tribes.  Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):  State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)  Advisory Council on Historic Preservation  Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)  List all tribes that were consulted here and their status of consultation:
☐ Other Consulting Parties  List all consulting parties that were consulted here and their status of consultation:  Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:
Provide all correspondence, notices, and notes (including comments and objections received) and continue to Step 2.

Step 2 - Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or providing a map depicting the

**APE.** Attach an additional page if necessary.



tified in local, state, and nati ory websites. If not already l eligible for the National Regis r to HUD's website for guida	n historic properties in the APE. Historic buildings, districts and archeological sites monal surveys and registers, local historic districts, municipal plans, town and county historisted on the National Register of Historic Places, identified properties are then evaluated ter.  Ince on identifying and evaluating historic properties.  Pric properties identified and evaluated in the APE.	ries, and local
y historic property that may	be affected by the project should be listed. For each historic property or district, include D has concurred with the finding, and whether information on the site is sensitive. Attach	
	tion (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and gister Status determination.	d photos) that
If the APE contains pre	oric buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project? viously unsurveyed buildings or structures over 50 years old, or there is a likely presence al sites, a survey may be necessary. For Archeological surveys, refer to HP Fact Sheet #6. tions in HUD Projects.	
	vide survey(s) and report(s) and continue to Step 3.	
	tep 3.	
Only properties that an Section 106. Assess th	of the Project on Historic Properties e listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consider effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Considerable as per HUD guidance.	
seek concurrence fr ☐ No Historic Propert		Effect; and
	rties present. → Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continue to the Worksheet St	ummary.
	ties present, but project will have no effect upon them. $\Rightarrow$ Provide concurrence(s) or object Worksheet Summary.	jection(s) and
	es concur or fail to respond to user's request for concurrence, project is in compliance wit is required. If consulting parties object, refer to $(36 \text{ CFR } 800.4(d)(1))$ and consult fur).	
No Adverse Effect	Con Con It and	
No → Continue to S  Step 3 - Assess Effects of Only properties that ar Section 106. Assess the indirect effects as applied Choose one of the fixeek concurrence from No Historic Propert Document reason No historic proper continue to the If consulting parties No further review resolve objection(s	tep 3.  If the Project on Historic Properties  e listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further conside effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Considerable as per HUD guidance.  Indings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse om consulting parties.  Indings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse om consulting parties.  It is a first finding:  It is present. → Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continue to the Worksheet States present, but project will have no effect upon them. → Provide concurrence(s) or objection worksheet Summary.  It is required. If consulting parties object, refer to (36 CFR 800.4(d)(1)) and consult fund.	der direct and Effect; are ummary.  Jection(s) and this section



	Check all that apply: (check all that apply)
	☐ Avoidance ☐ Modification of project
	□ Other
	Describe conditions here:
	→ Monitor satisfactory implementation of conditions. Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continu the Worksheet Summary.
□No∋	Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continue to the Worksheet Summary.
sect	onsulting parties concur or fail to respond to user's request for concurrence, project is in compliance with tion. No further review is required. If consulting parties object, refer to $(36 \text{ CFR } 800.5(c)(2))$ and consult furty to resolve objection(s).
Copy and	Effect ent reason for finding: I paste applicable Criteria into text box with summary and justification. If Adverse Effect: 36 CFR 800.5
CFR 800	e Advisory Council on Historic Preservation of the Adverse Effect and provide the documentation outlined in . <u>.11(e)</u> . The Council has 15 days to decide whether to enter the consultation (Not required for projects covered l matic Agreement).
	nue to Step 4.
	olve Adverse Effects onsulting parties to try to avoid, minimize or mitigate adverse effects. Refer to HUD guidance and <u>36 CFR 80</u>
ere the A	dverse Effects resolved?
Yes <b>Describ</b> <b>Advisor</b>	e the resolution of Adverse Effects, including consultation efforts and participation by y Council on Historic Preservation:
Explain	project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigate in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or efforts the impact of the impact or efforts the impact or efforts the impact of the impact or efforts the impact of the impact of the impact of the impact or efforts the impact of the impact
c.uull	6 cm cmic 101 implementation.



<u>o</u> <b>I</b>	To the project must be cancelled unless the "Head of Agency" approves it. Either provide approval from the "Head of Age reancel the project at this location.  Describe the failure to resolve Adverse Effects, including consultation efforts and participation by advisory Council on Historic Preservation and "Head of the Agency":
<i>A</i>	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and "Head of the Agency":
	Explain in detail the exact conditions or measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the import or effect, including the timeline for implementation.
	or effect, including the timeline for implementation.
	→ Provide correspondence, comments, documentation of decision, and "Head of Agency" approval. Continue to Worksheet Summary.
Con	<u>ksheet Summary</u> ppliance Determination
<ul><li>Map</li></ul>	ide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as: panel numbers and dates les of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
Nam	nes of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates nes of plans or reports and relevant page numbers additional requirements specific to your region
<b>Are</b> □ Y	formal compliance steps or mitigation required?
□ N	
	l Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B

land sales registration, or any action or emergency assistance under disaster assistance provisions or appropriations which are



## **Tier II: Site-Specific Environmental Assessment Form**

\*Intended for use following CEST and EA level Tier I Environmental Review conducted for South Carolina (SC) Single-Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program THIS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM MUST BE KEPT IN INDIVIDUAL CLIENT FILE

provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster. **Sole Source Aquifers** Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149 Not applicable. Compliance determined in Tier I EA. Pre-determined by HUD and the EPA. There are no sole source aquifers in South Carolina. **Wetlands Protection** Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5 Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order.  $\square$  No  $\rightarrow$  Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary  $\square$  Yes  $\rightarrow$  Continue to Question 2. 2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds. Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands. ☐ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction. → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map or any other relevant documentation to explain your determination. Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction. → You must determine that there are no practicable alternatives to wetlands development by completing the 8-Step Process. Provide a completed 8-Step Process as well as all documents used to make your determination, including a map. Be sure to include the early public notice and the final notice with your documentation. Continue to Question 3. 3. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. Which of the following mitigation actions have been or will be taken? Select all that apply: ☐ Permeable surfaces ☐ Natural landscape enhancements that maintain or restore natural hydrology through infiltration ☐ Native plant species Bioswales ☐ Evapotranspiration ☐ Stormwater capture and reuse ☐ Green or vegetative roofs with drainage provisions ☐ Natural Resources Conservation Service conservation easements ☐ Compensatory mitigation

### **Worksheet Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region



	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?
Wi	ld and Scenic Rivers
Wi	ld and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)
	1. Not Applicable, Compliance determined in Tier I EA.
	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE
	HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and
	authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.
1.	Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?  ☐ Yes → Continue to Question 2.
	$\square$ No $\Rightarrow$ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.
2.	Were these adverse environmental impacts disproportionately high for low-income and/or minority communities? $\square$ Yes
	Explain:
	→ Continue to Question 3. Provide any supporting documentation.
	□ No  Explain:
	→ Continue to the Worksheet Summary and provide any supporting documentation.
3.	All adverse impacts should be mitigated. Explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to
	mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.  Mitigation as follows will be implemented:
	→ Continue to Question 4.
	No mitigation is necessary.
	Explain why mitigation will not be made here:
I	



→ Continue to Question 4.	
Describe how the affected low-income or minority community was engaged or meaningfully invidecision on what mitigation actions, if any, will be taken.	volved in the
→ Continue to the Worksheet Summary and provide any supporting documentation.	
Worksheet Summary	
Compliance Determination  Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as	:
<ul> <li>Map panel numbers and dates</li> <li>Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers</li> <li>Any additional requirements specific to your region</li> </ul>	
Any additional requirements specific to your region	
Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	
☐ Yes ☐ No	



Tier II: Site-Specific Environmental Assessment
\*Intended for use following CEST and EA level Tier I Environmental Review
conducted for South Carolina (SC) Single-Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program

## **Property Address:**

Finding of this Tier II Site Speci	ific Review	
<ul><li>☐ The proposed project complies with €</li><li>☐ The proposed project does not compl</li></ul>	environmental requirements for funding. ly with environmental requirements for funding b	ecause .
Site Specific Review Completed by:		
	Date:	
Title:	<del></del>	
Signature:		
Section 106 Review Completed by:		
Nama	Date:	
Title:		
Signature:		
QA/QC Review Completed by:		
Name:	Date:	
Гitle:		
Signature:		
Responsible Entity Agency		
Name:	Date:	
Title:		
Signature:		



## Tier II: Site-Specific Environmental Assessment

\*Intended for use following CEST and EA level Tier I Environmental Review conducted for South Carolina (SC) Single-Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program

HUD Grant Number: B-16-DH-45-0001			
SC Submittal date:	Error! Reference source not found.	Application #:	Error! Reference source not found.
Date of field inspection:		Date review initiated:	
Inspector name:		Reviewer name:	
Name of applicant: SC Single-Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction			
Property address:	Error! Reference source not found.		
County:	Horry	Census tract:	Error! Reference source not found.
Block:		Lot:	
Target building site(s):	N/A	GPS Coordinates (Lat/Long):	Latitude: Longitude: -

The following environmental mitigation measures and conditions shall be complied with for the subject project:

- Floodplain Management: If in the 100-year floodplain add; "The project is required to comply with the local floodplain ordinance, and participate in the National Flood Insurance Program."
- Lead-based Paint: Must adhere to Federal, State and local lead-based paint regulations.
- Historic: Add Necessary Historic Mitigation Measures (If applicable)
- Wetlands: Add Necessary Wetland Mitigation Measures (If applicable)
- Asbestos: to include only if site is suspect for ACMs
  - Contractor must mitigate according to federal, state and local regulations.
  - Contractor must have certified personnel to supervise the proper handling of asbestos and proper protective equipment (respirator masks or other ventilation system) for the workers directly handling the asbestos.
- Lead Paint: to include only if site is suspect for lead-based paint
  - Contractor must mitigate according to federal, state and local regulations.
  - Contractor must have certified personnel to supervise the proper handling of lead-based paint and proper
    protective equipment (respirator masks or other ventilation system) for the workers directly working with
    lead paint.

Add any necessary mitigation measures as called for in the document above

Should the scope of work change on this project, a re-evaluation of environmental findings will be completed per 24 C.F.R Part 58.47.

# **APPENDICES**

## **APPENDIX A:**

Coastal Barrier Resources Act/Coastal Barrier Improvement

## **Angela Dahlgren**

**To:** Derek Galose

**Subject:** RE: SC Disaster Recovery Office CBRA consistency

From: Hernandez, Christopher [mailto:christopher\_hernandez@fws.gov]

Sent: Friday, November 4, 2016 9:23 AM

**To:** Derek Galose < <a href="mailto:dgalose@ardurragroup.com">dgalose@ardurragroup.com</a> > **Subject:** SC Disaster Recovery Office CBRA consistency

Dear Mr. Galose,

This message is in response to your request for consultation for several projects covered under a Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) grant dated October 11, 2016. In regards to the National Environmental Policy Act, any federal funding requested within a Coastal Barrier Resource System (CBRS) unit triggers an extraordinary circumstance, and a categorical exclusion cannot be used unless the Costal Barrier Resource Act (CBRA) is addressed specifically in your review. Therefore, once the specific locations of homes to be replaced under the grant are determined, the disaster recovery office should notify the Fish and Wildlife Service to determine if any of those locations are in a CBRS unit, which could affect whether they are eligible for funding or not.

If you have further questions, please contact me at 843-727-4707 x 213.

Sincerely,

Christopher Hernandez

Christopher Hernandez
Fish and Wildlife Biologist - Coastal Program
US Fish & Wildlife Service
176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200
Charleston, SC 29407
(843) 727-4707 x 213

NOTE: This email correspondence and any attachments to and from this sender are subject to the Freedom of Information Act and may be disclosed to third parties.



South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr., Columbia, SC 29201 (t) 225.954.5136 www.ardurragroup.com

Oct. 11th 2016

Ms. Katie Niemi
Coastal Barrier Coordinator
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation, Room 860
4401 N. Fairfax Drive Arlington, VA 22203
Email: katie\_niemi@fws.gov Phone: 703,358.2071

**RE**: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) - Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes and Replacement of Manufactured Housing Unit's

Dear Ms. Niemi:

The state of South Carolina was included into the Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program on December 18, 2015. In October 2015, South Carolina received unprecedented and historical rainfall and flooding. This heavy rainfall of over 20 inches across the state came from an upper atmospheric low-pressure system that funneled tropical moisture from Hurricane Joaquin. Heavy rainfall occurred across South Carolina during October 1–5, 2015, the storm caused major flooding from the central to the coastal areas of South Carolina to compute streamflow from monitored river stage. The floods caused loss of life and extensive damage to many dams, bridges, roads, homes, and businesses. South Carolina is proposing to use CDBG-DR funds to assist homeowners in the following disaster declared counties: Bamberg, Berkeley, Colhoun, Charleston, Clarendon, Colleton, Darlington, Dorchester, Fairfield, Florence, Georgetown, Greenwood, Greenville, Horry, Kershaw, Lee, Marion, Newberry, Orangeburg, Spartanburg, Sumter and Williamsburg, in achieving safe and code compliant housing that meets minimum property standards through rehabilitation, reconstruction and replacement of manufactured homes.

The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) is the responsible entity for completing the federally required environmental review in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. SCDRO has retained the Ardurra Group to assist with the environmental review. For the NEPA review of the rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of manufactured homes, SCDRO intends to perform a tiered review to obtain programmatic clearances on those NEPA elements for which no impact is anticipated to occur and establish programmatic guidelines and standards for site specific reviews in areas of potential impact. To expedite environmental review while complying with Part 58 and other applicable laws and regulations, your determination of no affect or input on the need for individual consultation concerning compliance is sought for the following types of projects:

- Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes
  - The reconstruction work will not expand the original footprint of the structure if possible.
     If lot restrictions apply and the footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or a wetland.



- Replacement of Damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHU) Deemed Unrepairable Through a Feasibility Review
  - o The replacement of damaged MHUs will be replaced on the original site.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at 225-954-5136 or dgalose@ardurragroup.com should you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 

Desk John

Ardurra Group

CC: Angela L Dahlgren, Ardurra Group

CC: Eric Fosmire, SCDRO

## **APPENDIX B:**

# Clean Air Act



October 24, 2016

Derek A. Galose **Environmental Manager** Ardurra Group South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr Columbia, SC 29201

Re: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) - Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes and Replacement of Manufactured Housing Units

Dear Mr. Galose:

On October 14, 2016 we received your letter, dated October 11, 2016, about the proposed rehabilitation, reconstruction and replacement of flood-damaged homes in 22 disaster declared counties of South Carolina. Based on the information provided, I am responding on behalf of the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control, Bureau of Air Quality (Bureau).

The Bureau is tasked with implementing the Federal Clean Air Act (1990, as amended) in the State of South Carolina. The Bureau is required to ensure compliance with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for criteria pollutants. Currently two criteria pollutants are of particular concern in South Carolina:

- o Ozone The 2015 8-hour primary and secondary standards of 70 parts per billion (ppb) were finalized on October 26, 2015. The area represented in this proposal is currently meeting the 2015 ozone standards. Designations for these standards are anticipated in October 2017.
- o Particulate Matter 2.5 (Particulates 2.5 microns in size and smaller) The 2012 standard for maximum daily concentration is set at 35 micrograms per cubic meter. The 2012 standard for the maximum annual concentration is set at 12 micrograms per cubic meter. The area represented in this proposal is meeting the 2012 particulate matter 2.5 standards.

South Carolina is currently attaining all of the NAAQS, but may face nonattainment when designations for the new ozone standards are made. If a project is located in a nonattainment area, it may be subject to prescriptive requirements such as Transportation Conformity or air quality modeling.

An asbestos survey and project license may be required prior to any demolition activities such as deconstruction of a building or removal of structures in the right-of-way of a road project. If you have any questions regarding asbestos regulatory applicability you may contact Robin Mack (with the Bureau's Asbestos Section) at (803) 898-4270 or mackrs@dhec.sc.gov.

As energy efficient features in homes provide air quality benefits to the community as well as making homes more affordable to live in, the Bureau encourages the use of energy-efficient doors, water heaters and HVAC units, as well as the incorporation of weatherization measures to the extent practicable.

All necessary environmental permits for the subject project must be obtained in accordance with applicable state and federal regulations. If you have not already done so, please contact the Bureau of Water at (803) 898-4300 and the Bureau of Land and Waste Management at (803) 898-2000 for input regarding those program areas' assessments of this proposed project.

Emissions from diesel equipment are regulated by federal standards. The Bureau would like to offer the following suggestions on how this project can help us stay in compliance with the NAAQS. More importantly, these strategies are beneficial to the health of citizens of South Carolina.

- Utilize alternatively fueled equipment.
- Utilize emission controls applicable to your equipment.
- Reduce idling time on equipment.
- Fugitive dust emissions should be minimized through good operating practices.

The Bureau can provide model clean construction contract language. A vendor may need to retrofit, repower or replace older and more polluting diesel construction equipment in order to satisfy clean construction requirements. These types of projects can be financed with Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds, and are in fact a high priority for CMAQ funding. Please contact our office if assistance is needed.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project. Should you have any further questions or comments concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me at (803) 898-4122 or at <a href="mailto:robertln@dhec.sc.gov">robertln@dhec.sc.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

L. Nelson Roberts, Jr., Manager

L. Melson Robert, n.

Air Programs Implementation and Mobile Sources Section

Bureau of Air Quality

cc: Mark Harvley, BEHS Greenwood Office, <a href="https://harvlema@dhec.sc.gov">harvlema@dhec.sc.gov</a>
Sabrina Prince, BEHS Greenville Office, <a href="https://kprincesa@dhec.sc.gov">kprincesa@dhec.sc.gov</a>
Johnny Hall, BEHS Spartanburg Office, <a href="https://halljc@dhec.sc.gov">halljc@dhec.sc.gov</a>
Ben Buchanan, Midlands BEHS Columbia Office, <a href="https://buchanbr@dhec.sc.gov">buchanbr@dhec.sc.gov</a>
Brian Baxley, Pee Dee BEHS Florence Office, <a href="https://baxleybc@dhec.sc.gov">baxleybc@dhec.sc.gov</a>
Regie Watts, Pee Dee BEHS Sumter Office <a href="https://wattsrj.godhec.sc.gov">wattsrj.godhec.sc.gov</a>
Jay Cox, Pee Dee BEHS Myrtle Beach Office, <a href="https://coxmj.godhec.sc.gov">coxmj.godhec.sc.gov</a>
Wendy Boswell, Lowcountry EQC McMillan Office <a href="https://boswelwm.godhec.sc.gov">boswelwm.godhec.sc.gov</a>
Neshia Wright, Lowcountry EQC Beaufort Office, <a href="https://wrightnm.godhec.sc.gov">wrightnm.godhec.sc.gov</a>
Melinda Washington, BEHS Orangeburg Office, <a href="https://washinmk@dhec.sc.gov">washinmk@dhec.sc.gov</a>

From: Roberts, Nelson <robertln@dhec.sc.gov>

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 1:29 PM

To: Derek Galose

**Subject:** Fw: Follow up on the South Carolina Department of Commerce,

Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) Concurrence letter mailed on

10/11/16

Attachments: Clean Air Act.pdf; South Carolina Dept of Commerce Disaster

Recovery Office 20161025.pdf

Mr. Galose,

Robbie Brown, my director, forwarded your email to me. We mailed a response letter on October 24, 2016. You should be receiving it soon, if you don't have it already.

For your convenience, I am attaching a pdf version of the letter.

Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

L. Nelson Roberts, Jr., Section Manager Air Programs Implementation & Mobile Sources Section Bureau of Air Quality, SCDHEC 2600 Bull Street, Columbia, SC 29201

office: (803)898-4122 fax: (803)898-4487 robertln@dhec.sc.gov

From: Brown, Robbie

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 11:49 AM

To: Roberts, Nelson

Subject: Fw: Follow up on the South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office

(SCDRO) Concurrence letter mailed on 10/11/16

Robert J. Brown, Jr., Director

Division of Air Assessment and Regulation

SCDHEC Bureau of Air Quality

2600 Bull Street, Columbia, SC 29201

803.898.4105

803.465.1533 (mobile)

803.898.4487 (fax)

brownrj@dhec.sc.gov

From: Derek Galose < <a href="mailto:dgalose@ardurragroup.com">dgalose@ardurragroup.com</a>>

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 10:28 AM

To: Brown, Robbie

Subject: Follow up on the South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO)

Concurrence letter mailed on 10/11/16

Dear Mr. Brown,

I am following up with you to see if you have mailed a response in regards to the concurrence letter we sent you on October 11th.

An email reply will suffice also instead of a formal letter?

Attached is a copy of the concurrence letter for your review we mailed to the following Address:

Robert J. Brown

Director, Division of Air Assessment, Innovations, and Regulation

Bureau of Air Quality S.C. DHEC 2600 Bull Street Columbia, SC 29201 (803) 898-4105 brownrj@dhec.sc.gov

Thank you and please feel free to call me with any questions.

Derek

## Derek A. Galose

## **Environmental Manager**

South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr., Columbia, SC 29201 (t) 225.954.5136

www.ardurragroup.com





South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr., Columbia, SC 29201 (t) 225.954.5136 www.ardurragroup.com

Oct. 11th 2016

Mr. Robert J. Brown Director Division of Air Assessment, Innovations, & Regulation Bureau of Air Quality 2600 Bull Street Columbia, SC 29201 brownrj@dhec.sc.gov

**RE**: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) - Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes and Replacement of Manufactured Housing Unit's

Dear Mr. Brown:

The state of South Carolina was included into the Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program on December 18, 2015. In October 2015, South Carolina received unprecedented and historical rainfall and flooding. This heavy rainfall of over 20 inches across the state came from an upper atmospheric low-pressure system that funneled tropical moisture from Hurricane Joaquin. Heavy rainfall occurred across South Carolina during October 1–5, 2015, the storm caused major flooding from the central to the coastal areas of South Carolina to compute streamflow from monitored river stage. The floods caused loss of life and extensive damage to many dams, bridges, roads, homes, and businesses. South Carolina is proposing to use CDBG-DR funds to assist homeowners in the following disaster declared counties: Bamberg, Berkeley, Colhoun, Charleston, Clarendon, Colleton, Darlington, Dorchester, Fairfield, Florence, Georgetown, Greenwood, Greenville, Horry, Kershaw, Lee, Marion, Newberry, Orangeburg, Spartanburg, Sumter and Williamsburg, in achieving safe and code compliant housing that meets minimum property standards through rehabilitation, reconstruction and replacement of manufactured homes.

The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) is the responsible entity for completing the federally required environmental review in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. SCDRO has retained the Ardurra Group to assist with the environmental review. For the NEPA review of the rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of manufactured homes, SCDRO intends to perform a tiered review to obtain programmatic clearances on those NEPA elements for which no impact is anticipated to occur and establish programmatic guidelines and standards for site specific reviews in areas of potential impact. To expedite environmental review while complying with Part 58 and other applicable laws and regulations, your determination of no affect or input on the need for individual consultation concerning compliance is sought for the following types of projects:

- Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes
  - The reconstruction work will not expand the original footprint of the structure if possible.
     If lot restrictions apply and the footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or a wetland.



- Replacement of Damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHU) Deemed Unrepairable Through a Feasibility Review
  - o The replacement of damaged MHUs will be replaced on the original site.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at 225-954-5136 or dgalose@ardurragroup.com should you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 

Desk A. Solore

Ardurra Group

CC: Angela L Dahlgren, Ardurra Group

CC: Eric Fosmire, SCDRO

## **APPENDIX C:**

# Coastal Zone Management



November 5, 2016

Mr. Eric Fosmire South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office 632 Rosewood Drive Columbia, SC 29201

Re: SC Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) - Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single Family Homes and Replacement of Manufacturing Housing Units, # CZC-16-1374

Dear Mr. Fosmire:

This letter is in response to a recent South Carolina Department of Commerce Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) Funding Assistance request submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) disaster recovery funding assistance to rehabilitate and reconstruct single family homes and replacement of manufactured housing affected by the October 2015 historic rainfall and flooding event. In addition to several inland counties, SCDRO is proposing to use CDBG-DR funds to assist homeowners in the eight coastal counties. The funding will be used to reconstruct or replace affected homes with the following caveats: the work will not expand or will minimally extend beyond the original footprint of the structures or unrepairable units will be replaced within the footprint of the original site. The review is submitted in accordance with HUD requirements and is also subject to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act as a Federal Consistency Funding Assistance.

After reviewing the Consistency Determination and associated documents, the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Managemnt (SCDHEC OCRM) concurs pursuant to that the proposed activity complies with the enforceable policies contained within the S. C. Coastal Zone Management Program (SCCZMP) pursuant to 15 C.F.R. § 930 Subpart F. This conditional concurrence is based upon the review of the Guidelines for Evaluation of All Projects as well as the (1) Residential Development, (2) Public Services and Facilities (water Supply) and (3) Stormwater Management (runoff) policies contained within the SCCZMP.

This letter does not alleviate Colleton County from the responsibility of obtaining other required local, state or federal approvals for the work described above. Please do not hesitiate to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Curtis M. Joyner /

Manager, Coastal Zone Consistency Section, SCDHEC OCRM

1362 McMillan Avenue, Suite 400

Curtis M. Joyner

Charleston, SC 29405

843-953-0205

joynercm@dhec.sc.gov

cc: Rheta DiNovo, SCDHEC OCRM Jeannie Lewis, SCDHEC OCRM Derek Galose, Ardurra Group Angela Dahlgren, Ardurra Group

## **Angela Dahlgren**

**From:** Derek Galose <dgalose@ardurragroup.com>

**Sent:** Friday, October 28, 2016 1:11 PM **To:** Martin, Holli; Joyner, Curtis

**Cc:** Angela Dahlgren

**Subject:** RE: Status of South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office

(SCDRO) Concurrence letter sent on 10/11/16

## Good afternoon Ms. Martin,

The proposed project is for rehabilitation/reconstruction of existing single-family residential units. No new development in the coastal zones will occur as a result of the proposed project. Since exact home locations are unknown at this time, rehabilitation and/or reconstruction of an existing single-family home may occur in the coastal zone. Any rehabilitation/reconstruction planned in a coastal zone will be fully consistent with the Residential Development Policies as outlined in Chapter III on pages 16 -18 in the South Carolina Management of Coastal Resources. Mitigation Measures will be added to the Environmental Assessment for all rehabilitation/reconstruction homes located in a coastal zone.

Thank you and have a nice weekend.

Derek

### Derek A. Galose

## **Environmental Manager**

South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr., Columbia, SC 29201 (t) 225.954.5136

www.ardurragroup.com



From: Martin, Holli [mailto:martinhd@dhec.sc.gov]

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 10:48 AM

To: Joyner, Curtis <JOYNERCM@dhec.sc.gov>; Derek Galose <dgalose@ardurragroup.com>

Subject: Re: Status of South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) Concurrence letter

sent on 10/11/16

## Good morning,

I have received your email to Dan Burger, forwarded to me from Curtis Joyner. Coastal Zone Consistency has received your Federal Funding Assistance Request for Coastal Zone Consistency Determination.

Please review the Residential Development Policies found on our website at the link below and reply with a statement that you are fully consistent with those policies.

Please send the statement back to me, by email is fine. No hard copies are needed.

Have a great day.

Holli

http://www.scdhec.gov/Agency/RegulationsAndUpdates/LawsAndRegulations/Coastal/

## DHEC Laws and Regulations: Coastal Zone

## www.scdhec.gov

Coastal Zone . The South Carolina Coastal Management Program was established under the guidelines of the national Coastal Zone Management Act (1972) as a state ...

Holli Dawn Martin

Project Coordinator - Coastal Zone Consistency-OCRM S.C. Dept. of Health & Environmental Control

Office: (843) 953-0860

Email: martinhd@dhec.sc.gov

Connect: www.scdhec.gov Facebook Twitter



From: Burger, Dan

Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 10:59 AM

To: Joyner, Curtis

Subject: Fw: Status of South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) Concurrence letter

sent on 10/11/16

Mr. Galose: Apologies, as it appears that I did not receive your initial correspondence either by email or surface mail. I am routing your request to Curtis Joyner, Manager, Coastal Zone Consistency Section. Mr. Joyner will be best able to assist you in your request.

Cheers,

Dan

Daniel J. Burger
Director, Coastal Services Division, OCRM
S.C. Dept. of Health & Environmental Control

Office: (843) 953-0251 Mobile: (843) 709-8311 From: Derek Galose < dgalose@ardurragroup.com > Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 10:46 AM

To: Burger, Dan

Subject: Status of South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) Concurrence letter sent

on 10/11/16

Dear Mr. Burger,

I am following up with you to see if you have mailed a response in regards to the concurrence letter we sent you on October 11th.

An email reply will suffice also instead of a formal letter?

Attached is a copy of the concurrence letter for your review we mailed to the following Address:

Coastal Services Division and Coastal Resource Management 1362 McMillan Avenue – Suite 400 Charleston, SC 29405-2029

Thank you for your time.

Derek

### Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 

South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr., Columbia, SC 29201 (t) 225.954.5136

www.ardurragroup.com



From: Derek Galose

Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2016 6:38 PM

To: burgerj@dhec.sc.gov

Subject: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) Concurrence letter

Dear Mr. Burger,

The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) is the responsible entity for completing the federally required environmental review in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. SCDRO has retained the Ardurra Group to assist with the environmental review. For the NEPA review of the rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of manufactured homes, SCDRO intends to perform a tiered review to obtain programmatic clearances on those NEPA elements for which no impact is anticipated to occur and establish programmatic guidelines and standards for site specific reviews in areas of potential impact.

attached is a copy of the concurrence letter for your review we also mailed a hard copy.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns.

Thank you for your time.

**Derek A. Galose** Environmental Manger Cell (225) – 954 – 5136





South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr., Columbia, SC 29201 (t) 225.954.5136 www.ardurragroup.com

Oct. 11th 2016

Mr. Daniel Burger
Director
Coastal Services Division and Coastal Resource Management
1362 McMillan Avenue - Suite 400 Charleston, SC 29405-2029
Email: burgerj@dhec.sc.gov Phone: 843.953.0251

**RE**: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) - Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes and Replacement of Manufactured Housing Unit's

Dear Mr. Burger:

The state of South Carolina was included into the Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program on December 18, 2015. In October 2015, South Carolina received unprecedented and historical rainfall and flooding. This heavy rainfall of over 20 inches across the state came from an upper atmospheric low-pressure system that funneled tropical moisture from Hurricane Joaquin. Heavy rainfall occurred across South Carolina during October 1–5, 2015, the storm caused major flooding from the central to the coastal areas of South Carolina to compute streamflow from monitored river stage. The floods caused loss of life and extensive damage to many dams, bridges, roads, homes, and businesses. South Carolina is proposing to use CDBG-DR funds to assist homeowners in the following disaster declared counties: Bamberg, Berkeley, Colhoun, Charleston, Clarendon, Colleton, Darlington, Dorchester, Fairfield, Florence, Georgetown, Greenwood, Greenville, Horry, Kershaw, Lee, Marion, Newberry, Orangeburg, Spartanburg, Sumter and Williamsburg, in achieving safe and code compliant housing that meets minimum property standards through rehabilitation, reconstruction and replacement of manufactured homes.

The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) is the responsible entity for completing the federally required environmental review in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. SCDRO has retained the Ardurra Group to assist with the environmental review. For the NEPA review of the rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of manufactured homes, SCDRO intends to perform a tiered review to obtain programmatic clearances on those NEPA elements for which no impact is anticipated to occur and establish programmatic guidelines and standards for site specific reviews in areas of potential impact. To expedite environmental review while complying with Part 58 and other applicable laws and regulations, your determination of no affect or input on the need for individual consultation concerning compliance is sought for the following types of projects:

- Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes
  - o The reconstruction work will not expand the original footprint of the structure if possible. If lot restrictions apply and the footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or a wetland.



- Replacement of Damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHU) Deemed Unrepairable Through a Feasibility Review
  - o The replacement of damaged MHUs will be replaced on the original site.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at 225-954-5136 or dgalose@ardurragroup.com should you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 

Solor Adas Co

Ardurra Group

CC: Angela L Dahlgren, Ardurra Group

CC: Eric Fosmire, SCDRO

## **APPENDIX D:**

**Endangered Species** 

From: Mark Caldwell <mark\_caldwell@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2016 8:37 AM

To: Derek Galose

**Subject:** SCDRO Rehabilition and Reconstruction

Dear Mr. Galose,

The Service has received your letter of October 11, 2016, requesting review and consultation on South Carolina's Community Block Grant Recovery Program authorizing reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of homes damaged or lost during the October 2015 flooding event. In order for the Service to provide comments central to potential environmental impacts, specific proposals must be submitted (i.e. location of homes, presence and amount of natural resources, impact acreages, expansion proposal, etc.). Without specific information we cannot conduct a thorough review of impacts. In addition, as required by the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA), it is the responsibility of the Federal agency, or their delegated representative, seeking consultation to first determine a potential effect upon threatened or endangered (T&E) species based on the proposed work. Once that determination is made concurrence is then sought from the Service.

The Service recognizes that many activities, such as the replacement of homes, typically do not pose a threat to T&E species or the surrounding environment. Such activities do not normally require a detailed review under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) or the ESA. However, NEPA and ESA considerations must be accounted for. In order to assist requesting parties with projects of this nature the Service developed several clearance authorizations covering multiple topics that may be used to fulfill such needs. The clearance authorizations are found on our website <a href="https://www.fws.gov/charleston/regulatory.html">www.fws.gov/charleston/regulatory.html</a>. The most relevant authorization applicable to your needs is the DOC\_HUD\_USDA Rural Development letter found under the General Guidance heading. This letter may be downloaded and serve as the Service's consultation for any project that meets the criteria in the letter.

Please visit our website to review the information. If you have any questions on this matter please do not hesitate to contact me.

### Mark

Mark A. Caldwell
Deputy Field Supervisor
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
South Carolina Ecological Services
176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200
Charleston, SC 29407
843-727-4707 ext 215
843-300-0426 (direct line)
843-727-4218 – facsimile

This email correspondence and any attachments to and from this sender is subject to the Freedom of Information Act and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Thomas McCoy <Thomas\_McCoy@fws.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2016 7:25 AM

To: Derek Galose

**Subject:** RE: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office

(SCDRO) Concurrence letter

Mr. Galose,

My Deputy/Regulatory Supervisor will be contacting you regarding this project with some questions.

Thank you for your help.

Tom McCoy

Field Supervisor/FERC Coordinator

Department of the Interior - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

South Carolina Ecological Services Field Office

176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200 Charleston, South Carolina 29407

Main Phone Line: 843.727.4707 Direct Phone Line: 843.300.0431

Fax: 843.300.0204

E-mail: <a href="mailto:thomas\_mccoy@fws.gov">thomas\_mccoy@fws.gov</a>

Please visit our Web Page for information about our office: www.fws.gov/charleston

NOTE: This email correspondence and any attachments to and from this sender is subject to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and may be disclosed to third parties.

**From:** Derek Galose [mailto:dgalose@ardurragroup.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2016 6:08 PM

To: <a href="mailto:thomas\_mccoy@fws.gov">thomas\_mccoy@fws.gov</a>

Subject: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) Concurrence letter

Dear Mr. McCoy

The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) is the responsible entity for completing the federally required environmental review in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. SCDRO has retained the Ardurra Group to assist with the environmental review. For the NEPA review of the rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of manufactured homes, SCDRO intends to perform a tiered review to obtain programmatic clearances on those NEPA elements for which no impact is anticipated to occur and establish programmatic guidelines and standards for site specific reviews in areas of potential impact.

attached is a copy of the concurrence letter for your review we also mailed a hard copy.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns.

Thank you for your time.

Derek A. Galose

**Envrionmental Manger** 

Cell (225) – 954 – 5136

Ardurra



# United States Department of the Interior

### FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200 Charleston, South Carolina 29407



# U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Clearance to Proceed with U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, and U.S. Department of Agriculture Projects

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) is one of two lead Federal Agencies mandated with the protection and conservation of Federal trust resources, including threatened and endangered species listed under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) (ESA). The U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC), U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), as well as the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) allocate grant funds for rural development projects. Accordingly, obligations under the ESA and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) require HUD and USDA to perform an environmental impact review prior to a project's approval. Primarily, these projects involve repair, maintenance, or reconstruction of existing facilities on previously developed land.

Many of the DOC, HUD, and USDA projects result in no adverse impacts to federally protected species. In determining if your project will have an effect on federally protected species or designated critical habitat under the jurisdiction of the Service, we provide this guidance, relative to the criteria listed below, applicable to many DOC, HUD, and USDA project requests. If the project description falls in one of the categories and the Federal agency, or their designee, determines there is no effect or impact to federally protected species or designated critical habitat, no further action is required under section 7 of the ESA. Please note this guidance applies only to projects in South Carolina.

### Description of DOC, HUD, and USDA Projects Covered

The following types of projects have been evaluated by the Service in accordance with ESA and NEPA:

- 1. Purchase machinery, equipment, and supplies for use in existing structures and buildings.
- Finance or refinance existing structures or properties. Transfer of loans where the original lending or mortgage institutions for existing projects are no longer holding the loans and the properties transfer via back loans.
- 3. Construct, expand, maintain, remove, replace, or rehabilitate structures on developed or otherwise disturbed areas. Examples of developed or disturbed areas include paved, filled, graveled, routinely mowed vegetated grasses, agricultural fields, and pasturelands. Undeveloped areas are those sites where natural vegetation dominates.
- New, refurbished, or expanded parking lots and amenities associated with existing or proposed private, commercial, or industrial developments that do not expand into previously undeveloped areas.
- 5. Implement streetscape beautification projects. Examples of these projects include the removal and replacement of existing sidewalks, curbing, or gutters; demolishing and

- disposing of existing curbing; installing irrigation systems for plants; installing or replacing streetlights, benches, or trashcans; and installing handicap sidewalk ramps or new sidewalks within city limits in right of ways.
- Repair, replace, or renovate existing wastewater treatment facilities, water supply
  facilities, and storm water facilities (such as drainage ditches and ponds) without
  expansion of the existing site boundary.
- 7. Install or replace pipelines or transmission lines using trenchless technology (directional drilling) techniques. Trenchless technology eliminates the need to disturb the environment caused by excavating and backfilling trenches.
- 8. Install or replace pipelines by trench and back fill within previously disturbed lands such as, but not limited to, maintained easements and transportation right of ways <u>provided a protected species survey is performed and no protected species are found on the site.</u>

### Clearance to Proceed

For all projects that meet the criteria described <u>and have no effect or impact</u> upon federally protected species or designated critical habitat, no further coordination with the Service is necessary. This letter may be downloaded and serve as the Service's concurrence letter for your project.

Please note that obligations under the ESA must be reconsidered if: (1) new information reveals impacts of this identified action may affect any listed species or critical habitat in a manner not previously considered; (2) this action is subsequently modified in a manner which was not considered in this assessment; or (3) a new species is listed or critical habitat is designated that may be affected by the identified action.

The Service recommends that project proponents contact the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources regarding potential impacts to State protected species. If the proposed project will impact streams and/or wetlands, please contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District. The Service appreciates your cooperation in the protection of federally listed species and their habitats in South Carolina.

Sincerely,

Thomas D. McCoy

Field Supervisor



South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr., Columbia, SC 29201 (t) 225.954.5136 www.ardurragroup.com

Oct. 11th 2016

Mr. Tim McCoy
Field Supervisor
Charleston Ecological Services Field Office
176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200 Charleston, SC 29407
Email: thomas\_mccoy@fws.gov Phone: 843.727.4707

**RE**: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) - Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes and Replacement of Manufactured Housing Unit's

Dear Mr. McCoy:

The state of South Carolina was included into the Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program on December 18, 2015. In October 2015, South Carolina received unprecedented and historical rainfall and flooding. This heavy rainfall of over 20 inches across the state came from an upper atmospheric low-pressure system that funneled tropical moisture from Hurricane Joaquin. Heavy rainfall occurred across South Carolina during October 1–5, 2015, the storm caused major flooding from the central to the coastal areas of South Carolina to compute streamflow from monitored river stage. The floods caused loss of life and extensive damage to many dams, bridges, roads, homes, and businesses. South Carolina is proposing to use CDBG-DR funds to assist homeowners in the following disaster declared counties: Bamberg, Berkeley, Colhoun, Charleston, Clarendon, Colleton, Darlington, Dorchester, Fairfield, Florence, Georgetown, Greenwood, Greenville, Horry, Kershaw, Lee, Marion, Newberry, Orangeburg, Spartanburg, Sumter and Williamsburg, in achieving safe and code compliant housing that meets minimum property standards through rehabilitation, reconstruction and replacement of manufactured homes.

The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) is the responsible entity for completing the federally required environmental review in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. SCDRO has retained the Ardurra Group to assist with the environmental review. For the NEPA review of the rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of manufactured homes, SCDRO intends to perform a tiered review to obtain programmatic clearances on those NEPA elements for which no impact is anticipated to occur and establish programmatic guidelines and standards for site specific reviews in areas of potential impact. To expedite environmental review while complying with Part 58 and other applicable laws and regulations, your determination of no affect or input on the need for individual consultation concerning compliance is sought for the following types of projects:

- Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes
  - The reconstruction work will not expand the original footprint of the structure if possible.
     If lot restrictions apply and the footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or a wetland.



- Replacement of Damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHU) Deemed Unrepairable Through a Feasibility Review
  - o The replacement of damaged MHUs will be replaced on the original site.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at 225-954-5136 or dgalose@ardurragroup.com should you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 

Desk A. Solore

Ardurra Group

CC: Angela L Dahlgren, Ardurra Group

CC: Eric Fosmire, SCDRO

## **APPENDIX E:**

## Farmland Protection



Derek A. Galose Environmental Manager, Ardurra Group South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Drive Columbia, SC 29201

NOV 0 3 2016

RE: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office - Rehabilitation and Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes and Replacement of Manufactured Housing Units

Dear Mr. Galose.

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in South Carolina appreciates the opportunity to comment on the National Environmental Policy Act determination required for utilizing Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program funds to address impacts from the historic October 2015 floods in South Carolina.

We understand that you are proposing to use CDBG-DR funds to assist homeowners in specific disaster declared counties in South Carolina to achieve safe and code compliant housing, which meets minimum property standards through rehabilitation, reconstruction and replacement of manufactured homes. The counties identified include Bamberg, Berkeley, Calhoun, Charleston, Clarendon, Colleton, Darlington, Dorchester, Fairfield, Florence, Georgetown, Greenwood, Greenville, Horry, Kershaw, Lee, Marion, Newberry, Orangeburg, Spartanburg, Sumter and Williamsburg.

We agree there will be no effect to Prime Farm Land and/or Wetlands in South Carolina as a result of the listed work:

- 1. Rehabilitation and reconstruction of single-family homes.
  - Reconstruction work will not expand the original footprint of the structure if possible.
  - If lot restrictions apply and the footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or a wetland.
- 2. Replacement of damaged manufactured housing units (MHU) deemed unrepairable through a feasibility review.
  - Replacement of damaged MHUs will be replaced on the original site.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Please let me know if you require any additional information.

Sincerely,

ANN ENGLISH

State Conservationist

Jerome Brown, State Resources Conservationist, NRCS, Columbia, SC

Natural Resources Conservation Service 1835 Assembly Street, Room 950 Columbia, South Carolina 29201 (803) 253-3935 Fax: (855) 563-9308 Helping People Help the Land

An Equal Opportunity Provider and Employer



South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr., Columbia, SC 29201 (t) 225.954.5136 www.ardurragroup.com

Oct. 11th 2016

Ms. Ann English
State Conservationist
USDA Strom Thurmond Federal Building
1835 Assembly Street Room 950 Columbia, SC 29201
Email: ann.english@sc.usda.gov Phone: 803.253.3935

**RE**: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) - Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes and Replacement of Manufactured Housing Unit's

Dear Ms. English:

The state of South Carolina was included into the Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program on December 18, 2015. In October 2015, South Carolina received unprecedented and historical rainfall and flooding. This heavy rainfall of over 20 inches across the state came from an upper atmospheric low-pressure system that funneled tropical moisture from Hurricane Joaquin. Heavy rainfall occurred across South Carolina during October 1–5, 2015, the storm caused major flooding from the central to the coastal areas of South Carolina to compute streamflow from monitored river stage. The floods caused loss of life and extensive damage to many dams, bridges, roads, homes, and businesses. South Carolina is proposing to use CDBG-DR funds to assist homeowners in the following disaster declared counties: Bamberg, Berkeley, Colhoun, Charleston, Clarendon, Colleton, Darlington, Dorchester, Fairfield, Florence, Georgetown, Greenwood, Greenville, Horry, Kershaw, Lee, Marion, Newberry, Orangeburg, Spartanburg, Sumter and Williamsburg, in achieving safe and code compliant housing that meets minimum property standards through rehabilitation, reconstruction and replacement of manufactured homes.

The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) is the responsible entity for completing the federally required environmental review in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. SCDRO has retained the Ardurra Group to assist with the environmental review. For the NEPA review of the rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of manufactured homes, SCDRO intends to perform a tiered review to obtain programmatic clearances on those NEPA elements for which no impact is anticipated to occur and establish programmatic guidelines and standards for site specific reviews in areas of potential impact. To expedite environmental review while complying with Part 58 and other applicable laws and regulations, your determination of no affect or input on the need for individual consultation concerning compliance is sought for the following types of projects:

- Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes
  - The reconstruction work will not expand the original footprint of the structure if possible.
     If lot restrictions apply and the footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or a wetland.



- Replacement of Damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHU) Deemed Unrepairable Through a Feasibility Review
  - o The replacement of damaged MHUs will be replaced on the original site.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at 225-954-5136 or dgalose@ardurragroup.com should you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 

Duk A. Solore

Ardurra Group

CC: Angela L Dahlgren, Ardurra Group

CC: Eric Fosmire, SCDRO

## **APPENDIX F:**

### **Historic Preservation**

From: Sylvest, John <JSylvest@scdah.sc.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2016 4:49 PM

To: Derek Galose
Cc: Johnson, Elizabeth

**Subject:** RE: Tier I reviews for the SCDRO of the South Carolina Department of

Commerce

### Derek,

Thank you for your October 11 letter. Our input regarding the types of projects you raised is as follows. Of course, this all takes into account the signing of the HUD Addendum to the FEMA PA by SCDRO and all parties, as well as your approval as qualified preservation professional:

Rehabilitation and Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes: Rehabs of buildings less than 45 years old would be excluded per I.B.1 of the FEMA PA. Otherwise, other specific rehab activities can be excluded primarily under II.'s Tier Two Allowances, regardless of building age. Demolition and/or Reconstruction to buildings less than 45 years old would be excluded per II.B.11. If a building is well over 45 years old and its activities (rehab, demolition and/or reconstruction) are not excluded from review per the Allowances then standard Section 106 consultation is recommended.

Replacement of MHU's: These would also be addressed by the above citations. However, while the FEMA PA does not specifically address MHU's (i.e. mobile homes), our office has no concerns with repairs to, or demolition or replacement of any MHU, regardless of age. Consultation with our office for MHU projects is not necessary. However you want to discuss documenting this for your HUD environmental record may need further discussion with Nancy Boone or Lenwood Smith at HUD.

I hope this helps. I will be out on paternity leave any time now for much of the next month. If you have any questions in the meantime you can always try Elizabeth Johnson, copied, if I'm unreachable or unresponsive.

### Thanks,

John D. Sylvest South Carolina Department of Archives and History State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) 8301 Parklane Road Columbia, SC 29223 phone: 803.896.6129 fax: 803.896.6167 SHPO Website: http://shpo.sc.gov

**From:** Derek Galose [mailto:dgalose@ardurragroup.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 1:54 PM

To: Sylvest, John

Subject: RE: Tier I reviews for the SCDRO of the South Carolina Department of Commerce

John,

I have attached a letter explaining the Program and that we will be conducting a Tiered Environmental Review for the SCDRO Single Family Housing Recovery Program. The letter was also mailed to your office.

I know how slammed you can get! I used to work at the Louisiana SHPO as a 106 Reviewer for all Disaster Recovery Projects.

Before I call you tomorrow I wanted to send you a brief overview below of how we will be conducting the Tier I review.

The Tier 1 review addresses and analyzes those environmental impacts related to the proposed activities that might occur on a typical site within the geographic area. This includes examining the applicable laws and authorities (e.g., floodplains, coastal zones, wetlands, aboveground storage tanks, etc.). For example, if the target area is not within a 100-year floodplain or a coastal zone management area, none of the project sites will be affected no matter where they are located in the target area. On the other hand, if a portion of the target area is within a 100-year floodplain, then the grantee must complete the required compliance process to decide whether to fund any future projects within the floodplain, including whether mitigation measures are feasible

For activities requiring an environmental assessment, the Tier 1 review must also assess project effects related to a longer list of environmental factors (e.g., compatibility with surrounding land uses, conformance with zoning plans, nuisances that affect site safety, displacement of people or businesses, solid waste management, etc.).

In short, a tiered review focuses on a targeted geographic area (i.e., maximum size is a single census tract) to address and analyze environmental impacts related to the proposed activities that might occur on a typical project site within that area. The specific addresses/locations of the individual properties are not known at this time. However, once individual project sites are located any remaining environmental compliance issues that could not be resolved until project locations became known are now completed, according to standards for approval previously established for the target area.

Thank you for your time!

#### Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 

South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr., Columbia, SC 29201 (t) 225.954.5136

www.ardurragroup.com



**From:** Sylvest, John [mailto:JSylvest@scdah.sc.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2016 11:49 AM **To:** Derek Galose <a href="mailto:dgalose@ardurragroup.com">dgalose@ardurragroup.com</a>

Subject: RE: Tier I reviews for the SCDRO of the South Carolina Department of Commerce

Hi Derek,

I would point you to our Section 106 review guidance pages at <a href="http://shpo.sc.gov/programs/revcomp/Pages/default.aspx">http://shpo.sc.gov/programs/revcomp/Pages/default.aspx</a> and call me soon if you have any questions. Otherwise, frankly I am slammed right now with projects and am on hold for paternity leave any time in the next two weeks.

For any project requiring consultation with our office we would want our Section 106 Project Review Form or a cover letter containing all equivalent information and findings pursuant to 36 CFR 800, photographs and maps of the project area, and any associated drawings, plans, background research, records of consultation with other consulting parties, etc. that would be applicable. All this is referenced on our website and in the Section 106 regulations documentation standards. The FEMA PA touches it on it as well.

The HUD PA being finalized now will not be applicable to you. It is for direct HUD undertakings under Part 50 of their regs, not Part 58 responsible entity undertakings.

Look forward to working with you as well. Thanks,

John D. Sylvest South Carolina Department of Archives and History State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) 8301 Parklane Road Columbia, SC 29223 phone: 803.896.6129 fax: 803.896.6167 SHPO Website: http://shpo.sc.gov

**From:** Derek Galose [mailto:dgalose@ardurragroup.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2016 10:51 AM

**To:** Sylvest, John

Subject: Tier I reviews for the SCDRO of the South Carolina Department of Commerce

Good Morning John,

I was given your information from Eric Fosmire with SCDRO of the South Carolina Department of Commerce. I wanted to see if you would have some time tomorrow so I could meet with you and explain the program and how we will be conducting the Environmental Reviews and also discuss how you would like the reviews designed for your review.

I will be on the 11:00am call today about the HUD South Carolina Tribal Consultation for HUD Addendum to the FEMA PA.

I also have some questions about which PA we should follow to conduct our reviews because I saw your office has a draft PA between HUD Region IV for HUD-Funded Activities.

I look forward to working with you.

Best regards,

Derek

### Derek A. Galose

### **Environmental Manager**

South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr., Columbia, SC 29201 (t) 225.954.5136

www.ardurragroup.com





South Carolina Disaster Management Office 632 Rosewood Dr., Columbia, SC 29201 (t) 225.954.5136 www.ardurragroup.com

Oct. 11th 2016

Dr. W. Eric Emerson SHPO DEPARTMENT OF ARCHIVES & HISTORY 8301 PARKLANE ROAD COLUMBIA, SC 29223-4905 Email: eemerson@scdah.state.us Phone: 803.896.6167

**RE**: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) - Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes and Replacement of Manufactured Housing Unit's

Dear Dr. Emerson:

The state of South Carolina was included into the Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program on December 18, 2015. In October 2015, South Carolina received unprecedented and historical rainfall and flooding. This heavy rainfall of over 20 inches across the state came from an upper atmospheric low-pressure system that funneled tropical moisture from Hurricane Joaquin. Heavy rainfall occurred across South Carolina during October 1–5, 2015, the storm caused major flooding from the central to the coastal areas of South Carolina to compute streamflow from monitored river stage. The floods caused loss of life and extensive damage to many dams, bridges, roads, homes, and businesses. South Carolina is proposing to use CDBG-DR funds to assist homeowners in the following disaster declared counties: Bamberg, Berkeley, Colhoun, Charleston, Clarendon, Colleton, Darlington, Dorchester, Fairfield, Florence, Georgetown, Greenwood, Greenville, Horry, Kershaw, Lee, Marion, Newberry, Orangeburg, Spartanburg, Sumter and Williamsburg, in achieving safe and code compliant housing that meets minimum property standards through rehabilitation, reconstruction and replacement of manufactured homes.

The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) is the responsible entity for completing the federally required environmental review in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. SCDRO has retained the Ardurra Group to assist with the environmental review. For the NEPA review of the rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of manufactured homes, SCDRO intends to perform a tiered review to obtain programmatic clearances on those NEPA elements for which no impact is anticipated to occur and establish programmatic guidelines and standards for site specific reviews in areas of potential impact. To expedite environmental review while complying with Part 58 and other applicable laws and regulations, your determination of no affect or input on the need for individual consultation concerning compliance is sought for the following types of projects:

- Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes
  - o The reconstruction work will not expand the original footprint of the structure if possible. If lot restrictions apply and the footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or a wetland.
- Replacement of Damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHU) Deemed Unrepairable Through a Feasibility Review



o The replacement of damaged MHUs will be replaced on the original site.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at 225-954-5136 or dgalose@ardurragroup.com should you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Derek A. Galose Environmental Manager Ardurra Group

CC: Angela L Dahlgren, Ardurra Group

CC: Eric Fosmire, SCDRO

3 4

# PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE, THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION, AND TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES

WHEREAS, the mission of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) of the Department of Homeland Security is to support our citizens and first responders to ensure that as a nation we work together to build, sustain, and improve our capability to prepare for, protect against, respond to, recover from, and mitigate all hazards; and

WHEREAS, FEMA makes assistance available to States, Commonwealths, communities, Federally recognized Indian Tribes (Tribes) and other eligible entities through programs (Programs) set forth in Appendix A, pursuant to the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-296 (2002) (codified as amended at 6 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.); Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, Pub. L. No. 93-288 (1974) (codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. § 5121 et seq., (Stafford Act)); the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Pub. L. No. 90-448 (1968) (as amended); the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994, Pub. L. No. 103-325 (1994) (as amended); the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006, Pub. L. No. 109-295 (2006) (as amended); implementing regulations contained in Title 44 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Executive Order 13407 (2006), and such other acts, executive orders, implementing regulations, or Congressionally authorized programs as are enacted from time to time; and

WHEREAS, FEMA has determined that implementing its Programs may result in Undertakings (as defined by 16 U.S.C. § 470w and 36 CFR § 800.16(y)) that may affect properties listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) pursuant to 36 CFR Part 60 (historic properties), and FEMA has consulted with the South Carolina State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), Pub. L. No. 89-665 (1966) (codified as amended at 16 U.S.C. § 470f), and the regulations implementing Section 106 of the NHPA (Section 106) at 36 CFR Part 800; and

WHEREAS, FEMA, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) and the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers (NCSHPO) have determined that FEMA's Section 106 requirements can be more effectively and efficiently implemented and delays to the delivery of FEMA assistance minimized if a programmatic approach is used to stipulate roles and responsibilities, exempt certain Undertakings from Section 106 review, establish protocols for consultation, facilitate identification and evaluation of historic properties, and streamline the assessment and resolution of adverse effects; and

 WHEREAS, FEMA has developed a Prototype Programmatic Agreement (FEMA Prototype Agreement) pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.14(b)(4) in consultation with the ACHP and NCSHPO to serve as a basis for negotiation of a State specific Programmatic Agreement (Agreement) with the SHPO, State Emergency Management Agency, and participating Tribe(s); and SC HP PA Executed

WHEREAS, this Agreement conforms to the FEMA Prototype Agreement as designated by the ACHP on December 17, 2013 and therefore does not require the participation or signature of the ACHP; and

WHEREAS, in order to implement its Programs, FEMA will provide assistance to the State of South Carolina (Grantee) that may provide monies and other assistance to eligible subgrantees, and as such, the South Carolina Emergency Management Division that is typically responsible for administering funds provided under these Programs has participated in this consultation, and FEMA has invited the South Carolina Emergency Management Division to execute this Agreement as an Invited Signatory; and

WHEREAS, FEMA also may directly perform its own Undertakings pursuant to this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, in anticipation or in the immediate aftermath of an event, impacted communities and the State of South Carolina, and affected Tribes, may conduct critical preparedness, response and recovery activities to safeguard public health and safety and/or to restore vital community services and functions before, during, and or following an event. Some of these activities may become Undertakings requiring Section 106 review subject to the terms of this Agreement, and FEMA shall coordinate the appropriate review as warranted; and

WHEREAS, FEMA has determined that its Programs may result in Undertakings with the potential to affect historic properties having religious and cultural significance to Tribes, including sites that may contain human remains and/or associated cultural items; and

WHEREAS, FEMA recognizes that the Catawba Indian Nation, Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma, Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians, Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma, Seminole Nation of Oklahoma, Seminole Tribe of Florida, Shawnee Tribe, Thlopthlocco Tribal Town, and United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians Tribes may have sites of religious and cultural significance on or off Tribal lands [as defined in 36 CFR § 800.16(x)], and in meeting its Federal trust responsibility, FEMA has engaged in government-to-government consultation with the Tribe(s), and pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.2 (c)(2)(ii)(E) has invited the Tribe(s) to enter into an agreement that specifies how FEMA and the Tribe(s) will carry out Section 106 responsibilities, including the confidentiality of information; and

WHEREAS, the Catawba Indian Nation has assumed the responsibilities of the SHPO in its/their Tribal lands through appointment of a Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) in accordance with Section 101 of the NHPA, and FEMA shall consult with the THPO in lieu of the SHPO for Undertakings occurring on or affecting its/their Tribal lands; and

WHEREAS, notwithstanding the aforementioned invitation to enter into an agreement, FEMA has invited the Catawba Indian Nation, Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma, Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians, Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma, Seminole Nation of Oklahoma, Seminole Tribe of Florida, Shawnee Tribe, Thlopthlocco Tribal Town, and United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee

SC HP PA Executed

90 Indians Tribes to enter into this Agreement as signatory parties to fulfill the requirements of 91 Section 106; and 92 93 WHEREAS, no Tribe(s) have agreed to enter into a separate Programmatic Agreement or other 94 agreement with FEMA; and 95 96 WHEREAS, no Tribe(s) have declined to enter into this Agreement as a signatory party; and 97 98 WHEREAS, Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma, Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians, Eastern 99 Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma, Seminole Nation of Oklahoma, Seminole Tribe of Florida, Shawnee Tribe, Thlopthlocco Tribal Town, and United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians Tribes 100 101 Tribes(s) have not responded to FEMA's invitation to enter into this Agreement as a signatory 102 party; and 103 104 WHEREAS, FEMA may invite additional Tribes that have sites of religious and cultural 105 significance to enter into the terms of this Agreement as invited signatories or concurring parties 106 in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.14(f), and nothing in this Agreement prevents a Tribe from 107 entering into a separate Programmatic Agreement or other agreement with FEMA for 108 administration of FEMA Programs; and 109 110 WHEREAS, the terms of this Agreement shall not apply to Undertakings on or affecting Tribal 111 lands without prior execution of the Agreement by the affected Tribe(s); and 112 113 WHEREAS, for the review of specific Undertakings under this Agreement, FEMA may invite 114 other agencies, organizations, and individuals to participate as consulting parties; and 115 116 NOW, THEREFORE, FEMA, the Grantee(s), SHPO, and participating Tribes (Signatories) 117 agree that FEMA Programs in the State of South Carolina and/or on Tribal lands shall be 118 administered in accordance with the following Stipulations to satisfy FEMA's Section 106 and 119 Section 110 responsibilities for all resulting Undertakings and effectively integrate historic 120 preservation compliance considerations into the delivery of FEMA assistance. FEMA will not 121 authorize implementation of an individual Undertaking until Section 106 review is completed 122 pursuant to this Agreement. 123 124 STIPULATIONS 125

To the extent of its legal authority, and in coordination with other Signatories, FEMA shall ensure that the following measures are implemented:

### I. GENERAL

128 129

130 A. Applicability

131

SC HP PA Executed

- 1. The execution of this Agreement supersedes the terms of the previously executed 133 Programmatic Agreement in the State of South Carolina dated May 12, 2004.
  - 2. For FEMA Undertakings that also are within the jurisdiction of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and within the scope of its Section 106 Programmatic Agreements for communication facilities, FEMA defers Section 106 review in accordance with the ACHP Program Comment of October 23, 2009. The approval of funding for the FEMA Undertaking shall be conditioned upon the compliance of the sub-grantee with FCC's applicable Section 106 review, including any required consultation with Tribes. FEMA shall notify the SHPO/THPO when it applies the ACHP Program Comment to an Undertaking. FEMA remains responsible for any FEMA Undertakings it determines are outside the jurisdiction of FCC.
  - 3. In the event of a Stafford Act major disaster or emergency declaration (Declaration), State, Tribal and local governments may lack the capability to perform or to contract for emergency work, and instead request that the work be accomplished by a Federal agency. Through a mission assignment (MA), FEMA may direct appropriate Federal agencies to perform the work. This Agreement shall apply to such Federal assistance undertaken by or funded by FEMA pursuant to Titles IV and V of the Stafford Act and 44 CFR Part 206.
  - 4. FEMA may utilize this Agreement to fulfill its Section 106 responsibilities and those of other Federal agencies that designate FEMA as the lead Federal agency pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.2(a)(2) with appropriate notification to the other Signatories and the ACHP regarding Undertakings that fall within the scope of this Agreement. When FEMA is not designated as the lead Federal agency, all Federal agencies, including FEMA, remain individually responsible for their compliance with Section 106.
  - 5. If another Federal program or Federal agency has concluded Section 106 consultation review and approved an Undertaking within the past five years, FEMA has no further requirement for Section 106 review regarding that Undertaking provided that FEMA:
    - a. adopts the findings and determinations of the previous agency;
    - b. confirms that the scope and effect [as defined by 36 CFR § 800.16(i)] of its Undertaking are the same as that of the Undertaking reviewed by the previous agency, and;
    - c. determines that the previous agency complied with Section 106 appropriately.
    - FEMA shall document these findings in its project file in order to confirm that the requirements of Section 106 have been satisfied. Should FEMA, in consultation with SHPO and participating Tribes, determine that the previous Section 106 review was insufficient or involved interagency disagreements about eligibility, effect, and/or

treatment measures, FEMA shall conduct additional Section 106 consultation in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

- 6. With the written concurrence of the Signatories, other Federal agencies providing financial assistance for the type of activities covered under the terms of this Agreement as outlined in Appendix A may satisfy their Section 106 responsibilities for such activities by accepting and complying in writing with the terms of this Agreement.
  - a. Other Federal Agencies may include States and units of local government who have assumed environmental responsibilities of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and, acting as the Responsible Entity pursuant to 24 CFR Part 58, are responsible for environmental review, decision-making and action.
  - b. In such situations, the other Federal Agency shall notify the Signatories in writing of its intent to use this Agreement to achieve compliance with its Section 106 requirements, and consult with the Signatories regarding its Section 106 compliance responsibilities. Resumes of staff who meet the Secretary of the Interior's (Secretary's) Professional Qualifications Standards (Professional Qualifications) set forth in the Federal Register at 48 Fed. Reg. 44716-01 (September 29, 1983), as amended, and will review Second Tier projects in accordance with Appendix B of this Agreement shall be provided to FEMA and the SHPO/THPO.
- 7. FEMA has determined that the following types of activities have limited or no potential to affect historic properties and FEMA has no further Section 106 responsibilities with regards to them, pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.3(a)(1):
  - a. Pursuant to 44 CFR § 206.110(m), assistance to individuals and households provided under 44 CFR Part 206, Subpart D and Section 408 of the Stafford Act, including funding for owner occupied home repair and replacement, content replacement, personal property, transportation and healthcare expenses, is exempt from the provisions of Section 106. For ground disturbing activities, and construction related to 44 CFR §§ 206.117(b)(1)(ii) (temporary housing), 206.117(b)(3) (replacement housing), 206.117(b)(4) (permanent housing construction), 206.117(c)(1)(vi) (repair or replacement of privately owned access routes), and repair of multi-family housing units, FEMA shall conduct Section 106 review.
  - b. Administrative actions such as personnel actions, travel, procurement of services, supplies (including vehicles and equipment) for the support of day-to-day and emergency operational activities, and the temporary storage of goods provided storage occurs within existing facilities or on previously disturbed soils.

216		c. Granting of variances, and actions to enforce Federal, State, or local codes
217		standards or regulations.
218		
219		d. Monitoring, data gathering, and reporting in support of emergency and disaste
220		planning, response and recovery, and hazard activities.
221		
222		e. Research and development of hazard warning systems, hazard mitigation plans
223		codes and standards, and education/public awareness programs.
224		
225		f. Assistance provided for planning, studies, design and engineering costs that
226		involve no commitment of resources other than staffing and associated funding.
227		
228		g. Assistance provided for training, management and administration, exercises, and
229		mobile/portable equipment purchases, with the exception of potential ground
230		disturbing activities and modification of existing structures.
231		disturbing activities and modification of existing structures.
232		h. Community Disaster Loans for funding to perform governmental functions for any
		eligible jurisdiction in a designated disaster area that has suffered a substantial los
233		
234		of tax and other revenue.
235		
236		i. Funding the administrative action of acquisition or lease of existing facilitie
237		where planned uses conform to past use or local land use requirements.
238		
239		<ul> <li>Funding the administrative action of acquiring properties in acquisition projects</li> </ul>
240		including the real estate transaction.
241		
242		k. Labor, equipment and materials used to provide security in the Declaration area
243		including lease, rental, purchase or repair of equipment or vehicles and paymen
244		for staff and contract labor.
245		
246		I. Application of pesticides to reduce adverse public health effects, including aeria
247		and truck-mounted spraying.
248		<u> </u>
249		m. Unemployment assistance.
250		m. Onemployment assistance.
251		n. Distribution of food coupons.
252		ii. Distribution of food coupons.
		- Land comings
253		o. Legal services.
254		
255		p. Crisis counseling.
256	_	
257	8.	Any FEMA Programs authorized by the United States Congress in the future may be
258		included in this Agreement in accordance with Stipulation IV.A, Amendments. Any
259		change in the FEMA name, Programs, or organizational structure shall not affect this
260		Agreement.

1. FEMA:

# 

# a. FEMA shall use Federal, Tribal, State, subgrantee, or contractor staff whose qualifications meet the Secretary's Professional Qualifications in completing identification and evaluation of historic properties and in making determinations of effects. FEMA shall review any National Register eligibility determination and make its own findings of effect resulting from the performance of these activities prior to submitting such determinations to the SHPO and participating Tribes.

i. FEMA acknowledges that Tribes possess special expertise in assessing the National Register eligibility of properties with religious and cultural significance to them. Tribal organization leaders, and as appropriate, their representatives, shall decide who meets qualifications/standards as defined by their Tribes for review of Undertakings affecting properties with religious and cultural significance to them.

b. FEMA alone shall conduct all Section 106 consultation with Tribes. In accordance with 36 CFR § 800.2(c)(4), FEMA may authorize the Grantee(s), or a subgrantee through the Grantee(s), to initiate the Section 106 process with the SHPO and other consulting parties, assist in identifying other consulting parties with a demonstrated interest in the Undertaking, and prepare any necessary analyses and documentation, but FEMA shall remain responsible for determinations of National Register eligibility and findings of effect recommended by the authorized party. FEMA shall follow the process set forth in Stipulation I.B.1(a), FEMA Roles and Responsibilities, and notify the SHPO in writing when a Grantee or subgrantee has been authorized to initiate consultation on FEMA's behalf.

c. Prior to authorizing the release of funds for individual Undertakings requiring grant conditions pursuant to this Agreement, FEMA shall inform the Grantee(s) of all stipulations and conditions and ensure that they are understood so they can be adequately conveyed to the subgrantee. FEMA shall work in partnership with the Grantee(s) to provide subgrantees with guidance on in-kind repair pursuant to *The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties 1995 (Standards)*, 36 CFR Part 68, or the most updated version, and techniques to avoid or minimize adverse effects to historic properties.

d. FEMA shall provide the other Signatories and the ACHP with an annual report for the previous calendar year by June 30th of each year that this Agreement is in effect. This annual report will summarize the actions taken to implement the terms of this Agreement, statistics on Undertakings reviewed, and recommend any actions or revisions to be considered, including updates to the appendices.

305	e.	FEMA shall confer annually and as necessary with the other Signatories within 30				
306		days after issuance of the annual report, to review the report and/or discuss issues				
307		and concerns in greater detail. This review shall occur in person or by telephone				
308		as determined by FEMA.				
309						
310	f.	FEMA shall notify the SHPO and affected Tribes, as soon as practicable,				
311		following a Declaration to provide specific points of contact and other pertinent				
312		information about the Declaration.				
313						
314	g.	FEMA may convene an initial scoping meeting with the Signatories and other				
315		interested parties as soon as practicable after each Declaration to address				
316		Declaration-specific issues and procedures.				
317		·				
318	h.	FEMA shall ensure that all documentation resulting from Undertakings reviewed				
319		pursuant to this Agreement is consistent with applicable SHPO and Tribal				
320		guidelines and the confidentiality provisions of 16 U.S.C. § 470w-3 and 36 CFR §				
321		800.11(c).				
322	2. SH	PO:				
323						
324	a.	SHPO shall review FEMA's determination of the Areas of Potential Effects				
325		(APE), National Register eligibility determinations, and FEMA's effect findings				
326		and respond within timeframes required by this Agreement.				
327		1 , 3				
328	b.	Upon request, the SHPO shall provide FEMA and/or its designee(s) with available				
329		information about historic properties (such as access to online systems or site files,				
330		GIS data, survey information, geographic areas of concern). Such data sharing				
331		may be memorialized in an agreement. Only Qualified FEMA staff and/or				
332		designee(s) shall be afforded access to protected historic property information.				
333						
334	c.	The SHPO shall identify staff or consultants to assist FEMA staff with their				
335		Section 106 responsibilities, and identify, in coordination with FEMA, those				
336		activities within the Section 106 review process that SHPO may perform for				
337		specific Undertakings as agreed in writing with FEMA.				
338						
339	d.	As requested, SHPO staff shall be reasonably available as a resource and for				
340		consultation through site visits, written requests, telephone conversations or				
341		electronic media. In those instances where consultation with SHPO has occurred,				
342		FEMA shall provide a written summary via e-mail or regular mail to SHPO,				
343		including any decisions that were reached.				
344						
345	e	The SHPO may delegate some or all of its responsibilities under this Agreement to				
346	•	one or more Liaisons to serve as a dedicated point of contact for consultation with				
347		FEMA. The SHPO shall confer with FEMA about the selection of any Liaisons,				
		, and a second s				

348 349		the scope of responsibilities delegated and related implementing procedures. SHPO shall formally document these decisions for concurrence by FEMA.
3 <del>49</del> 3 <b>5</b> 0		Liaisons are not required to be members of the SHPO staff.
351		Elaisons are not required to be members of the SIII O start.
352	f.	The SHPO shall participate in an initial scoping meeting for a Declaration.
353		
354	g	. The SHPO may assist local jurisdictions and/or the Grantee(s) in the State of South
355	•	Carolina with advance planning efforts to consider historic properties in the
356		context of homeland security considerations, including disaster preparedness,
357		response, recovery, and mitigation programs for which FEMA funding may be
358		requested.
359		
360	■ <sub>II</sub> h	. The SHPO shall coordinate with FEMA, to identify consulting parties, including
361		any communities, organizations, or individuals that may have an interest in a
362		specific Undertaking and its effects on historic properties.
363		
364	i.	The SHPO shall participate in annual reviews convened by FEMA to review the
365		effectiveness of this Agreement in accordance with Stipulation I.B.1(e).
200	1.0	
366	3. G	rantee(s):
367		
368	a.	The Grantee(s) shall ensure that their subgrantees understand and acknowledge
369		conditions and potential requirements that may be placed upon Undertakings as a
370		result of Section 106 consultation and the provisions of this Agreement.
371	18	
372	ь	The Grantee(s) shall participate in an initial scoping meeting for a Declaration.
373		
374	c.	The Grantee(s) shall ensure that their subgrantees understand that failure to comply
375		with any project-specific conditions that have been placed on their grants could
376		jeopardize FEMA funding.
377		
378	d.	The Grantee(s) shall notify FEMA as soon as possible of any proposed change to
379		the approved scope of work. The Grantee(s) shall direct their subgrantee not to
380		implement the changes to the proposed scope of work until any additional review
381		required by this Agreement is complete.
382		
383	≡ е.	The Grantee(s) shall ensure that its subgrantees are made aware that in the event of
384		an unexpected discovery involving an Undertaking that has affected a previously
385		unidentified historic property or human remains, or affected a known historic
386		property in an unanticipated manner, the subgrantee will comply with Stipulation
387		III.B, Unexpected Discoveries, Previously Unidentified Properties, or Unexpected
388		Effects.
389		

- f. The Grantee(s) shall ensure that in its subgrant agreements, any scope of work involving ground disturbance, and resultant contracts to execute said work, provide for the protection of and notification protocols for unexpected discoveries or unexpected effects to historic properties and human remains.
  - g. If a Signatory Tribe assumes the role of Grantee for projects on Tribal lands, the Tribe shall assume the same responsibilities as outlined in Stipulation 1.B.3 of this Agreement, Roles and Responsibilities of the Signatories.

### C. Tribal Organization Consultation

- 1. For FEMA Undertakings on Tribal lands or affecting properties of religious and cultural significance, and where no tribe-specific consultation agreements or protocols are in place, FEMA shall consult with affected Tribes in accordance with 36 CFR Part 800. In determining who the affected Tribes may be, FEMA will first establish that it is a type of Undertaking with potential to affect historic properties with religious and cultural significance and may consult with the SHPO, Tribe(s), any State Tribal Agency, and access the National Park Service (NPS) Native American Consultation Database or other tools to identify geographic tribal interests. Appendix D lists individual Tribe's areas of interest, project-type interest, and preferred method of communication.
- 2. To the extent permitted by Section 304 of the NHPA, Section 9(a) of the Archeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA) (16 U.S.C. §470aa 470mm), and any other applicable laws, FEMA shall ensure it withholds information protected by such laws from public disclosure.
- 3. FEMA shall invite affected Tribes to participate in the initial scoping meeting within their geographic area of interest for each Declaration.

### D. Public Participation

- FEMA recognizes that the views of the public are essential to informed decision making throughout the Section 106 consultation process. FEMA shall notify the public of proposed Undertakings in a manner that reflects the nature, complexity, significance of historic properties likely affected by the Undertaking, the likely public interest given FEMA's specific involvement, and any confidentiality concerns of Tribes, private individuals and businesses.
- 2. FEMA may consult with the Grantee(s), subgrantee, SHPO, participating Tribes, and other consulting parties to determine if there are individuals or organizations with a demonstrated interest in historic properties that should be included as a consulting party for the Undertaking in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.2(c)(5). If such parties are identified or identify themselves to FEMA, FEMA shall provide them with

- information regarding the Undertaking and its effects on historic properties, consistent with the confidentiality provisions of 36 CFR § 800.11(c).
  - 3. In accordance with the outreach strategy developed for an Undertaking in consultation with the SHPO and participating Tribes, for involving the public, FEMA shall identify the appropriate stages for seeking public input during the Section 106 consultation process. FEMA shall consider all views provided by the public regarding an Undertaking.
  - 4. FEMA may also provide public notices and the opportunity for public comment or participation in an Undertaking through the public participation process of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and its implementing regulations set out at 44 CFR Part 10, and/or Executive Orders 11988 and 11990 relating to floodplains and wetlands as set out in 44 CFR Part 9, and Executive Order 12898, Environmental Justice, provided such notices specifically reference Section 106 as a basis for public involvement.
  - 5. Should a member of the public object in writing to implementation of the Agreement's terms, FEMA will notify the other Signatories in writing and take the objection into consideration. FEMA shall consult with the objecting party and, if that party so requests, the other Signatories, for not more than 30 days. In reaching its decision regarding the objection, FEMA shall take into consideration all comments from these parties. Within 15 days after closure of this consultation period, FEMA shall provide the other parties with its final decision in writing.

### E. Timeframes and Communications

- 1. All time designations shall be in calendar days unless otherwise stipulated. If any Signatory does not object to FEMA's finding or determination related to an Undertaking within an agreed upon timeframe, FEMA may proceed to the next step in the consultation process as described in Stipulation II, Project Review.
- 2. Due to the varied nature of Undertakings, the individual response times to FEMA's requests for comment/concurrence will vary. These response times are contingent upon FEMA ensuring that its findings and determinations are made by Qualified staff and supported by documentation as required by 36 CFR § 800.11(d) and 36 CFR § 800.11(e), and consistent with FEMA guidance.
  - a. For Emergency Undertakings as outlined in Stipulation II.B, Expedited Review of Emergency Undertakings, the SHPO and participating Tribes shall respond to any FEMA request for comments within three (3) business days after receipt, unless FEMA determines the nature of the emergency action warrants a shorter time period.

- b. For Undertakings associated with the Individual Assistance (IA) and Public Assistance (PA) programs, the response time for each request for concurrence shall be a maximum of fifteen (15) days after receipt, or in accordance with temporary timelines established by FEMA and agreed upon by SHPO and participating Tribes on a Declaration by Declaration basis.

  c. For the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) and all non-disaster programs,
  - the response time for each request for concurrence shall be a maximum of thirty (30) days after receipt.
  - 3. The consulting parties may send and accept official notices, comments, requests for further information and documentation, and other communications required by this Agreement by e-mail.
    - a. FEMA will use a "read receipt" to ensure the email was received by intended party.
    - b. FEMA will note the consultation timeline in the subject line of the letter and header of the email to ensure consulting parties are aware of the project's associated timeline.

### II. PROJECT REVIEW

### A. Programmatic Allowances

- 1. If FEMA determines an Undertaking conforms to one or more allowances in Appendix B of this Agreement, FEMA shall complete the Section 106 review process by documenting this determination in the project file, without SHPO review or notification.
- 2. If the Undertaking involves a National Historic Landmark (NHL), FEMA shall notify the SHPO, participating Tribes, and the NPS NHL Program Manager of the Southeast NPS Regional Office that the Undertaking conforms to one or more allowances. FEMA shall provide information about the proposed scope of work for the Undertaking and the allowance(s) enabling FEMA's determination.
- 3. If FEMA determines any portion of an Undertaking's scope of work does not conform to one or more allowances listed in Appendix B, FEMA shall conduct expedited or standard Section 106 review, as appropriate, for the entire Undertaking in accordance with Stipulation II.B, Expedited Review for Emergency Undertakings, or Stipulation II.C, Standard Project Review.
- 4. Allowances may be revised and new allowances may be added to this Agreement in accordance with Stipulation IV.A.3, Amendments.

SC HP PA Executed

#### 516 В. Expedited Review for Emergency Undertakings 517 1. Determine Expedited Review 518 a. As part of the Declaration process, FEMA shall define the time interval during which the disaster causing incident occurs (the incident period, as defined in 44 519 CFR § 206.32(f)). FEMA may approve direct Federal assistance and/or funding 520 for emergency work (as defined in 44 CFR § 206.201(b)) that occurs during the 521 522 incident period, including work already completed, in response to an immediate 523 threat to human health and safety or property. Pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.12(d), 524 FEMA may conduct expedited review of emergency Undertakings for 30 days 525 from the beginning of the incident period. b. Should FEMA determine that it is necessary to extend the expedited review period 526 527 for emergency Undertakings beyond the initial 30 days, FEMA shall, in 30-day increments, as needed, request extension from the ACHP and notify in writing 528 529 SHPO and participating Tribes. 530 2. Conduct Expedited Reviews a. If the emergency Undertaking is an immediate rescue and salvage operation 531 conducted in response to an event to preserve life and property, FEMA has no 532 Section 106 consultation responsibilities in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.12(d); 533 534 535 b. If the emergency Undertaking meets one or more of the Allowances in Appendix B 536 537 of this Agreement, FEMA shall complete the Section 106 review process pursuant 538 to Stipulation II.A.1, Programmatic Allowances. 539 540 c. If FEMA determines that the emergency Undertaking would adversely affect a 541 historic property during this expedited review period: 542 543 i. To the extent practicable, FEMA will propose treatment measures that would 544 address adverse effects during implementation, and request the comments of 545 the SHPO and participating Tribes within 3 days of receipt of this information 546 unless FEMA determines the nature of the emergency warrants a shorter time 547 period. 548 549 ii. FEMA may provide this information through written requests, telephone 550 conversations, meetings, or electronic media. In all cases, FEMA shall clarify 551 that an "expedited review" is being requested for the Undertaking. 552

553

554

555

participating Tribes in making a decision on how to proceed.

iii. FEMA shall take into account any timely comments provided by SHPO and/or

- iv. Should the SHPO and/or participating Tribes not comment within 3 days, FEMA shall complete Section 106 consultation for the Undertaking based on the available information.
- v. FEMA shall notify the SHPO and participating Tribes of the final decision, indicating how any comments received were considered in reaching that decision.
- C. Standard Project Review: For Undertakings not exempt from further Section 106 review, FEMA shall ensure that the following standard project review steps are implemented. In the interest of streamlining, FEMA may combine some or all of these steps during consultation in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.3(g).
  - 1. Consulting Parties: FEMA shall consider all written requests of individuals and organizations to participate as consulting parties, and consult with the SHPO and participating Tribes to identify any other parties that meet the criteria to be consulting parties and invite them to participate in the Section 106 process. FEMA may invite others to participate as consulting parties as the Section 106 consultation proceeds. FEMA shall invite any individual or organization that will assume a specific role or responsibility outlined in an MOA or Programmatic Agreement to participate as an invited signatory to the agreement.

### 2. Area of Potential Effects:

- a. For standing structures not adjacent to or located within the boundaries of a National Register listed or eligible district, Qualified staff may define the APE as the individual structure when the proposed Undertaking is limited to its repair or rehabilitation (as defined in 36 CFR § 68.3(b)).
- b. For all other Undertakings, Qualified staff shall determine the APE in consultation with the SHPO and participating Tribes. FEMA may consider information provided by other parties, such as local governments and the public, when establishing the APE.
- 3. <u>Identification and Evaluation:</u> Qualified staff shall determine, in consultation with the SHPO and participating Tribes if the APE contains historic properties, including properties of religious and cultural significance. This may include the review of documentation provided by the Grantee(s) or subgrantee in coordination with the SHPO.
  - a. Level of Effort: FEMA shall make a reasonable and good faith effort to identify historic properties in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.4(b)(1).\_FEMA may consult with the SHPO to determine the level of effort and methodology necessary to identify and evaluate a variety of historic property types. For properties of religious and cultural significance to affected Tribe(s), FEMA shall consult with

_	_	Ĭ
6	U	2
6	0	3
6	n	4
6		
6	U	6
6	0	7
6	0	8
6	0	9
6		
6		
6	i	2
2	1	ィっ
6	1	3
6	I	4
6	1	5
6	1	6
6	1	7
6	1	8
6	ī	a
6		
0	<u>ح</u>	·
6	2	1
6	2	2
6	2	3
6	2	4
6	2	5
6	2	6
6	_ つ	7
6	<u>〜</u> っ	0
6		
6	3	0
6	3	l
6	3	2
6	3	3
6		
6	٦ ٦	5
6	2	2
0	) 1	0
6		
6		
6		
6	4	0
6		
6		
6		
6		_
6	4	5

the affected Tribe(s) to determine geographical areas containing them that may be affected by an Undertaking and determine the necessary level of effort to identify and evaluate or avoid any such historic properties.

- b. National Historic Landmarks: When FEMA identifies an Undertaking with the potential to affect an NHL, FEMA shall contact the NPS NHL Program Manager of the appropriate NPS Regional Office in addition to the SHPO, participating Tribes, and other consulting parties. The purpose of this notification is to ensure early coordination for the Undertaking which FEMA later may determine adversely affects the NHL as outlined in Stipulation II.C.8.
- c. <u>Determinations of Eligibility:</u> FEMA shall review or determine National Register eligibility based on identification and evaluation efforts, and consult with SHPO, participating Tribes, and other consulting parties regarding these determinations. Should the SHPO, participating Tribes, or another consulting party disagree with the determination of eligibility, FEMA shall either:
  - i. Elect to consult further with the objecting party until the objection is resolved;
  - ii. Treat the property as eligible for the National Register; or
  - iii. Obtain a determination of eligibility from the Keeper of the National Register in accordance with 36 CFR § 63.2(d)-(e) and 36 CFR § 800.4(c)(2).
- 4. Findings of No Historic Properties Affected: FEMA shall make a finding of "no historic properties affected" under the following circumstances:
  - a. If no historic properties are present in the APE;
  - b. The Undertaking is designed to avoid effects to historic properties, including National Register listed or eligible properties of religious or cultural significance to participating Tribes; or
  - c. The Undertaking does not affect the character defining features of a historic property.
  - d. FEMA shall notify the SHPO, participating Tribes and any other consulting parties of this finding and provide supporting documentation in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.11(d). Unless the SHPO or participating Tribes object to the finding within the applicable timeframe outlined in Stipulation I.E, Timeframes and Communications, the Section 106 review of the Undertaking will have concluded.
  - e. If the SHPO or participating Tribes object to a finding of "no historic properties affected," FEMA shall consult with the objecting party to resolve the disagreement.

- i. If the objection is resolved, FEMA either may proceed with the Undertaking in accordance with the resolution or reconsider effects on the historic property by applying the criteria of adverse effect pursuant to Stipulation II.C.5, Application of the Criteria of Adverse Effect, below.
- ii. If FEMA is unable to resolve the disagreement, it will forward the finding and supporting documentation to the ACHP and request that the ACHP review FEMA's finding in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.4(d)(1)(iv)(A) through 36 CFR § 800.4(d)(1)(iv)(C). FEMA shall consider the ACHP's recommendation in making its final determination. If FEMA's final determination is to reaffirm its "no historic properties affected" finding, the Section 106 review of the Undertaking will have concluded. Otherwise, FEMA will proceed to Stipulation II.C.5., below.
- 5. Application of the Criteria of Adverse Effect: If FEMA finds an Undertaking may affect historic properties in the APE, including those of religious or cultural significance to affected Tribe(s), FEMA shall apply the criteria of adverse effect to historic properties within the APE(s), taking into account the views of the consulting parties and the public concerning effects in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.5(a).
  - a. If FEMA determines that an Undertaking does not meet the adverse effect criteria, FEMA shall propose a finding of "no adverse effect" in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.5(b).
    - i. FEMA shall notify the SHPO, participating Tribes, and all other consulting parties of its finding and provide supporting documentation pursuant to 36 CFR §800.11(e).
    - ii. Unless a consulting party objects within the applicable timeframe outlined in Stipulation I.E, Timeframes and Communications, FEMA will proceed with its "no adverse effect" determination and conclude the Section 106 review.
    - iii. If a consulting party objects to a finding of "no adverse effect," FEMA will consult with the objecting party to resolve the disagreement.
      - 1) If the objection is resolved, FEMA shall proceed with the Undertaking in accordance with the resolution, or;
      - 2) If the objection cannot be resolved, FEMA shall request that the ACHP review the findings in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.5(c)(3)(i)-(ii) and submit the required supporting documentation. FEMA shall consider the ACHP's comments in making its final determination.

- b. If FEMA finds the Undertaking may adversely affect historic properties, FEMA shall request through the Grantee(s) that the subgrantee revise the scope of work to substantially conform to the *Standards* for standing structures, or avoid or minimize adverse effects for National Register listed or eligible archaeological properties.
  - i. If the subgrantee modifies the scope of work to avoid the adverse effect, FEMA shall notify the SHPO, participating Tribes, and all other consulting parties, and provide supporting documentation. Unless a consulting party makes a timely objection in accordance with the applicable timeframe outlined in Stipulation I.E, Timeframes and Communications, FEMA shall proceed with its "no adverse effect" determination, including any conditions, and conclude the Section 106 review.
  - ii. If an Undertaking is not modified to avoid the adverse (effects), FEMA shall initiate consultation to resolve the adverse effect(s) in accordance with Stipulation II.C.6, Resolution of Adverse Effects.
- 6. Resolution of Adverse Effects: If FEMA determines that an Undertaking may adversely affect a historic property, it shall resolve the effects of the Undertaking in consultation with the SHPO, Grantee(s), subgrantee, participating Tribes, the ACHP, if participating, and other consulting parties, by one of the following methods depending upon the severity of the adverse effect(s) as well as determination of the historic property's significance on a local, state or national level. When FEMA determines an Undertaking will adversely affect an NHL, FEMA shall notify and invite the Secretary and ACHP to participate in consultation in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.10. When the ACHP participates in consultation related to an NHL, the ACHP shall report the outcome of the consultation to the Secretary and the FEMA Administrator.

- a. Abbreviated Consultation Process: After taking into consideration the significance of the historic properties affected, the severity of the adverse effect(s) and avoidance or minimization of the adverse effect(s), FEMA may propose in writing to the consulting parties to resolve the adverse effects of the Undertaking through the application of one or more Treatment Measures outlined in Appendix C as negotiated with the SHPO, participating Tribes, and other consulting parties. The use of these Treatment Measures shall not require the execution of a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) or Programmatic Agreement.
  - i. In consultation with the SHPO, participating Tribe(s), and other consulting parties, FEMA shall propose in writing the implementation of a specific Treatment Measure, or combination of Treatment Measures, with the intent of expediting the resolution of adverse effects, and provide documentation as required by 36 CFR § 800.11(e) and subject to the confidentiality provisions of 36 CFR § 800.11(c)). Unless a consulting party or the ACHP objects within 15 days of receipt of FEMA's proposal, FEMA shall proceed with the

implementation of the Treatment Measure(s) and will conclude the Section 106 review.

- ii. If any of the consulting parties or the ACHP objects within the 15 day review and comment period to the resolution of adverse effects through the application of the Abbreviated Consultation Process, FEMA shall resolve the adverse effect(s) using procedures outlined below in Stipulation II.C.6(b), MOA or Stipulation II.C.6.(c), Programmatic Agreement.
- iii. Because funding and implementation details of Treatment Measures for specific Undertakings may vary by program, FEMA shall provide written notice to the consulting parties within sixty (60) days of the completion of the Treatment Measure(s). This written notice will serve as confirmation that the Treatment Measure(s) for a specific Undertaking have been implemented. FEMA also shall include information pertaining to the completion of Treatment Measures in the annual report pursuant to Stipulation I.B.1(d), FEMA Roles and Responsibilities.
- b. Memorandum of Agreement: FEMA shall provide the ACHP with an adverse effect notice in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.6(a)(1) if it has not already provided such under the Abbreviated Consultation Process of this Agreement, if a consulting party or the ACHP objects in accordance with Stipulation II.C.6(a)(ii), or if FEMA, in consultation with the SHPO, participating Tribe(s), and other consulting parties, has determined that an MOA would be more appropriate to resolve the adverse effect(s). In consultation with the SHPO, participating Tribe(s), and other consulting parties, including the ACHP (if participating), FEMA shall develop an MOA, in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.6(c) to agree upon treatment measures to avoid, minimize, and/or mitigate adverse effects on historic properties. The MOA may also include treatment measures that serve an equal or greater public benefit in promoting the preservation of historic properties in lieu of more traditional treatment measures.
- c. Programmatic Agreement: Should the execution of an MOA be inappropriate given the similar nature of effects on historic properties, the inability to determine effects prior to approval of an Undertaking, or where other circumstances warrant, FEMA, shall consult with the SHPO, participating Tribes, the ACHP, if participating, and any other consulting parties to develop a Programmatic Agreement in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.14(b) and identify programmatic conditions or treatment measures to govern the resolution of potential or anticipated adverse effects from certain complex project situations for an Undertaking or for multiple but similar Undertakings by a single subgrantee.
- 7. Objections: Should any signatory or consulting party object within the timeframes established by this Agreement to any plans, specifications, or actions taken pursuant to resolving an adverse effect, FEMA shall consult further with the objecting party to

seek resolution. If FEMA determines the objection cannot be resolved, FEMA shall address the objection in accordance with Stipulation IV.B, Dispute Resolution.

### III. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- A. Changes to an Approved Scope of Work: The Grantee(s) shall notify FEMA and shall require a subgrantee to notify it immediately when a subgrantee proposes changes to an approved scope of work for an Undertaking.
  - 1. If FEMA determines the change meets a Programmatic Allowance or has no effect on the property, FEMA shall approve the change.
  - 2. If the change can be modified to meet a Programmatic Allowance, or conform to any applicable *Standards*, FEMA shall conclude its Section 106 review responsibilities.
  - 3. If FEMA determines that the change does not meet an Allowance, FEMA shall initiate consultation pursuant to Stipulation II.C, Standard Project Review.
- B. Unexpected Discoveries, Previously Unidentified Properties, or Unexpected Effects:
  - 1. Upon notification by a subgrantee of an unexpected discovery, or if it appears that a Undertaking has affected a previously unidentified property or affected a known historic property in an unanticipated manner, in accordance with Stipulation I.B.3(e), Grantee(s) Roles and Responsibilities, the Grantee(s) shall immediately notify FEMA and require the subgrantee to:
    - a. Immediately stop construction activities in the vicinity of the discovery.
    - b. Take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the property until FEMA has completed consultation with the SHPO, participating Tribes, and any other consulting parties. Upon notification by the Grantee of a discovery, FEMA shall immediately notify the SHPO, participating Tribes, and other consulting parties that may have an interest in the discovery, previously unidentified property or unexpected effects, and consult to evaluate the discovery for National Register eligibility and/or the effects of the undertaking on historic properties.
    - c. If human remains are discovered, notify the local law enforcement office and coroner/medical examiner in accordance with applicable State statutes, and protect the remains from any harm. Discoveries of human remains on Federal or Tribal lands shall be subject to the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) (25 U.S.C. §3001-3013, 18 U.S.C. § 1170) and ARPA, as applicable.
    - d. Assist FEMA in completing the following actions, as required:

SC HP PA Executed

i. FEMA shall consult with the SHPO, participating Tribes, and other consulting parties in accordance with the consultation process outlined in Stipulation II, Project Review, to develop a mutually agreeable action plan with timeframes to identify the discovery or previously unidentified property, take into account the effects of the Undertaking, resolve adverse effects if necessary, and ensure compliance with applicable Federal, State, and local statutes.

- ii. FEMA shall coordinate with the Grantee(s) and the subgrantee regarding any needed modification to the scope of work for the Undertaking necessary to implement recommendations of the consultation and facilitate proceeding with the Undertaking.
- iii. In cases where discovered human remains are determined to be American Indian, FEMA shall consult with the appropriate Tribal representatives and SHPO. In addition, FEMA shall follow the guidelines outlined in the ACHP's Policy Statement Regarding the Treatment of Burial Sites, Human Remains, and Funerary Objects (2007) and any state-specific policies that may be in force.

### C. Curation

1. In cases where archaeological survey and testing are conducted on private land, any recovered collections remain the property of the land owner. In such instances, FEMA and the Grantee(s), in coordination with the SHPO and affected Tribes, shall encourage land owners to donate the collection(s) to an appropriate public or Tribal entity. In cases where the property owner wishes to transfer ownership of the collection(s) to a public or Tribal entity, and in the case of artifacts recovered from public lands, FEMA and the Grantee(s) shall ensure that recovered artifacts and related documentation are curated in a suitable repository as agreed to by FEMA, SHPO, and affected Tribes, and following South Carolina Standards and Guidelines for Archaeological Investigations or Tribal guidelines.

- 2. When an Undertaking will adversely affect a National Register listed or eligible archaeological site, FEMA may treat the adverse effect by providing for the recovery of significant information through archaeological data recovery. FEMA shall consult with the SHPO, participating Tribes, and other consulting parties to prepare a research design (data recovery plan), including a specific plan for curation. This plan will incorporate any relevant curation provisions contained in the South Carolina Standards and Guidelines for Archaeological Investigations, ACHP's "Recommended Approach for Consultation on Recovery of Significant Information from Archaeological Sites" published in the Federal Register (64 Federal Register 27085-27087 (May 18, 1999)), or other provisions agreed to by the consulting parties. No excavation should be initiated before FEMA acceptance and approval of the curation plan.
  - a. As stipulated in the curation plan, artifacts, as well as field and laboratory records sufficient to document the collection, shall be curated at a facility, preferably instate, that meets the standards of, and in accordance with the provisions of 36 CFR Part 79, "Curation of Federally Owned and Administered Archaeological Collections," and South Carolina Standards and Guidelines for Archaeological Investigations or Tribal requirements.
- D. Review of Undertakings Initiated Before Initiation or Completion of Section 106 Review
  - 1. In accordance with Section 110(k) of the NHPA, FEMA shall not grant assistance to a subgrantee who, with intent to avoid the requirements of this Agreement or Section 106 of the NHPA, has intentionally significantly and adversely affected a historic property to which the assistance would relate, or having legal power to prevent it, allowed an adverse effect to occur. However, if after consultation with the SHPO, appropriate Tribes, and ACHP, FEMA determines that extraordinary circumstances justify granting assistance despite the adverse effect created or permitted by the subgrantee, FEMA shall complete consultation for the Undertaking pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.
  - 2. FEMA shall specifically advise the Grantee(s) and shall require that the Grantee(s) advise its subgrantees in writing that they may jeopardize Federal funding if work is performed without all required local, State, and Federal licenses, permits, and/or approvals, including the completion of the Section 106 process. FEMA also shall document this requirement in its Record of Environmental Consideration, as applicable, as well as all project approval documents specifying the project scope and limits, and containing all conditions and caveats.
  - 3. In circumstances where FEMA determines a subgrantee has initiated an Undertaking without willful intent to avoid the requirements of this Agreement or Section 106 of NHPA, FEMA shall proceed as follows:

896	106 res	ponsibilities, namely:
897		
898	i. A	n Undertaking listed in Stipulation I.A.8; or
899		
900	ii. A	n immediate rescue and salvage operation in accordance with 36 CFR §
901	80	00.12(d); or
902		
903	iii. A	Programmatic Allowance as described under Stipulation II.A.
904		
905	b. In any	y such cases listed in Stipulation III.D.3.a., above, FEMA shall document this
906	-	nination in the project files, and consider the Undertaking Section 106
907	comp	
908		
909	c. If FE	MA determines the Undertaking would have required Section 106 review,
910		A shall coordinate with the SHPO and appropriate Tribes to determine if
911		Iltation is feasible.
912	i. If	after coordination with the SHPO and appropriate Tribes, FEMA determines
913	th	at consultation is feasible, FEMA shall review the Undertaking in accordance
914		ith Stipulation II.C, Standard Project Review.
915	ii. If	after coordination with the SHPO and appropriate Tribes, FEMA determines
916	th	at review is infeasible, FEMA shall document the outcome to the Section 106
917	re	view process, and the applicable FEMA program shall take the outcome into
918	ac	count before making a decision whether to fund the Undertaking. FEMA
919	st	nall provide written notification of its funding decision to the SHPO,
920	аг	ppropriate Tribes, and the ACHP.
921		
922	4. FEMA sh	nall ensure that all Undertakings considered for after the fact review in
923		ce with this stipulation are included in the annual report.
924	aoottani	ve will this supulation are included in the annual reports
925	IV. IMPLEMENTA	ATION OF AGREEMENT
926	TVIIII DDIIIDIVII	
927	A. Amendments	
928	71. 711101141110114	'
929	1 If any Sig	gnatory determines that an amendment to the terms of this Agreement must be
930		e Signatories shall consult for no more than 30 days to seek amendment of the
931	Agreeme	· ·
932	Agreeme	III.
933	2 An amen	dment to this Agreement, exclusive of the appendices, shall be effective only
934		has been signed by all the Signatories. An amendment shall be effective for
935		las occurring on or affecting historic properties on Tribal lands only when
936		has signed the Agreement and its amendment.
	the inde	nas signed the Agreement and its amendment.
937		

a. Determine if the Undertaking is of a type for which FEMA has no further Section

- 3. Appendix A (FEMA Programs), Appendix B (Programmatic Allowances), Appendix C (Treatment Measures), and Appendix D (Tribal Areas of Interest) may be amended at the request of FEMA or another Signatory in the following manner:
  - a. FEMA, on its own behalf or on behalf of another Signatory, shall notify the Signatories of the intent to modify the current Appendix or Appendices and shall provide a draft of the updated Appendix or Appendices to all Signatory parties.
  - b. If no other Signatory objects in writing within 30 days of receipt of FEMA's proposed modification, FEMA shall date and sign the amended Appendix and provide a copy of the amended Appendix to the other Signatories. Such an amendment shall go into effect on the date FEMA transmits the amendment to the other Signatories.

#### B. Dispute Resolution

- 1. Should any Signatory object in writing to the terms of this Agreement, FEMA shall consult with the objecting party for not more than 30 days to resolve the objection.
- 2. If the objection is resolved within 30 days, FEMA shall proceed in accordance with the resolution.
- 3. If FEMA determines within 30 days that the objection cannot be resolved, FEMA shall forward to ACHP all documentation relevant to the objection, including FEMA's proposed resolution. Within 30 days of receipt, ACHP will:
  - a. Concur in FEMA's proposed resolution; or
  - b. Provide FEMA with recommendations, which FEMA shall take into account in reaching a final decision regarding the objection; or
  - c. Notify FEMA that the objection will be referred for comment in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.7(a)(4), and proceed to do so.
- 4. FEMA shall take into account any ACHP recommendations or comments, and any comments from the other Signatories, in reaching a final decision regarding the objection. FEMA shall provide in writing to the ACHP and Signatories a summary of its final decision before authorizing any disputed action to proceed. The Signatories shall continue to implement all other terms of this Agreement that are not subject to objection.
- 5. Should ACHP not respond within 30 days, FEMA may assume ACHP has no comment and proceed with its proposed resolution to the objection after providing the ACHP and Signatories a written summary of its final decision.

#### C. Severability and Termination

1. In the event any provision of this Agreement is deemed by a Federal court to be contrary to, or in violation of, any applicable existing law or regulation of the United States of America, only the conflicting provision(s) shall be deemed null and void, and the remaining provisions of the Agreement shall remain in effect.

 2. FEMA, the SHPO, ACHP, or Grantee(s) may terminate this Agreement by providing 30 days written notice to the other Signatories, provided that the Signatories consult during this period to seek amendments or other actions that would prevent termination. If this Agreement is terminated, FEMA shall comply with Section 106 through other applicable means pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800. Upon such determination, FEMA shall provide all other Signatories and the ACHP with written notice of the termination of this Agreement.

3. A participating Tribe may notify the other Signatories that it is fully withdrawing from participation in the Agreement. Following such a withdrawal, FEMA shall review undertakings that may affect historic properties of religious and cultural significance to the Tribe, and Undertakings that occur on the Tribal lands of the relevant Tribe, in accordance with 36 CFR §§ 800.3 through 800.7, 36 CFR § 800.8(c), or an applicable alternative under 36 CFR § 800.14. Withdrawal from this Agreement by a Tribe does not terminate the Agreement. At any time that this Agreement remains in effect, a Tribe that has withdrawn from the Agreement may notify FEMA, the Grantee(s), and SHPO in writing that it has rescinded its notice withdrawing from participation in the Agreement.

4. This Agreement may be terminated by the implementation of a subsequent Agreement, pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.14(b), that explicitly terminates or supersedes this Agreement, or by FEMA's implementation of Alternate Procedures, pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.14(a).

#### D. Duration and Extension

 This Agreement shall remain in effect from the date of execution for a period not to exceed 7 years unless otherwise extended pursuant to Stipulation IV.D.2 below or terminated pursuant to Stipulation IV.C.2 or IV.C.4, Severability and Termination. The Agreement shall remain in effect for Declarations made prior to expiration of the Agreement in order to minimize delays in delivery of FEMA assistance.

2. The Signatories may collectively agree to extend this Agreement to cover additional calendar years, or portions thereof, through an amendment per Stipulation IV.A., provided that the original Agreement has not expired.

E. Execution and Implementation

1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036

- 1. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, with a separate page for each Signatory, and shall become effective on the date of the final signature of FEMA and the SHPO/THPO.
- 2. The Agreement shall go into effect regarding Undertakings occurring, or affecting historic properties, on Tribal lands when the relevant Tribe has signed the Agreement.
- 3. FEMA shall ensure that each Signatory is provided with a complete copy of the Agreement, including an original set of signatures.
- 4. Execution and implementation of this Agreement evidence that FEMA has afforded ACHP a reasonable opportunity to comment on FEMA's administration of all referenced Programs, and that FEMA has satisfied its Section 106 responsibilities for all individual Undertakings of its referenced Programs.

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE, THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION, AND TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES **Federal Emergency Management Agency** Andrew Velasquez III Acting Regional Administrator 

Region IV

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG
THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION,
AND TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES

South Carolina State Historic Preservation Office

W. Eric Emerson, Ph.D.
State Historic Preservation Officer

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE, THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION, AND TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES South Carolina Emergency Management Division Kim Stenson Director 

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG
THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION,
AND TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES

**United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians** 

1107 George Wickliffe

1108 Chie

<u>/- 9- 15</u> Date

1111	PROGRAMMATIC AGRE	EMENT AMONG
1112	THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MA	ANAGEMENT AGENCY,
1113	THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTO	RIC PRESERVATION OFFICE,
1114	THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENC	Y MANAGEMENT DIVISION,
1115	AND TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS	INVITED SIGNATORIES
1116		
1117		
1118		
1119		
1120	Catawba Indian Nation	
1121		
1122	A	
1123	21.0 4/	4/20/1K
1124	allean fares	3/22/15
1125	William Harris	Date
1126	Chief	
1127		
1128		or s
1129	12- 148/100	5/2-/-
1130	Wenoush A Hair De	188/18
1131	Wenonah Haire	Date /
1132	Tribal Historic Preservation Officer	
1133		
1134		

1135	Appendix A: FEMA Program Summaries
1136	
1137	This Appendix may be amended in accordance with Stipulation IV.A, Amendments.
1138	
1139	Disaster Response and Recovery Programs
1140	
1141	The following programs are authorized under Titles IV and V of the Stafford Act.
1142	
1143	Public Assistance Program (PA)
1144	This program assists States, Tribal, and local governments, and certain types of private nonprofit
1145	organizations quickly respond to and recover from major disasters or emergencies declared by the
1146	President. Grants are provided for debris removal (Category A), emergency protective measures
1147	(Category B), and the repair, replacement, or restoration of disaster-damaged, publicly owned and
1148	certain private non-profit facilities (Categories C-G).
1149	
1150	Individual Assistance Programs (IA)
1151	These programs help to ensure that individuals and families that have been affected by disasters
1152	have access to the full range of FEMA assistance including: crisis counseling (Section 416),
1153	disaster legal services (Section 415), essential assistance (Section 403), emergency sheltering
1154	assistance (Section 403), transportation (Section 419), funeral services, minor home repairs
1155	(Section 408), and temporary housing assistance (Section 408). It should be noted that other
1156	Federal agencies provide disaster assistance programs, services, and activities to individuals as
1157	well, including the U.S. Small Business Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and U.S.
1158	Department of Labor, but these other assistance programs are not subject to the terms of this
1159	Agreement.
1160	
1161	Fire Management Assistance Grant Program (FMAG)
1162	The FMAG is available to State, Tribal, and local governments for the mitigation, management,
1163	and control of fires on publicly or privately owned lands. Eligible costs may include expenses for
1164	field camps, equipment use, repair and replacement, materials and supplies, and mobilization and
1165	demobilization activities.
1166	

1171

1167

#### Non-Disaster Programs

1172 1173

1174 Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program (PDM)

Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)

The PDM program provides competitive grants to States, Territories, Tribes, and local

The HMGP provides grants to States, Territories, Tribes, and local governments to implement

long-term hazard mitigation measures after a Declaration. Activities may include buyouts,

- governments for hazard mitigation planning and the implementation of mitigation projects prior to a disaster event. Activities may include planning, buyouts, retrofits, relocations, elevations,
- 1178 minor flood control projects, and vegetative fuels reduction.

retrofits, relocations, elevations, and minor flood control projects.

- 1180 Flood Mitigation Assistance Program (FMA)
- 1181 The FMA program provides grants to States, Territories, Tribal entities, and communities to assist
- 1182 in their efforts to reduce or eliminate the risk of repetitive flood damage to buildings and
- 1183 structures insurable under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

1184

- 1185 Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program
- The AFG program provides funding for purchase of equipment and retrofit or construction of fire stations to improve first responder capabilities.

1188

- 1189 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)
- This core assistance program provides funds to build capabilities at the state and local levels and to implement the goals and objectives included in state homeland security strategies and initiatives in the State Preparedness Report.

1193

- 1194 Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) Program
- The Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) program focuses on enhancing regional preparedness in major metropolitan areas. The UASI program directly supports the National Priority on expanding regional collaboration in the National Preparedness Guidelines and is intended to assist participating jurisdictions in developing integrated regional systems for prevention, protection, response and recovery.

1200

- 1201 Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS) Program
- The MMRS program supports the integration of emergency management, health, and medical systems into a coordinated response to mass casualty incidents caused by any hazard. Successful MMRS grantees reduce the consequences of a mass casualty incident during the initial period of a response by having augmented existing local operational response systems before the incident occurs.

1207

- 1208 Citizen Corps Program (CCP)
- The Citizen Corps mission is to bring community and government leaders together to coordinate community involvement in emergency preparedness, planning, mitigation, response and recovery.

1211

- 1212 State Homeland Security Program Tribal (SHSP Tribal)
- To provide supplemental funding to directly eligible tribes to help strengthen the nation against risks associated with potential terrorist attacks. Pursuant to the 9/11 Act, "a directly eligible tribe applying for a grant under section 2004 [SHSP] shall designate an individual to serve as a tribal
- 1216 liaison with [DHS] and other Federal, state, local, and regional government officials concerning
- 1217 preventing, preparing for, protecting against and responding to acts of terrorism."

1218

- 1219 Nonprofit Security Grant Program (NSGP)
- NSGP provides funding support for target-hardening activities to nonprofit organizations that are
- 1221 at high risk of a terrorist attack and are located within one of the specific UASI-eligible urban
- 1222 areas.

1223

- 1224 Operation Stonegarden (OPSG)
- The intent of OPSG is to enhance cooperation and coordination among local, State and Federal 1225
- 1226 law enforcement agencies in a joint mission to secure the United States borders along routes of
- ingress from international borders to include travel corridors in States bordering Mexico and 1227
- 1228 Canada, as well as States and territories with international water borders.

- 1230 Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP)
- 1231 The TSGP provides grant funding to the nation's key high-threat urban areas to enhance security

1232 measures for their critical transit infrastructure including bus, ferry and rail systems.

1233

- 1234 Freight Rail Security Grant Program (FRSGP)
- The FRSGP funds security training for frontline employees, the completion of vulnerability 1235 1236 assessments, the development of security plans within the freight rail industry and GPS tracking 1237

systems for railroad cars transporting toxic inhalation materials.

1238

- 1239 Intercity Passenger Rail (Amtrak)
- 1240 The purpose of the Intercity Passenger Rail (IPR) is to create a sustainable, risk-based effort to 1241 protect critical surface transportation infrastructure and the traveling public from acts of terrorism.
- 1242 major disasters and other emergencies within the Amtrak rail system.

1243

- 1244 Port Security Grant Program (PSGP)
- 1245 The PSGP provides grant funding to port areas for the protection of critical port infrastructure
- from terrorism. PSGP funds are primarily intended to assist ports in enhancing maritime domain 1246
- awareness, enhancing risk management capabilities to prevent, detect, respond to and recover 1247
- 1248 from attacks involving improvised explosive devices (IEDs), weapons of mass destruction
- (WMDs) and other non-conventional weapons, as well as training and exercises and 1249
- 1250 Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC) implementation.

1251

- 1252 Intercity Bus Security Grant Program (IBSGP)
- 1253 The IBSGP provides funding to create a sustainable program for the protection of intercity bus
- 1254 systems and the traveling public from terrorism. The program seeks to assist operators of fixed-1255 route intercity and charter bus services in obtaining the resources required to support security
- 1256 measures such as enhanced planning, facility security upgrades and vehicle and driver protection.

1257

- 1258 Trucking Security Program (TSP)
- 1259 TSP funding will be awarded to eligible applicants to implement security improvement measures
- 1260 and policies deemed valuable by DHS as indicated in the Security Action Items publication of
- 1261 June 26, 2008. These items are primarily focused on the purchase and installation or enhancement
- 1262 of equipment and systems related to tractor and trailer tracking systems. Additionally, the TSP
- will provide funding to develop a system for DHS to monitor, collect and analyze tracking 1263
- 1264 information; and develop plans to improve the effectiveness of transportation and distribution of
- 1265 supplies and commodities during catastrophic events.

1266

1267 Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)

- The BZPP provides funding to increase the preparedness capabilities of jurisdictions responsible for the safety and security of communities surrounding high-priority pre-designated Tier 1 and Tier 2 critical infrastructure and key resource (CIKR) assets, including chemical facilities, financial institutions, nuclear and electric power plants, dams, stadiums and other high-risk/high-consequence facilities, through allowable planning and equipment acquisition.
- 1274 Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG)
- The purpose of the EMPG program is to assist State and local governments in enhancing and sustaining all-hazards emergency management capabilities.

- 1278 Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program (IECGP)
- IECGP provides governance, planning, training and exercise and equipment funding to States, territories, and local and Tribal governments to carry out initiatives to improve interoperable emergency communications, including communications in collective response to natural disasters, acts of terrorism and other man-made disasters. According to the legislation that created IECGP, all proposed activities must be integral to interoperable emergency communications and must be aligned with the goals, objectives, and initiatives identified in the grantee's approved statewide Communication Interoperability Plans (SCIP). IECGP will also advance DHS near-term priorities that are deemed critical to improving interoperable emergency communications and are consistent with goals and objectives of the National Emergency Communications Plan.

- Emergency Operations Center (EOC) Grant Program
- The EOC grant program is intended to improve emergency management and preparedness capabilities by supporting flexible, sustainable, secure, and interoperable Emergency Operations Centers (EOCs) with a focus on addressing identified deficiencies and needs. This program provides funding for construction or renovation of a State, local, or tribal governments' principal EOC. Fully capable emergency operations facilities at the State and local levels are an essential element of a comprehensive national emergency management system and are necessary to ensure continuity of operations and continuity of government in major disasters caused by any hazard.

- Driver's License Security Grant Program
- The purpose of the Driver's License Security Grant Program is to prevent terrorism, reduce fraud, and improve the reliability and accuracy of personal identification documents that States and territories issue.

- Integrated Public Alert and Warning System (IPAWS)
- The Integrated Public Alert and Warning System (IPAWS) was established by Executive Order 13407 in 2006. In the event of a national emergency, the President may use IPAWS to send a message to the American people quickly and simultaneously through multiple communications pathways. FEMA has identified several radio transmission sites across the nation with significantly powerful signals for this purpose, and FEMA is responsible for upgrading, maintaining, and managing the agency installed and owned auxiliary fuel systems at each of these radio transmission sites.

1312	Appendix B: Programmatic Allowances
1313	
1314	This list of Programmatic Allowances enumerates FEMA funded activities that based on FEMA
1315	experience have no or minimal effect on historic properties if implemented as specified in this
1316	Appendix and will not require review by the SHPO and participating Tribes.
1317	
1318	The Programmatic Allowances consist of two tiers – First Tier and Second Tier. Staff may apply
1319	First Tier allowances whether or not they meet professional historic preservation qualification
1320	standards, while only staff meeting the applicable SOI Professional Qualifications Standards in
1321	accordance with Stipulation I.B(1)(a) of this Agreement may apply Second Tier allowances.
1322	
1323	When referenced in the Programmatic Allowances, "in-kind" shall mean that it is either the same
1324	or a similar material, and the result shall match all physical and visual aspects, including form,
1325	color, and workmanship. The in-kind repair provided for in both First and Second Tier
1326	allowances in Appendix B should be limited to pre-existing architectural features and physical
1327	components of buildings and structures.
1328	
1329	When referenced in the allowances, "previously disturbed soils" shall refer to soils that are
1330	not likely to possess intact and distinct soil horizons and have the reduced likelihood of
1331	possessing historic properties within their original depositional contexts in the area and to
1332	the depth to be excavated.
1333	
1334	I. First Tier Allowances
1335	
1336	A. GROUND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES AND SITE MODIFICATION, when
1337	proposed activities described below substantially conform to the original footprint and/or
1338	are performed in previously disturbed soils, including the area where the activity is staged.
1339	1. Debris and Snow Removal
1240	Debuie nemeral and collection including nemeral of many connected trace limbs
1340	a. Debris removal and collection, including removal of snow, uprooted trees, limbs
1341	and branches from public rights of way and public areas and areas as well as the
1342 1343	transport and disposal of such waste to existing licensed waste facilities or
1344	landfills. This includes the temporary establishment and expansion of non- hazardous debris staging, reduction, and disposal areas at licensed transfer stations,
1345	or existing hard-topped or graveled surfaces (e.g. parking lots, roads, athletic
1345	courts) but not the creation of new or temporary access roads.
1340	courts) but not the creation of new of temporary access roads.
1347	b. Removal of debris from private property provided that buildings are not affected,
1348	ground disturbance is minimal and in-ground elements, such as driveways,
1349	walkways or swimming pools are left in place.
1350	C F
1351	c. Chipping and disposal of woody debris by broadcasting within existing rights-of-
1352	way.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1355	
1356	
1357	
1358	
1359	
1360	
1361	2
1362	
1363	
1364	
1365	
1366	
1367	
1368	
1369	
1370	
1371	
1372	
1373	
1374	
1375	
1376	
1377 1378	
1378	
1379	
1381	
1382	
1383	
1384	
1385	
1386	
1387	3
1388	
1389	
1390	
1391	
1392	
1393	
1394	B. B
1395	

- d. Sediment removal from man-made drainage facilities, including retention/detention basins, ponds, ditches, and canals, in order to restore the facility to its pre-disaster condition. The sediment may be used to repair eroded banks or disposed of at an existing licensed or permitted spoil site.
- e. Dewatering flooded developed areas by pumping.

#### 2. Temporary Structures and Housing

- a. Installation and removal of temporary structures for use as school classrooms, offices, or temporary shelters for essential public service agencies, such as police, fire, rescue and medical care, as well as temporary housing for disaster personnel and survivors at the following types of locations:
  - i. Single units on private residential sites when all utilities are installed above ground or tie into pre-existing utility lines.
  - ii. Existing RV/Mobile Home Parks and campgrounds with pre-existing utility hookups;
  - iii. Paved areas, such as parking lots and paved areas at such facilities as conference centers, shopping malls, airports, industrial port facilities business parks, and military bases when all utilities are installed above ground or tie into pre-existing utility lines.
  - iv. Sites that have been previously prepared for planned construction, such as land being developed for public housing, office buildings, city parks, ball fields, schools, etc. when all utilities are installed above-ground or tie into pre-existing utility lines.
  - v. Areas previously filled to depths of at least six feet so that subsurface utilities can be installed.

#### 3. Recreation and Landscaping

- a. Installation of temporary removable barriers.
- b. In-kind repairs, installation, or replacement, and minor upgrades/mitigation of bollards and associated protective barriers when in previously disturbed areas.

#### B. BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES

- 1. Repair or retrofit of buildings less than 45 years old.
- 2. Removal of water by physical or mechanical means.

1396

1398			
1399			stallation of exterior security features and early warning devices on existing light
1400		po	oles or other permanent utilities.
1401			
1402	C.		NSPORTATION FACILITIES, when proposed activities substantially conform to
1403		the or	iginal footprint and/or performed in previously disturbed soils, including any staging
1404		areas.	
1405			
1406		1. R	oads and Roadways
1407			
1408		a.	Paving and repair of roads to pre-disaster geometric design standards and
1409			conditions using in-kind materials, shoulders medians, clearances, curbs, and side
1410			slopes. This allowance does not include improvement to existing roadways and
1411			appurtenances.
1412			
1413			
1414		b.	Repairs to road slips and landslides that do not require grading of undisturbed soils
1415			on the up-hill side of the slip
1416			
1417		c.	Re-establishment, armoring, and/or upgrading of existing roadway ditches.
1418			
1419		ď.	In-kind repair or replacement of traffic control devices such as traffic signs and
1420			signals, delineators, pavement markings, traffic surveillance systems.
1421			₩ c
1422		e.	Installation and removal of temporary traffic control devices, including pre-formed
1423			concrete barriers and fencings.
1424			
1425		f.	In-kind repair or replacement of roadway safety elements such as barriers,
1426			guardrails, and impact-attenuation devices. In the case of guardrails, the addition
1427			of safety end treatments is permitted.
1428			
1429		2. A	irports
1430			
1431		a.	In-kind repair or replacement of existing runway surfaces and features (e.g.
1432			asphalt, concrete, gravel, and dirt) and associated air transportation safety
1433			components and systems (e.g. lighting bars, beacons, signage and weather
1434			sensors).
1435			
1436		3. R	ail Systems
1437			
1438		a.	In-kind repair or replacement of safety components.
1439			• • •
1440		b.	In-kind repair or replacement of existing track system and passenger loading areas.
1441			
1442			

<b>D.</b>	FEES AND SERVICES
	1. Reimbursement of a subgrantee's insurance deductible, not to exceed \$2,500.
I. Seco	nd Tier Allowances
A. G	ROUND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES AND SITE WORK, when proposed activities
de	escribed below substantially conform to the original footprint and/or are performed in eviously disturbed soils, including the area where the activity is staged.
-	
1.	Footings, Foundations, Retaining Walls, Slopes, and Slope Stabilization Systems
	a. In-kind repair, replacement, and reinforcement of footings, foundations, retaining walls, slopes, and slope stabilization systems (e.g., gabion baskets, crib walls, soldier pile and lag walls) if related ground disturbing activities are within the boundary of previously disturbed soils.
	I T A H day of a character lating of a Franch Latin Nation and Compatition
	b. Installation of perimeter drainage (e.g. French drains) when performed in previously disturbed soils.
	previously disturbed soris.
2.	Recreation and Landscaping
	a. In-kind repairs or replacement, and minor upgrades to recreational facilities and features (e.g. playgrounds, campgrounds, fire pits, dump stations and utility hook- ups, swimming pools, athletic fields and signage, batting cages, basketball courts, swing sets, pathways, simple wooden/wire stream crossings).
	b. In-kind repair, replacements, and minor upgrades to landscaping elements (e.g.,
	fencing, free standing walls, paving, planters, irrigation systems, lighting elements,
	signs, flag poles, ramps, steps).
3.	Piers, Docks, Boardwalks, Boat Ramps, and Dune Crossovers
	a. In-kind repair and replacement and minor upgrades to existing piers, docks,
	boardwalks, boat ramps and dune crossovers in areas of previously disturbed soils.
4.	Cemeteries
	a. Demoved of woody debrie such as branches and limbs, from comptanies, movided
	a. Removal of woody debris such as branches and limbs, from cemeteries, provided that heavy equipment and other machinery are not operated or staged on areas
	potentially containing human remains.
	b.

## B. BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES 1486 1487 1. Interior Work: Floors, Walls, Stair

- 1. Interior Work: Floors, Walls, Stairs, Ceilings and Trim
  - a. In-kind repair of floors, walls, stairs, ceilings and/or trim. In-kind replacement of floors, walls, stairs, ceilings, and/or trim that are damaged and/or deteriorated beyond repair. The allowance does not apply to decorative finishes, including murals, glazed paint, gold leaf, or ornamental plaster.
  - b. Interior cleaning of surfaces using a weak solution of household bleach and water, mold remediation, or mold removal. The allowance applies to interior finishes, including plaster and wallboard, provided the cleaning is restricted to damaged areas and does not affect adjacent materials.
  - c. Non-destructive or concealed testing for hazardous materials (e.g., lead paint, asbestos) or for assessment of hidden damages.

#### 2. Building Contents

- a. Repair or replacement of building contents including furniture, movable partitions, computers, cabinetry, supplies, and equipment and any other moveable items which are not character defining features of a historic property.
- 3. Utilities and Mechanical, Electrical, and Security Systems
  - a. In-kind repair or replacement, or limited upgrading of interior utility systems, including mechanical (e.g., heating, ventilation, air conditioning), electrical, and plumbing systems. This allowance does not provide for the installation of new exposed ductwork.
  - b. Elevation of heating, ventilation, and air conditioning system (HVAC) and mechanical equipment as long as it is placed or located where it is not visible from the street.
  - c. Installation or replacement of interior fire detection, fire suppression, or security alarm systems. The allowance does not apply to surface mounted wiring, conduits, piping, etc., unless previously existing, provided that installation of the system hardware does not damage or cause the removal of character-defining architectural features and can be easily removed in the future.
  - d. Installation of communication and surveillance security systems, such as cameras, closed-circuit television, alarm systems, and public address systems, provided that installation of the system hardware does not damage or cause the removal of character defining architectural features and can be easily removed in the future.

e. Installation of building access security devices, such as card readers, enhanced locks, and security scanners (e.g., metal detectors), provided the device does not damage or cause the removal of character-defining architectural features and can be removed in the future without impacts to significant architectural features.

#### 4. Windows and Doors

- a. In-kind repair of damaged or severely deteriorated windows and window frames, shutters, storm shutters, doors and door frames, and associated hardware, where profiles, elevations, details and materials match those of the originals.
- b. In-kind replacement of window panes. Clear plate, double, laminated or triple insulating glazing can be used, provided it does not result in altering the existing window material, tint, form, muntin profiles, or number of divided lights. This allowance does not apply to the replacement of intact decorative glass.
- c. Replacement of exterior, utilitarian, non-character-defining metal doors and frames leading into non character-defining spaces with metal blast resistant doors and frames.
- d. Installation of security bars over windows on rear elevations.

#### 5. Exterior Walls, Cornices, Porches, and Foundations

- a. In-kind repainting of surfaces, provided that destructive surface preparation treatments are not used, such as water blasting, sandblasting, power sanding and chemical cleaning.
- b. In-kind repair of walls, porches, foundations, columns, cornices, siding, balustrades, stairs, dormers, brackets, trim, and their ancillary components or in-kind replacement of severely deteriorated or missing or lost features, as long as the replacement pieces match the original in detail and material. Any ground disturbance will be limited to previously disturbed soils.
- c. In-kind repair or replacement of signs or awnings.
- d. Installation of temporary stabilization bracing or shoring, provided such work does not result in additional damage.
- e. Anchoring of walls to floor systems, provided the anchors are embedded and concealed from exterior view.
- f. In-kind repair of concrete and masonry walls, columns, parapets, chimneys, or cornices or limited in-kind replacement of damaged and/or deteriorated beyond

1571 1572		repair components including comparable brick, and mortar that matches the color strength, content, rake, and joint width.
1573		strongth, contone, rate, and joint width.
1574		g. Bracing and reinforcing of walls, chimneys and fireplaces, provided the bracin
1575		and reinforcing are either concealed from exterior view or reversible in the future.
1576		
1577		h. Strengthening of foundations and the addition of foundation bolts, provided that
1578		visible new work is in-kind, including mortar that matches the color, conten
1579		strength, rake, and joint width where occurring.
1580		
1581		i. Repairs to and in-kind replacement of elements of curtain wall assemblies of
1582		exterior cladding that is hung on the building structure, usually from floor to floor
1583		and when the color, size, reflectivity, materials, and visual patterns are unaltered.
1584	6.	Roofing
1585		
1586		a. Installation of scaffolding, polyethylene sheeting, or tarps, provided such work will
1587		not result in additional damage or irreversible alterations to character defining
1588		features.
1589		
1590		b. In-kind repair, replacement, or strengthening of roofing, rafters, fascia, soffits
1591		gutters, verge boards, leader boxes, downspouts, or other damaged roof system
1592		components.
1593		
1594		c. Repairs to flat roof cladding, including changes in roofing materials, where th
1595		repairs are not highly visible from the ground level.
1596		\$50
1597	7.	Weatherproofing and Insulation
1598		a. Caulking and weather-stripping to complement the color of adjacent surfaces of
1599		sealant materials.
1600		b. In-kind repair or replacement of insulation systems, provided that existing interior
1601		plaster, woodwork, exterior siding, or exterior architectural detail is not altered.
1602		
1603	8.	Structural Retrofits
1604		
1605		a. The installation of the following retrofits/upgrades, provided that such upgrade
1606		are not visible on the exterior: attic bracing, cross bracing on pier and pos
1607		foundations; fasteners; collar ties; gussets; tie downs; strapping and anchoring o
1608		mechanical, electrical, and plumbing equipment; concealed anchoring of furniture
1609		installation of plywood diaphragms beneath first floor joists, above top floo
1610		ceiling rafters, and on roofs; and automatic gas shut off valves.
1611		
1612		b. Replacement, repair or installation of lightning rods.

- 9. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Compliance
  - a. Installation of grab bars and other such minor interior modifications.

#### 10. Safe Rooms

a. Installation of individual safe rooms within the property limits of a residence where the installation would occur within the existing building or structure or in previously disturbed soils.

#### 11. Elevation, Demolition, and Reconstruction

- a. Activities related to the elevation, demolition and/or reconstruction of buildings or structures less than 45 years of age so long as the proposed activities substantially conform to the original footprint and/or are performed in previously disturbed soils including any staging area, and the buildings or structures are not located within or adjacent to a National Register listed or eligible historic district.
- C. TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES, when proposed activities substantially conform to the original footprint and/or performed in previously disturbed soils, including the area where the activity is staged.

#### 1. Roads and Roadways

- a. Repair of roads to pre-disaster geometric design standards and conditions using inkind materials, shoulders, medians, clearances, curbs, and side slopes. This allowance permits minor improvement to meet current code and standards or hazard mitigation measures, such as those designed to harden exposed surfaces, including the application of gravel armoring to side slopes and ditches.
- b. In kind repair to historic paving materials for roads and walkways.
- c. In-kind repair or replacement, or minor upgrade of culvert systems and arches beneath roads or within associated drainage systems, including provision of headwalls, riprap and any modest increase in capacity for the purposes of hazard mitigation or to meet current codes and standards, provided that the work substantially conforms to the existing footprint. For stone or brick culverts or arches beneath roadways, this allowance only applies to in-kind repair.
- d. In-kind repair or replacement of road lighting systems, including period lighting fixture styles.
- e. In-kind repair or replacement of road appurtenances such as curbs, berms, fences, and sidewalks.

f. Construction of temporary emergency access roads in previously disturbed soils to allow for passage of emergency vehicles

#### 2. Bridges

- a. Installation of a temporary (Bailey-type) bridge over an existing structure or at a previously disturbed location, such as a former bridge location, to allow passage of emergency vehicles.
- b. In-kind repair or replacement of bridges and bridge components (e.g. abutments, wing walls, piers, decks, and fenders) in previously disturbed soils.
- **D.** UTILITIES, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS AND TOWERS, when proposed activities substantially conform to the original footprint and/or performed in previously disturbed soils, including the area where the activity is staged.

#### 1. General

- a. In-kind repair or replacement, or minor upgrading, small scale realignment, and elevation of utilities and associated features and structures within previously disturbed soils of rights-of-way or utility corridors.
- b. Installation of new utilities and associated features within existing rights-of-way.
- c. Directional boring of new/replacement service line and related appurtenances involving boring or silt trenches within previously disturbed soils of rights-of-way or utility corridors.
- d. In-kind repair or replacement, or minor upgrade of water towers provided activities take place within previously disturbed soils. Ground-level facilities may be added or expanded in previously disturbed areas. This allowance does not apply to masonry water towers.

#### 2. Generators and Utilities

- a. In-kind repair or replacement, or minor upgrades, elevation, and/or installation of generators, HVAC systems, and similar equipment provided activities occur within previously disturbed soils and any roof mounted equipment is not visible from the ground level.
- 3. Communication Equipment/Systems and Towers

1701	a. Acquisition, installation, or operation of communication and security
1702	equipment/systems that use existing distribution systems, facilities, or existing
1703	infrastructure right-of-way.
1704	
1705	b. The collocation of communication and security equipment on existing towers and
1706	buildings/structures less than 45 year in age, provided that the work does not
1707	increase existing tower height or footprint by more than 10% and occurs within
1708	previously disturbed soils.
1709	
1710	c. Enhancement, repair or replacement of existing communication towers and
1711	antenna structures provided the work does not increase existing tower height or
1712	footprint by more than 10% and occurs within previously disturbed soils.
1713	
1714	d. Installation of new temporary (not to exceed 12 months) communications towers
1715	and antenna structures provided that the work occurs does not require modification
1716	of buildings/structures 45 years or older and occurs within previously disturbed
1717	soils.
1718	
1719	e. Installation of new communication towers, less than 200 feet tall, in previously
1720	developed urban complexes when the work does not require modification of
1721	buildings/structures 45 years or older, occurs within previously disturbed soil, and
1722	is not within a half mile feet of the boundaries of a historic property.
1723	
1724	E. WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND CONTROLS, when proposed activities
1725	substantially conform to the original footprint and/or performed in previously disturbed
1726	soils, including the area where the activity is staged.
1727	
1728	1. Canal Systems
1729	
1730	<ul> <li>In-kind repairs or replacement to canal systems and associated elements.</li> </ul>
1731	
1732	2. Breakwaters, Seawalls, Revetments, and Berms
1733	
1734	a. In-kind repair or replacement of breakwaters, seawalls, and revetments, provided
1735	the work occurs in previously disturbed soils.
1736	
1737	3. Dams, Levees, and Floodwalls
1738	
1739	a. In-kind repair of dams, levees, floodwalls and related features, including spillways,
1740	tide gates, and fuse plugs, provided the work occurs in previously disturbed soils.
1741	
1742	4 Fish Hatcheries

 a. In-kind repair or replacement of fish hatcheries and fish ladders.

5. Waste-Water Treatment Lagoon Systems
1747
1748

a. In-kind repair or replacement, or minor upgrades of waste-water treatment lagoon systems.

1750

#### **Appendix C: Treatment Measures**

When avoidance or minimization of adverse effects is not appropriate, the following Treatment Measures are suggested for the resolution of adverse effects:

If Undertakings may or will result in adverse effects, FEMA, the Grantee(s), subgrantee, SHPO, and participating Tribes may develop a treatment measure plan that includes one or more of the following Treatment Measures, depending on the nature of historic properties affected and the severity of adverse effects. This Appendix may be amended in accordance with Stipulation IV.A.3 of this Agreement, Amendments.

#### A. Recordation

 1. <u>Digital Photography Package:</u> Prior to project implementation, the designated responsible party shall oversee the successful delivery of a digital photography package prepared by staff or contractors meeting the Professional Qualifications for Architectural History, History, Architecture, or Historic Architecture, as appropriate. The digital photography package will meet the standards cited in the NPS' *National Register of Historic Places Photographic Policy March 2010* or subsequent revisions (http://www.nps.gov/nr/publications/bulletins/photopolicy/index.htm).

a. The digital photography package shall include a comprehensive collection of photographs of both interior and exterior views showing representative spaces and details of significant architectural features and typical building materials. Exterior photographs shall include full oblique and contextual images of each elevation. Exterior views shall be keyed to a site plan while interior views shall be keyed to a floor plan of the building/structure. The photographs shall be indexed according to the date photographed, site number, site name, site address, direction, frame number, subject matter and photographer's name recorded on the reverse side in pencil.

b. The digital photography package shall include printed color copies of the digital photographs (on appropriate paper, per NPS Photographic Policy), a CD/DVD of the digital photographs, a completed state architectural inventory form, and a written site history of the historic property.

 c. The designated responsible party shall submit the digital photography package to the SHPO and participating Tribes for review and approval. Once approved by the SHPO and participating Tribes, the designated responsible party shall submit a copy of the approved documentation to a state or local historical society, archive, and/or library for permanent retention.

- 1793 1794 1795 1796 1797
- 1798 1799 1800 1801 1802 1803 1804 1805 1806
- 1808 1809 1810

1811 1812 1813

1814

- 1815 1816 1817 1818
- 1819 1820

1821

- 1822 1823 1824
- 1825 1826 1827 1828

- 1830 1831 1832
- 1833 1834 1835
- 1836 1837

- 2. 35mm Black and White Photography Package: Prior to project implementation, the designated responsible party shall oversee the successful delivery of a 35 mm film black and white film photography package prepared by staff or contractors meeting the Secretary's Professional Qualifications for Architectural History, Architecture, or Historic Architecture, as appropriate.
  - a. The 35 mm film black and white film photography package shall include a comprehensive collection of photographs of both interior and exterior views showing representative spaces and details of significant architectural features and typical building materials. Exterior photographs shall include full oblique and contextual images of each elevation. Exterior views shall be keyed to a site plan while interior views shall be keyed to a floor plan of the building/structure. The photographs shall be indexed according to the date photographed, site number, site name, site address, direction, frame number, subject matter and photographer's name recorded on the reverse side in pencil.
  - b. The 35 mm film black and white film photography package shall include one (1) full set of 35mm film black and white photographs printed on acid free paper, the corresponding 35mm film negatives in acid free sleeves, a completed state architectural inventory form, and a written site history of the historic property.
  - c. The designated responsible party shall submit the 35 mm black and white film photography package the SHPO and/or participating Tribes for review and approval. Once approved by the SHPO and participating Tribes, the designated responsible party shall submit a copy of the approved documentation to a state or local historical society, archive, and/or library for permanent retention.
- 3. Large Format Photography Package: Prior to project implementation, the designated responsible party shall oversee the successful delivery of a large format photography package prepared by staff or contractors meeting the Secretary's Professional Qualifications for Architectural History, History, Architecture, or Historic Architecture, as appropriate.
  - a. The large format photography package shall include a comprehensive collection of photographs of both interior and exterior views showing representative spaces and details of significant architectural features and typical building materials. Exterior photographs shall include full oblique and contextual images of each elevation. Exterior views shall be keyed to a site plan while interior views shall be keyed to a floor plan of the building/structure. The photographs shall be indexed according to the date photographed, site number, site name, site address, direction, frame number, subject matter and photographer's name recorded on the reverse side in pencil.
  - b. The large format film photography package shall include one (1) full set of 4 x 5 or 5 x 7-inch photographs printed on acid free paper, the corresponding 4 x 5 or 5 x

7-inch negatives in acid free sleeves, a completed state architectural inventory form, and a written site history of the historic property.

c. The designated responsible party shall submit the large format film photography package to the SHPO and/or participating Tribes for review and approval. Once approved by the SHPO and/or participating Tribes, the designated responsible party shall submit \_\_\_ copies of the approved documentation to a state or local historical society, archive, and/or library for permanent retention.

#### B. Public Interpretation

 Prior to project implementation, FEMA, the Grantee(s), and subgrantee shall work with the SHPO and/or participating Tribes to design an educational interpretive plan. The plan may include signs, displays, educational pamphlets, websites, workshops and other similar mechanisms to educate the public on historic properties within the local community, state, or region. Once an interpretive plan has been agreed to by the parties, SHPO and/or participating Tribes and the designated responsible party shall continue to consult throughout implementation of the plan until all agreed upon actions have been completed by the designated responsible party.

#### D. Historical Context Statements and Narratives

Prior to project implementation, FEMA, the Grantee(s), and subgrantee shall work with the SHPO and participating Tribes to determine the topic and framework of a historic context statement or narrative the designated responsible party shall be responsible for completing. The statement or narrative may focus on an individual property, a historic district, a set of related properties, or relevant themes as identified in the statewide preservation plan. Once the topic of the historic context statement or narrative has been agreed to, the designated responsible party shall continue to coordinate with the SHPO and participating Tribes through the drafting of the document and delivery of a final product. The designated responsible party shall use staff or contractors that meet the Secretary's Professional Qualifications for the appropriate discipline.

#### E. Oral History Documentation

Prior to project implementation, FEMA, the Grantee(s), and subgrantee shall work with the SHPO and/or participating Tribes to identify oral history documentation needs and agree upon a topic and list of interview candidates. Once the parameters of the oral history project have been agreed upon, the designated responsible party shall continue to coordinate with the SHPO and/or participating Tribes through the data collection, drafting of the document, and delivery of a final product. The designated responsible party shall use staff or contractors that meet the Secretary's Professional Qualifications for the appropriate discipline.

#### F. Historic Property Inventory

Prior to project implementation, FEMA, the Grantee(s), and subgrantee shall work with the SHPO and/or participating Tribes to establish the appropriate level of effort to accomplish a historic property inventory. Efforts may be directed toward the resurvey of previously designated historic properties and/or districts which have undergone change or lack sufficient documentation, or the survey of new historic properties and/or districts that lack formal designation. Once the boundaries of the survey area have been agreed upon, the designated responsible party shall continue to coordinate with the SHPO and/or participating Tribes through the data collection process. The designated responsible party shall use SHPO and/or participating Tribes' standards for the survey of historic properties and SHPO and/or participating Tribes' forms as appropriate. The designated responsible party shall prepare a draft inventory report, according to SHPO and/or participating Tribes' templates and guidelines, and work with the SHPO and/or participating Tribes until a final property inventory is approved. The designated responsible party shall use staff or contractors that meet the Secretary's Professional Qualifications for the appropriate discipline.

#### G. National Register and National Historic Landmark Nominations

Prior to project implementation, FEMA, the Grantee(s), and subgrantee shall work with the SHPO and/or participating Tribes to identify the individual properties that would benefit from a completed National Register or National Historic Landmark nomination form. Once the parties have agreed to a property, the designated responsible party shall continue to coordinate with the SHPO and/or participating Tribes through the drafting of the nomination form. The SHPO and/or participating Tribes shall provide adequate guidance to the designated responsible party during the preparation of the nomination form and shall formally submit the final nomination to the Keeper for inclusion in the National Register. The designated responsible party shall use staff or contractors that meet the Secretary's Professional Qualifications for the appropriate discipline.

#### H. Geo-References of Historic Maps and Aerial Photographs

 Prior to project implementation, FEMA, the Grantee(s), and subgrantee shall work with the SHPO and/or participating Tribes to identify the historic maps and/or aerial photographs for scanning and geo-referencing. Once a list of maps and/or aerial photographs have been agreed upon, the designated responsible party shall continue to coordinate with the SHPO and/or participating Tribes through the scanning and geo-referencing process and shall submit drafts of paper maps and electronic files to the SHPO and/or participating Tribes for review. The final deliverable produced by the designated responsible party shall include a paper copy of each scanned image, a geo-referenced copy of each scanned image, and the metadata relating to both the original creation of the paper maps and the digitization process.

1926	Appendix D: Tribal Areas of Interest within the State of South Carolina
1927	
1928	Tribe Name
1929	Counties included within Area of Interest:
1930	
1931	Project Types of Interest:
1932	
1933	Any Excluded Project Types:
1934	
1935	Preferred Method of Communication
1936	

#### **South Carolina Disaster Recovery Team**



632 Rosewood Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29201

#### **Cover Memo**

To: Nancy E. Boone

Federal Preservation Officer, US Department of Housing and Urban Development

From: Eric Fosmire

Attorney, South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office

Date: November 17, 2016

**RE:** Cover Memo for the HUD Addendum to the FEMA PA

The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) will be using CDBG-DR funds to assist homeowners with following types of projects:

#### • Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes

Proposed single-family reconstruction will not expand the original existing footprint of the existing structure. Should lot restrictions apply and if the footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or a wetland.

Rehabilitation activities will include repair of storm damages and other items to bring the home to minimum Section 8 Housing Quality Standards (HQS).

Rehabilitation can include items such as:

- Roof repair/replacement
- Flooring repair/replacement
- Electrical repair/replacement
- Window repair/replacement
- Lead Based Paint and Mold remediation
- Handicapped accessibility
- Sheetrock repair/replacement
- Building foundations repair/replacement
- Repair/replacement unsafe water and sewer supplies

#### • Replacement of Damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHU's)

The replacement of damaged MHUs will be on the original existing site. (SCDRO) will perform a repair feasibility analysis and replace damaged mobile homes that cannot reasonably be repaired.



#### **South Carolina Disaster Recovery Team**

632 Rosewood Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29201

(SCDRO) will implement resilient practices to ensure the viability, durability and accessibility of replacement mobile homes:

- Although local building codes allow installation of Wind Zone I rated mobile homes, SCDRO will only utilize mobile homes with a minimum wind rating of HUD Wind Zone II or higher (able to withstand winds up to 100 MPH)
- SCDRO will adopt the 5'7" rule, prohibiting the installation of mobile homes elevated 5'7" above grade without appropriate structural reinforcement.

The location of these projects will be in will take place in the following counties: Bamberg, Berkeley, Colhoun, Charleston, Clarendon, Colleton, Darlington, Dorchester, Fairfield, Florence, Georgetown, Greenwood, Greenville, Horry, Kershaw, Lee, Marion, Newberry, Orangeburg, Spartanburg, Sumter and Williamsburg.

#### **ADDENDUM**

to

# PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER, THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION, AND TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES

#### to include

### PARTICIPATING SOUTH CAROLINA UNITS OF GENERAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT

WHEREAS, FEMA makes assistance available to States, Commonwealths, communities, Federally recognized Indian Tribes (Tribes) and other eligible entities through programs (Programs) set forth in Appendix A, pursuant to the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-296 (2002) (codified as amended at 6 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.); Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, Pub. L. No. 93-288 (1974) (codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. § 5121 et seq., (Stafford Act); the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Pub. L. No. 90-448 (1968) (as amended); the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994, Pub. L. No. 103-325 (1994) (as amended); the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006, Pub. L. No. 109-295 (2006) (as amended); implementing regulations contained in Title 44 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Executive Order 13407 (2006), and such other acts, executive orders, implementing regulations, or Congressionally authorized programs as are enacted from time to time; and

WHEREAS, FEMA has developed a Prototype Programmatic Agreement (FEMA Prototype Agreement) pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.14(b)(4) in consultation with the ACHP and NCSHPO to serve as a basis for negotiation of a State/Tribal specific Programmatic Agreement with the SHPO, State/Tribal Emergency Management Agency, and/or participating Tribe(s); and

WHEREAS, FEMA consulted with the South Carolina State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), the South Carolina Emergency Management Division (SCEM) the Catawba Indian Nation, and the United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians to adopt and execute the FEMA Prototype Agreement for its Programs in South Carolina, and the South Carolina State specific Agreement (Agreement) was executed on October 16, 2014; and

WHEREAS, under the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013 (Public Law 113-2, January 29, 2013), and other Congressionally authorized programs as are enacted from time to time, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) allocates Community Development Block Grant- Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds for disaster recovery activities similar to the activities covered by the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) and participating units of general local government have assumed HUD's environmental review responsibilities as Responsible Entities and are responsible for environmental review, decision-making and action, pursuant to Section 104(g) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 and 24

CFR Part 58, and propose to administer Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds pursuant to the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2016 and other Congressionally authorized programs as are enacted from time to time;

WHEREAS, Stipulation I.A.6. of the Agreement allows other Federal agencies, including state and local governments acting as Responsible Entities for CDBG-DR funds, to fulfill their Section 106 responsibilities for those types of activities covered under the terms of the Agreement by accepting and complying with the terms of the Agreement and executing this Addendum; and

WHEREAS, SCDRO and participating units of general local government will ensure that staff who meet the Secretary's Professional Qualification Standards will review Second Tier projects in accordance with Appendix B of the Agreement and will provide resumes of such staff to the signatories to this Addendum; and

**NOW, THEREFORE,** SCDRO and participating units of general local government agree to assume the federal agency role and accept the terms and conditions of the Agreement and subsequent amendments, as appropriate under HUD's authorizing legislation and regulations, and thereby take into account the effect of its undertakings and satisfy its Section 106 responsibilities for the CDBG-DR program for activities in their jurisdictions in South Carolina.

**DURATION AND EXTENSION,** This Addendum to the Agreement will remain in effect for currently open disaster declarations and subsequent disaster declarations in the state of South Carolina; however, the Addendum will not exceed the expiration date of the Agreement. Signatories may collectively agree to extend this Addendum to cover additional calendar years provided that the Agreement is also extended.

**EXECUTION AND IMPLEMENTATION,** of this Addendum to the Agreement evidences that SCDRO and participating units of general local government have taken into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, and that through the execution of this Addendum and implementation of the Agreement, SCDRO and participating units of general local government will satisfy their responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations for the referenced CDBG-DR program for activities in their jurisdictions in South Carolina. This Addendum may be executed in counterparts, with separate signature pages. Units of general local government may decide to participate and execute the Addendum at any time during the term of the Addendum.

#### **ADDENDUM**

to

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG
THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION, AND
TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES

to include

THE SOUTH CAROLINA DISASTER RECOVERY OFFICE and PARTICIPATING SOUTH CAROLINA UNITS OF GENERAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT

**SIGNATORY PARTIES:** 

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Olakia D. SZCZECII

Regional Administrator

Region IV

#### **ADDENDUM**

to

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG
THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION, AND
TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES
to include

THE SOUTH CAROLINA DISASTER RECOVERY OFFICE and PARTICIPATING SOUTH CAROLINA UNITS OF GENERAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Date: 11-4-16

**SIGNATORY PARTIES:** 

SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

W. Eric Emerson, Ph.D.

State Historic Preservation Officer

to

# PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER, THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION, AND TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES

to include

THE SOUTH CAROLINA DISASTER RECOVERY OFFICE and PARTICIPATING SOUTH CAROLINA UNITS OF GENERAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT

**SIGNATORY PARTIES:** 

SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION

K. U.	Date:	4 New 16	
Kim Stenson	,		
Director			

to

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG
THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION, AND
TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES

to include

THE SOUTH CAROLINA DISASTER RECOVERY OFFICE and PARTICIPATING SOUTH CAROLINA UNITS OF GENERAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT

INVITED SIGNATORIES:					
UNITED KEETOOWAH BA	ND OF CHEROKEE INDIANS				
	Date:				
George Wickliffe					
Chief					

to

# PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER, THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION, AND TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES

to include

THE SOUTH CAROLINA DISASTER RECOVERY OFFICE and PARTICIPATING SOUTH CAROLINA UNITS OF GENERAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT

INVITED SIGNATORIES:		
CATAWBA INDIAN NATION		
William Harris	Date:	
Chief		
Wenonah Haire	Date:	

Tribal Historic Preservation Officer

to

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG
THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION, AND
TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES
to include

THE SOUTH CAROLINA DISASTER RECOVERY OFFICE and PARTICIPATING SOUTH CAROLINA UNITS OF GENERAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT

\_\_\_ Date: \_//-/6-/6

SOUTH CAROLINA DISASTER RECOVERY OFFICE

Alan D. Young

Director of Grants and Incentives
South Carolina Department of Commerce

to

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG
THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIVISION, AND
TRIBES PARTICIPATING AS INVITED SIGNATORIES

to include

THE SOUTH CAROLINA DISASTER RECOVERY OFFICE and PARTICIPATING SOUTH CAROLINA UNITS OF GENERAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT

## PARTICIPATING UNITS OF GENERAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT

[City/County]		
	Date:	
[name] [title]		
[title]		

# Protocol for Responsible Entities to Adopt the HUD Addendum to the FEMA PA for CDBG-DR Projects in South Carolina

#### **Background**

HUD assisted projects like CDBG-DR (Community Development Block Grant- Disaster Recovery) projects require a historic preservation compliance review, otherwise known as Section 106 review, which requires federal agencies to consider any impacts their projects may have to historic properties. This review process can be completed as outlined in 36 CFR 800 for individual projects or a Programmatic Agreement (PA) may be developed as an alternative to expedite the review process for multiple projects. HUD environmental regulations [24 CFR 58] require states and units of general local government to assume responsibility for environmental review of HUD-assisted projects. These Responsible Entities (REs) act as the federal agency in carrying out environmental reviews, including Section 106 reviews.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has a PA in place for South Carolina to expedite Section 106 reviews of its disaster and non-disaster recovery activities through October 2021. Some of the efficiencies captured in the PA include shortened review times, an appendix of exempt activities and the expedited resolution of adverse effects. HUD and FEMA fund similar types of disaster recovery activities such as: acquisition and demolitions, elevations of single family homes, large infrastructure projects, and the redevelopment of housing projects. Through an Addendum document, HUD REs can sign on to the FEMA PA and utilize the same review procedures for CDBG-DR projects. This is a much quicker approach than developing a parallel PA for CDBG-DR projects, which can take many months.

The Unified Federal Review process encourages a more consistent interagency approach in addressing Section 106 reviews for disaster recovery. In efforts to advance a more unified approach, HUD is encouraging REs with CDBG-DR funds to sign on to the FEMA PA in order to utilize the efficiencies in the PA for HUD funded disaster recovery projects that REs administer. Listed below are guidelines on how REs can adopt the Addendum and use the FEMA PA process to expedite reviews.

#### Initiation

• The South Carolina Department of Commerce, City of Columbia, Richland County & Lexington County are the direct recipients of CDBG-DR grants from HUD, and are each individually eligible to adopt the Addendum and FEMA PA. HUD is available to support and discuss the Addendum and FEMA PA process with REs and assist them throughout the Addendum adoption process as necessary. HUD is not a signatory to the Addendum as its legal responsibilities are assumed by a RE pursuant to 24 CFR Part 58.

#### **Public Outreach**

Responsible Entities (REs) need to inform other potentially interested parties such as local historic preservation review Commissions (whether or not the city participates in the SHPO's Certified Local governments Program), local historic preservation organizations, and the public about the proposed HUD Addendum before they adopt it. This can be done by notice, letter, meeting, or other means. A list of current CLG's in SC can be found here: <a href="http://shpo.sc.gov/programs/locgov/Pages/CLG.aspx">http://shpo.sc.gov/programs/locgov/Pages/CLG.aspx</a>

#### **Qualified Staff**

- The historic preservation review process in the FEMA PA requires implementation by a qualified historic preservation professional who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards. Guidelines on the qualifications can be found here:
   <a href="http://www.nps.gov/history/local-law/arch.stnds-9.htm">http://www.nps.gov/history/local-law/arch.stnds-9.htm</a>
- The RE identifies their choice for the qualified historic preservation professional who meets the Secretary's Professional Qualification Standards. It can be RE staff or a consultant. An RE can choose more than one qualified professional.
- Upon request from an RE, HUD will provide information on the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards and/or preview resumes of potential candidates.
- For a list of historic preservation consultants in South Carolina please visit this website:
   http://shpo.sc.gov/pubs/Pages/profs.aspx
   \*Please note that not all of these consultants have experience working with Section 106, please confirm with any consultant on this list that they are Qualified preservation professionals with Section 106 experience.

#### **Execution of the Addendum**

- HUD will prepare an Addendum signature page for each eligible RE.
- After the RE selects the qualified professional who will conduct Section 106 reviews for them, the RE should submit the resume of the SOI qualified individual to HUD for review/confirmation.
- The RE submits the original signature page, resume of the qualified professional and a cover memo
  to HUD and may begin using the FEMA PA process for CDBG-DR reviews effective the date of
  signature of the Addendum.
- The cover memo may include any of the following information that is pertinent and available at the time:
  - o What types of projects are anticipated?
  - o How many projects are anticipated?
  - O Where will these projects generally be located?
  - Do these projects have the potential to include demolition, rehabilitation, new construction and/or ground disturbance?
- On behalf of the REs, HUD will notify SHPO, FEMA, and Tribes of new signatories to the Addendum and will provide copies of the signature pages, resumes, and a cover memo.
- HUD will post the Addendum and RE signature pages on the Section 106 Agreements section of the
  HUD Historic Preservation page at <a href="https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/historic-preservation/section-106-agreements/">https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/historic-preservation/section-106-agreements/</a> & the Disaster Programmatic Agreement page at
  <a href="https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/disaster-recovery-and-environment/">https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/disaster-recovery-and-environment/</a>
- As necessary, HUD will schedule conference calls with the FEMA Regional office and SHPO to provide updates on new signatories to the Addendum and any new developments.

#### **Annual Report**

• In relation to the annual reporting requirement in the FEMA PA, the RE will provide Signatories to the PA with an annual report by June 30th that summarizes the actions taken by the RE in the previous calendar year to implement the terms of this Agreement, statistics on undertakings reviewed, and recommendations for actions or revisions to be considered. Any of the parties may request a follow-up discussion of the report and its recommendations.

#### **Additional Information**

- The RE can adopt a previously completed FEMA Section 106 review if the RE confirms that it covers
  the same project activities as the CDBG-DR project and if the FEMA review is less than 5 years
  old. See
  - https://www.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/AdoptionFEMAOtherFederalEnvironmentalReviewProcessingSandy.pdf. No additional review is necessary unless the scope of work has changed.
- There may be projects that are jointly funded by FEMA and HUD. These projects should be coordinated to achieve a unified and expedited review.
- FEMA does not have any responsibility for the CDBG-DR project reviews that are conducted by an RE under the HUD Addendum to the FEMA PA.
- Categorically Excluded and Environmental Assessment-level Environmental Reviews of CDBG-DR projects that are entered into HUD's HEROS database will be accessible to the public on the HUD Exchange website for one year at <a href="https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/environmental-review-records/">https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review-records/</a>. Environmental Impact Statements are posted at <a href="https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/environmental-impact-statements">https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/environmental-impact-statements</a>. These reviews can be helpful in alerting other federal agencies with subsequent funding in the same project or project area that a previous Section 106 review was completed. Please contact HUD for more information on gaining access to the HEROS database.
- Any changes to the FEMA PA will need to follow the amendment process outlined under Stipulation IV.A in the PA.

#### **Contacts**

#### HUD

Nancy E. Boone, Federal Preservation Officer
US Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Environment and Energy
451 7th Street SW, Room 7212
Washington, DC 20410
Voice: 202.402.5718
Nancy.E.Boone@hud.gov

**SHPO** 

John D. Sylvest South Carolina Department of Archives and History State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)

8301 Parklane Road Columbia, SC 29223

phone: 803.896.6129 fax: 803.896.6167 SHPO Website: http://shpo.sc.gov

**FEMA Region IV** 

Eric M. Thurston Historic Preservation Specialist Office: (770) 220-8817 Cell: (404) 536-4114 Eric.thurston@fema.dhs.gov

# **Appendix G:**

## Wild & Scenic Rivers

From: Duncan, Jeffrey <jeff\_duncan@nps.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 4:19 PM

To: Derek Galose

**Subject:** Re: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery

Office (SCDRO) Concurrence Letter

Derek--My apologies as I must have overlooked your previous correspondence. We have no comment as it appears the nature of the proposed projects will have no bearing on any Wild and Scenic Rivers. Will this email suffice or do you prefer a formal letter?

Jeffrey R. Duncan, PhD National Park Service-Southeast Region Science and Natural Resources Division Fisheries and Aquatic Resources

535 Chestnut St. Suite 207 Chattanooga, TN 37402 423-987-6127

On Tue, Oct 11, 2016 at 6:30 PM, Derek Galose < dgalose@ardurragroup.com > wrote:

Dear Dr. Duncan,

The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) is the responsible entity for completing the federally required environmental review in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. SCDRO has retained the Ardurra Group to assist with the environmental review. For the NEPA review of the rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of manufactured homes, SCDRO intends to perform a tiered review to obtain programmatic clearances on those NEPA elements for which no impact is anticipated to occur and establish programmatic guidelines and standards for site specific reviews in areas of potential impact.

attached is a copy of the concurrence letter for your review we also mailed a hard copy.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns.

Thank you for your time.



Oct. 11th 2016

Dr. Jeffrey R. Duncan, Ph.D.
Southeast Regional Fishery Ecologist & Wild and Scenic Rivers Coordinator
National Park Service 535 Chestnut Street - Suite 207 Chattanooga, TN 37402
Email: jeff\_duncan@nps.gov Phone: 423,987.6127

**RE**: South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) - Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes and Replacement of Manufactured Housing Unit's

Dear Dr. Duncan:

The state of South Carolina was included into the Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program on December 18, 2015. In October 2015, South Carolina received unprecedented and historical rainfall and flooding. This heavy rainfall of over 20 inches across the state came from an upper atmospheric low-pressure system that funneled tropical moisture from Hurricane Joaquin. Heavy rainfall occurred across South Carolina during October 1–5, 2015, the storm caused major flooding from the central to the coastal areas of South Carolina to compute streamflow from monitored river stage. The floods caused loss of life and extensive damage to many dams, bridges, roads, homes, and businesses. South Carolina is proposing to use CDBG-DR funds to assist homeowners in the following disaster declared counties: Bamberg, Berkeley, Colhoun, Charleston, Clarendon, Colleton, Darlington, Dorchester, Fairfield, Florence, Georgetown, Greenwood, Greenville, Horry, Kershaw, Lee, Marion, Newberry, Orangeburg, Spartanburg, Sumter and Williamsburg, in achieving safe and code compliant housing that meets minimum property standards through rehabilitation, reconstruction and replacement of manufactured homes.

The South Carolina Department of Commerce, Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) is the responsible entity for completing the federally required environmental review in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58. SCDRO has retained the Ardurra Group to assist with the environmental review. For the NEPA review of the rehabilitation, reconstruction, and replacement of manufactured homes, SCDRO intends to perform a tiered review to obtain programmatic clearances on those NEPA elements for which no impact is anticipated to occur and establish programmatic guidelines and standards for site specific reviews in areas of potential impact. To expedite environmental review while complying with Part 58 and other applicable laws and regulations, your determination of no affect or input on the need for individual consultation concerning compliance is sought for the following types of projects:

- Rehabilitation & Reconstruction of Single-Family Homes
  - The reconstruction work will not expand the original footprint of the structure if possible. If lot restrictions apply and the footprint must be expanded, the footprint will not be increased in a floodplain or a wetland.
- Replacement of Damaged Manufactured Housing Units (MHU) Deemed Unrepairable Through a Feasibility Review
  - o The replacement of damaged MHUs will be replaced on the original site.



Please do not hesitate to contact me at 225-954-5136 or dgalose@ardurragroup.com should you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 

wolog. Adar Co

Ardurra Group

CC: Angela L Dahlgren, Ardurra Group

CC: Eric Fosmire, SCDRO

## **APPENDIX H:**

## Floodplain Management

Early Notice and Public Review of Proposed Activity in 100- Year Floodplain

Final Notice and Public Review of Proposed Activity in 100-Year Floodplain To Advertise email

or call

800-532-5348

**Employment** 

CHRISTMAS MOUSE is looking to hire full and part-time Sales Associates. Must be able to work weekends. Please apply in person at 4852 Highway 17 South (Bareloot Landing) or at 2006 S. Kings Highway.

M. CONTA

Education

COASTAL CAROLINA UNIVERSITY seeks candidates for the following position:

Adjunct Faculty / External Engagement Coordinator

843-340-5805

GO HAPPY CLEANING SERVICES \$50 Special!!! chen/Balhrooms' able Quality Cleaning acisterad-Bonded classifieds@thesunnews.com

843-443-5483 We Also Clear Offices & Rentals

· Quick Dry Process · Upholstery Cleaning • & Pet Odor Ren RATED #1 ON ANGIE'S LISTI

\*Certain Restrictions May Apply

#### Child/Elder Care Services

GREAT PRICES CAREGIVERS OF SC Founded in 2001 Family Owned & Open

Yourly rate \$11.50 Others charge Others charge \$14-\$20 Live in (24 hr) \$125 hers charge \$200-\$400 poyl Live in your home (843) 347-6440 Experienced
Caregivers please call Susan
Sam to 5pm \* Mon-Fri

DIRECT TV / LOCAL DEALER

Starting as low as \$29.99 per month FREE NFL Package, Free HBO, Showtime, Starz, Cinemax (For 3-months.)

L & M ENTERPRISES

843-669-3613

Financial/Legal Services

UNCONTESTED DIVORCE:

Starting at \$250 + Cost 9 1 yr separalio 9 No children 9 No property/de

Cecil Clarkson,

843-448-7137

Home/Business Improvement

HANDYMAN

25 Years Experience

FREE ESTIMATES 843-655-0207

HANDYMAN

25 YEARS EXPERIENCE

843-655-0207

A-1 MULLINS PLUMBING

For all your Plumbing Needs No Job too Big or Small Over 50 years Experience CALL

630-417-5154

A-1 ROOFING

Coastal Carolina University is an AA/EO employer. General Business Free installation

The City of Myrtle Beach seeks qualified candidates for:

· Youth Progam Assistant

· Maintenance Worker - Temp

www.cityolmyrile peach.com + H.R. Dept. 921 Oak St; 918-1121, EEO/H.

#### General Labor

oos@jade-security.com

#### Healthcare

FRONT DESK Medical Receptionist needed for busy, tast paced Medical Practice. Experience preferred.
Please Fax resume 843-215-5226

Myrtle Beach
Family Medicine
posted 82nd Parkway Myrtle Beach
is seeking
FT Medical Assistant or LPN. Only applicants with a minimum of 2 years experience and certification should apply. Position offers excel-lent benefit package. Fax resume to:

Fax resume to: 843-449-2333 or email Jobyacancy4 2216@ ornali.com with salary requests.

Physical Therapist with SC licenses needed for out-patient Physical Therapist offices Business Partnership opportunity available.

843-314-4895.

RN JNHT MANAGER
Grand Strand Renhab & Nursing
Central is seeking a RN Unit Man-ager to join our team. The ideal
candidate should have long-term
care separence. We offer an ex-cellent starting salary, Serious in-quires only, Interested candidates and another starting salary, Serious in-quires only, Interested candidates
and the serious of the ser

#### Hospitality/Hotel

NOW HIRING
Mystle beach theme parkl
pnessions positions for spring
and fall season!
Excellent orporturity for
lages, also capable Refered
folics are welcome!
Trish 813-318-1072
Don. 772-263-2228

#### Sales

CHRISTMAS MOUSE 2306 S. Kings Highway.

#### Transportation

with a triendly personality wants for a furniture slore. Experience & references re-quired. Must also be able to semble furniture. Please call 843-851-3501 weekdays 9am-1

HOME
IMPROVEMENT
SUNROOMS
SCREEN PORCHES
ADDITIONS
BATHROOM
REMODELS

**ESTIMATES** HOME IMPROVEMENTS

843-855-6480 (843) 267-6922 See us at: metzelhomeimproven

#### JMV PAINTING INT. / EXT.

Ceiling Repairs
Drywall Repair
Pressure Washing
Tile Works
Filoor Install (Vinyl & Hardwood/
aminale)

FREE ESTIMATES 843-450-7651

#### MASONRY DUTCH MASONRY II

843-902-4142

#### MIKE'S PAINTING

Interior & Exterior

Pressure
 Washing
 Popcarn Ceilings
 Remove
 Walipaper
 Minor Sheetrock
 Repair

843-457-2157

#### MURPHY GENERAL CONTRACTOR esidential &

Commercial
REMODELING
KITCH. & BATH
DEMOLITION
SPECIALIST PH:843-357-9190 CL:843-458-8333

#### POWERHOUSE HOME SERVICES, LLC

Painting Intenor & Exterior- Staining Backsplash & Tile Install Ceiling Fan Install Mulch

GARDEN CITY 雪 973-670-8047

> Premier Roofing and Contracting Metal Roof Specialist Shingles Shingle
>  Decks 910-338-8781

> > all today for

843-267-4293

SPECIALTY

ooting Repairs

35 Years of

FOI A FREE

ESTIMATE and REASONABLE

Call Anytime
(843) 315-8642
We try to make a living, not a
killing \*\*
cooperso

The area's

Knowledge & Experie

gle, Rubber & Metal I Siding & Painting FREE ESTIMATES PRESSURE 365-1939 OR WASHING (843) 222-2743 special starting as low as \$99.00.

A STEP ABOVE CONSTRUCTION LLC Looking to remodel? Storm damage?

Custom/Finish Carpentry,Siding, Painting Hardwood/Laminate/Tile Flooring, Decks, Fences & more

FREE Estimates petitive Pricing • Ins 843-997-1734

> A STEP ABOVE Looking to remode Storm damage?

Custom/Finish entry,Siding, Pa dwood/Laminate Flooring, Decks, Fences & more

FREE Estimates petitive Pricing • Inse 843-997-1734

ROBERT ROSEN

Residential & Commercial ng Myrile Beach and Conway Repairs • Remodeling
 All Services
 SC MASTER PLUMBER
 LICENSE & INSURED
 Very Affordable Rates
 843-241-4770

Patio ENCLOSURES TILE & SHOWERS

KITCHENS & BATHS INT & EXT MODIFICATIONS

ROT REPAIRS

WATER

FREE

GRINDING

T CALL @ 843-240-3981

#### Misc Services

CLOCK REPAIR is, Cleaning, Hos-Antique or Modern, award Miller & Ridgeway Service Center, In business for 38 years

#### SUPER

OF DEDATES

(843) 685 - 0607

sningles · Tile · ls · Ali Fiashino

Cafice

Contracting LLC

#### CRETE

CUSTOM CONCRETE

· DRIVEWAYS · PATIOS · PAVERS CUSTOM WALLS Furniture For Sale

(ALL TYPES) NO CRACKS

GUARANTEED al IFFTIME

· DRAINAGE WORK

STAMPED

AVERAGE NEW CONCRETE DRIVEWAYS \$2,500

(843) 450-0085

SUPER SALE PROMISE YOU A "SUPER NICE" JOB.

> \$250 OFF APRIL

FREE Paint Tree with new driveway installation CALL NOW!

#### Lawn/Garden/Landscaping/Trees

MASTERCARE INC. MB LARGEST TREE CO

FOR OVER 20 YEARS VOTED #1 TREE COMPANY

- REMOVALS PRUNINGS • FIREWOOD

STUMPS -STARTING AT \$15/STUMP

(843) 215-3511

(877) 848- TREE AMC TREE

ANTHONY the

own business... TREE REMOVAL & FIREWOOD CO. 843-539-8733

## JOHN'S

LANDSCAPING

& LAWN CARE

No Job too small.

All types of clean up, design and

resaliation, pruning, mulch, pine

wrigation repair 903-1802 222-3358

> LAWN MOWING All Landscaping Maintenance COMM/RES.

re Free Estimates edkyttle7@gmail.co 843-940-0999 RIGHT ON TIME TREE SERVICE +30 yrs Experience \* Most Any Tree Cu \$150 or LESS! te Call Robert 843-360-2809 Sec. 16

STUMP

WE ACCEPT CREDIT CARDS

843-236-0614

#### Merchandise

Stainless Sink and Disgloser cluded \$850 843-688-0556

2 QUEEN SZ beds, solal, tvs - 47 fi screen, antiques, auths, pictures Call for appl, 843/993-5485

#### Heating & Air Conditioning

2.5 Ton Goodman heat pump/AC sys. \$3250 w/ 10 ys warr. 843-602

#### Lawn & Garden Supplies

Free Mulch for your flower/garden beds. No dealers no hauling bring bags, Longs area 843-421-7374

## Real Estate

Country Lake 55+ Community Lake Front, 4404 Erie Dr. 3Br/2Ba w/ Gar. & Additional Up-grades \$135,000 850-642-7584

#### Rooms for Rent

MB-ATLANTIC VIEW Weekly year round, W/D. No pets. 643-948-3845

#### Manufactured Homes for Rent

ookgreen Estales Conway, NEW DBL Wide, 2B/2Ba, Covered Porch, Seniors Welcome . \$875 843,365.8200

#### Recreation

#### Airplanes

Carolina Skith 218 DLV Black,T-TOP Yamaha 115 Four stroke, 24ft 4wh trailer, Gafmin GPS, Kenwood-Sound Systm,LiveWell, 21ft L, 8tW \$21,500 svigar@sc.r.com 843-457-9922

#### Camping/Motorhomes

Briarciale RV Resort Membership \$1000 + transfer fee. 516-313-8570

Motorhome -Hurricane Thor 2014 34t, big stide, non-smoking, clean, V10,12,500 Mi \$76k 843-390-0965

#### Automotive Automobiles

BMW 650 1 2008 Titanium silver with black leather int, and black cloth top, loabed, rare 6 speed manual, 360 HP M, new clutch, Goodyear run flats, 47,600 miles, clean Carfax, inspected wheport, \$82,700 new, asking \$26,500

Dodge Stlatus 2004 SXT NO REPAIRS 540-336-8202, pattygaindy@yahoo,com

Victoria 2003 LX low miles for age tires good leather clean 89,545miles 703-409-2627, Ford Crown Marpon/Tan

Pontiac Montana 2004 White/Gray AWD 3.4 Litel V6. 8 passanger leather seats Many extres

#### Autos/Classic & Specialty

1978 Camaro, 3 Owner All Orig nal, 83x Miles, New Pairs, Nor Smoker \$10,000 843-902-482;

#### Motorcycles

2005 HD FATBOY 29,700miles NEW BATTERY SAPOLERAGS. \$8,500.00

CHARCOAL GREY -- ALWAYS GARAGED YAFFE 12" BARS RINEHART DUAL EXHAUST MANY EXTRAS-MUST SELL \$15KFIRM 843-903-2741

Justum Scrittali 2001 Panzer soft tall motorcycle, 90°(1450 CC), low miles 20K, custom paint well maintained, garage kepi good rubber, lots of extra parts. The blke is not worn out the owner is: \$7,900 mfedorco@gmsil.com 570-784-0764

For Sale: Harley Davidson 1986 FX Wide Gilde, excellent. \$6,000 call Jim 860-874-7614 chenjlan58@yahoo.com

#### SUVs

D8 PorscheCayenne S ONLY 45K. Miles V8 Auto LikeNew Miles V8 Auto LikeNew MossGreeen/Black 2Owners Must See \$20,900 843-240-1606.

#### Trucks & Vans

Ford F150 2011 SVT Raptor Black/ Black w/ restor orange accent softs 4x4 Supilicator accelera-cond graphics & towing F23, power moonroof, 38x miles \$38,900 843-810-0791. \$38,900 843-B rockleyoung@yahoo.com

Ford T-150 Standard 1997 6 Mandar 121,597 MI, Engine Has 121,597 MI. Er 60k MI \$800 203-430-9384

#### Legals

#### Legals & Public Notices

REVIEW OF A PROPOSED

: All interested Agencies, Groups

This is to give notice that the South Car-oline Disable? Recovery Office, (SCDHO) has determined that the follow-ing proposed action under the Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruc-tion Register. Honty County, South Caro-lina (B-16-0H-45-0001) may have some proporties located in the 100-year food-plain, and SCDRO will be identifying and evaluation practicable setematives plain, and SCDRO was be desembled and evaluating the action in the foccipian to locating the action in the foccipian and the potential impacts on the foot-plain from the proposed action, as re-quired by Executive Order 11988 in ac-condance with HUD regulations at 24 CPR 52-20 Suppart C Problems for Making Determinations on Floodplain Management. The Disaster Relief

Appropriations Act of 2016 (Pub. L. 114 113, approved December 18, 2015) to undertake a project known as Single

undershall a project known to Simple Family. Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program, How Programs and Programs from Carolina for the purpose of rehabilitating and/or reconstructing sight-stating and/or representations sight-stating homes, and/or replacement of simple-stanily. Mundicational Housing Units (MHUs) has were damaged by the Continuity Mundication for sight-stating United Science and Programs of the Science

These are three primary purposes for this notice. First, people who may be affected by anotherise in docolosina and those who have an interest in the protection of the natural environment countries are opportunity to approach the concerns and provise information about these areas. Commenters are enquired to other admentation about these areas. Commenters are enquired to other admentation about these services information about the same manual to other admentation about the same part of the foodplart, alternative methods as about the same method to about the same manual of the foodplart, alternative methods as of the foodplart, alternative method to the program can be an on proportion of the program can be an on proportion of the program can be an on proportion of the program can be an only program can be an only program can be an only program of the program can be an only program of the program can be an only program of the program of the program can be an only program of the progra

Written comments must be relatived by SODRO at the florwing address for before April 13, 2017. Attention: Eric Florenie, American Series Florenie, American Sci 2020.1 A full description of the protect may able to relation to the protect may able to relation to the stroke weekley from 9 A M to 5 P.M at 95 December 10, 2020.1 Cemments that 95 Deck Tacolonic Dataset Perceivage Violeties, 952 Reserved Drive Columbia. December 10, 2020.1 Cemments in My and Deck 13, 2020.1 Cemments in My and Deck 13, 2020.1 Cemments may also be obtained via errail at and forming 6 soot story.

gov. Date: March 29, 2017

WEATHER YOU WANT TO RENT YOUR HOME SELL YOUR CAR, OR ADVERTISE YOUR YARD SALE, THE SUN NEWS

## Sun Publishing Company, Inc.

PO Box 406 • Myrtle Beach, SC 29578-0406

ARDURRA GROUP 632 ROSEWOOD DR. COLUMBIA, SC 29201

April 03, 2017

Newspaper: The Sun News Ad Number: 0002998444

Date(s) of Publication: 03/29/2017

Affidavit of Publication:

State of South Carolina

County of Horry

Before me, Manny Berdayes, a Notary Public personally appeared who, after being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is Vice President of Finance of the Sun Publishing Company, Inc., at Myrtle Beach, South Carolina. In the county and state aforesaid: that the above ad(s) was (were) printed and published in said newspaper, on said date(s).

Manny Berdayes

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 3rd day of April, 2017

BILLY FULBRIGHT NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COMM EXPIRES NOV. 2, 2022









dealsaver.com

#### AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Account #	Ad Number	Identification	PO	Amount	Cols	Lines
560065	0003025441	Final Notice and Public Explanation of a Proposet		\$545.10	1	122

Attention: Lori Manali

ARDURRA GROUP 632 ROSEWOOD DR. COLUMBIA, SC 29201

#### FINAL NOTICE AND PUBLIC **EXPLANATION OF A PROPOSED** ACTIVITY IN A 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN

To: All interested Agencies, Groups and

This is to give notice that the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO has conducted an evaluation as re-quired by 11988 in accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR 55.20 Subpart C Procedures for Making Determinations on Floodplain Management, The activity is funded under the Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruc-tion Program under B-16-DH-45-0001. The proposed project is located 499 Keystone Lane, Myrtle Beach, SC in Horry County. The proposed project involves replacement of a damaged mobile home on an existing residential property with the above-listed address, where the home site received damage as a result of the SC 2015 Storm Event. Proposed activities would consist of demolishing the existing mobile home on site, hauting away all debris and replacing the damaged mobile home with a new mobile home in the same footprint. Although most local building codes allow installation of Wind Zone I rated mobile homes, SCDRO will only utilize mobile homes with a minimum wind rating of HUD Wind Zone II or higher (able to withstand winds up to 100 MPH). Compliance with the local floodplain ordinance will be required and include elevation of the mobile-home (two feet above the BFE). The mobile-home is located in a 20.25 acre mobile-home development site located in the 100-year flood zone as shown on the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map (PFIRM) 45051C0703K, September 11, 2015.

SCORO has considered the following alternatives and mitigation measures to be taken to minimize adverse impacts and to restore and preserve natural and beneficial values: No Action Alternative: Under the no action alternative, the existing mobile-home would not be re-placed. This would leave the damaged structure in place, promoting unsafe living conditions and a blight on the neighborhood. Locating the mobile-home outside the floodplain: Currently the program does not offer an option to relocate a home-owner or buy-out option. Proposed Action: Replacing the mobilehome in its current location allows the homeowner to return to their property with safer living conditions. The new mobile home will comply with the City's National Flood Insurance Program and will be elevated 2-feet above Base Flood Elevation as shown on the FEMA State of South Carolina

County of Horry

Before me, Manny Berdayes, a Notary Public personally appeared who, after being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is Vice President of Finance of the Sun Publishing Company, Inc., at Myrtle Beach, South Carolina. In the county and state aforesaid: that the above ad(s) was (were) printed and published in said newspaper, The Sun News, on said date(s).

Insertion(s)

Published On: April 14, 2017

BILLY FULBRIGHT NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COMM EXPIRES NOV. 2, 2022

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 14th day of April in the year of

Sun Publishing Company, Inc. PO Box 406 • Myrtle Beach, SC 29578-0406

#### PFIRM 45051C0703K.

SCDPO has reevaluated the alternatives to building in the floodplain and has determined that it has no practicable alternative. Environmental files that document compliance with steps 3 through 6 of Executive Order 11988, are available for public inspection, review and copying upon request at the times and location delineated in the last paragraph of this notice for receipt of comments.

There are three primary purposes for this notice. First, people who may be affected by activities in floodplains and those who have an interest in the profection of the natural environment should be given an opportunity to express their concerns and provide information about these areas. Second, an adequate public notice program can be an important public educational tool. The dissemination of information and request for public comment about floodplains can facilitate and enhance Federal efforts to reduce the risks and impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of these special areas. Third, as a matter of fair-ness, when the Federal government determines it will participate in actions taking place in floodplains, it must inform those who may be put at greater or continued risk.

Written comments must be received by SCDRO at the following address on or before April 22, 2017. Atlanton: Eric Fosmire, Alterney, 632 Posewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201. A full description of the project may also be reviewed weekdays from 9 A.M to 5 P.M at the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office, 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201. Comments may also be submitted via email at Eric.Fosmire@scdt.s c.309.

Date: April 14, 2017

0000025441-01









## AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Ad Number	110.00				
Ad (Adilibe)	Identification	PO	Amount	Cole	Lines
0003146127	Final Notice and Public Explanation of a Proposed			1	204
	Ad Number 0003146127	Identification	Identification PO	0003146127 Final Notice and Public Evaluation of a Property	rdenuncation PO Amount Cols

Attention: Lori Manali

ARDURRA GROUP 632 ROSEWOOD DR. COLUMBIA, SC 29201

FINAL NOTICE AND PUBLIC EXPLAN-ATION OF A PROPOSED ACTIVITY IN A 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN HORRY COUNTY

To Al Interested Agencies, Groups and

This is to give notice that the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCORO) has determined that the following proposed accon under the Single Family Benabilishism and Reconstruction Pregram, flory County, South Carolina (B-18-DH-45-0001) may have some properties to cated in the 100-year Recolptain, and SCORO has identified and evaluated practicable alternatives to locating the action in the floodplain and the potential impacts on the floodplain from the proposed action, as required by Executive Order 11888 in accordance with HILD regulators at 25 CFR bio-20 Subpart C Procedures for Making Determinations on floodplain Management. The Disaster Reful

Appropriations Act of 2018 (Pub. L. 114 113 approved December 16, 2015) aumorizes SCOPO to undertake a

project known as Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program, Harry County, South Carelina for the purpose of rehabilitating and/or represented in the purpose of rehabilitating and/or represented gaingle furnily Manufactured Housing Units (MHUs) that ware damaged by the October 2015 Flood Event. This is a multiplear project 12/2016-19/2021 wharein SCOPO will be carrying out venous individual softens or single family homes. Behabilitation activities may include repaid or represented of a structure's elements such as not, windows, door sneethesk, plumaning and electrical fixtures, mechanicals, and lead based paint and molal chanicals. And lead based paint and molal chanicals, and lead based paint and molal required, and reconstruction activities will include demalition of the origins alloring amediation, dwelling. MHU replacement in clude demalitation the original MHU and see, houring debris away and placing new MHU in previous MHU footprint. The proposed projection is located at multiple locations throughout Herry County.

SCOHO has considered the following alternatives and mitigation measures to be taken to minimize adverse impacts and to restore and preserve natural and benefcial values.

No Action Alternative: This alternative does not achieve the State of Scient Carolina a goals of restering the health and safety of flood-damaged housing for its residents, nor does a promote planning and intelementation of resilience measures to miligate damage from future

#### State of South Carolina

#### County of Horry

Before me, Brenda Moore, a Notary Public personally appeared who, after being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is Vice President of Finance of the Sun Publishing Company, Inc., at Myrtle Beach, South Carolina. In the county and state aforesaid: that the above ad(s) was (were) printed and published in said newspaper, The Sun News, on said date(s).

1 Insertion(s)

Published On: June 28, 2017

SVP of Finance and Operations

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 14th day of July in the year of 2017

My Commission Expires March 16, 2027

Sun Publishing Company, Inc.
PO Box 406 • Myrtle Beach, SC 29578-0406

weather extremes. Residents would continue to be displaced from their homes recated in the floodplane. Ive in damaged unsafe, and unsarilarly housing within the flood-damaged neighborhoods or seek shallar eleowhere. Peor structural integrity of flood-damaged homes within the floodplain would put residents at a greater risk shallar another flood event become seedally if homes do not meet current eleo-tour redurments. Abandoned structures may not be demotished posing a lingesing health and safety risk, with possible storm-detris field hazards affecting water quality if subject to fleed conditions. Storm debris fields on insacratial progeries would posentially remain unaddressed, also posing a threat to public health and water quality.

Locating the camaged names outside the flootplan: Currency the program does not offer an option to revocate a home-owner or buy out option.

Proposed Action. The State expects many SCORO applicants will open to return to their properties situated in or adjacent to the Scoopsian Atematica approaches to protecting properties in these areas have been considered for the State's CDBG-DR housing programs. Such Carolina will imprement resilient home construction standards. South Carolina will totake HUD quidance to ensure all structures, defined at 44 CPR 59 1, das gold amorpaby for

residential use and located in the 1 percoal control (or 100 year) floodplain that receive assistance for new

construction, repair of autostantial damage or substantial improvement, as connected to the provement of the provestion of the provestion of the provestion of the percent annual footpoan enviation. Residents structures with ne overling units and no residents below two feet above the 1 percent annual footpoan enviation. Rustiant on residents below two feet above the 1 percent annual footpoint, must be elevated or floot proofed in apportance with FEMA floot proofing standards at 44 CFR EOJECHEMIA or successor standard, up to all least two feet above the 1 percent annual flootpoint.

Property owners assisted through the recovery pengram will be required to acquire and maintain flood insurance it their propenties are located in a FEMA designated locapter. This requirement is mandated to protect safety of residents and their property and the investment of tederal dallars. The elevation neight of a house can significantly radice the rest of food insurance. South Carolina will implement procedures and mechanisms to ensure that assisted droperty eviders comply with all food insurance requirements, including the purchase and necession requirements prescribed below, prior to providing assistance.

In addition SCDFIC will implement resilient practices to ensure the viability durability and accesses they of replacement mobile names.

 Although local buttory codes may allow installation of Wins Zone I rated mobile names, SCOHO will only utilize mobile homes with a minimum with rating of HUD Wind Zone II or nigher (able to withstand wings up to 100 Med.)

homes with a manman with heavy sit.

HUD Wind Zone II or higher table to withstand winds up to 100 MP+I;

• SCDRO will edopt the 5.7 rule, prohibiting the instalation of mobile homes erevoice 5.7 above grade without appropriate structural reinforcement.

SCORO has reevaluated the attendances to building in the Bookplain and has determined that it has no practicable alternative. Environmental files that document compliance with staps 3 through 5 of Exceptive Order 11988, are available for public inspection, review and copying upon request at the times and location delineasted in the last paragraph of this notice for receipt of commercs.

There are three primary purposes for this nation. First, people who may be attended by activities in Foodblar's one these who

have an interest in the protection of the natural provincent should be given an opportunity to express their concerns and provide information about those areas. Second, an adequate public notice program can be an important public educational test. The passemination of information and request for public convinent about toosphans, can facilitate and enhance. Federal efforts to reduce the risks and impacts associated with the coolingarity and modification of these appoint areas. Third, as a matter of fairness, when the Federal government determines it will pancipate in actions taking place in floodisinis, it might inform those who may be put at greater or command ask.

Written comments must be received by SCORO at the following edoress on or before July 05, 2017. Attention: Encircle July 05, 2017. Attention: Encircle Altornay, 632 Resewood Drives, Columbia, SC 29201. A full description of the project may also be reviewed week-days from 0 A M to 5 P M at the Source Carolina Desaster Recovery Office, 632 Resewood Brive. Columbia, SC 29201 Comments may also be submitted via email at an official may also be submitted via email at an official may also be submitted.

Date: June 28, 2017

-0003146127-01

## **APPENDIX I:**

Combined Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds (FONSI/NOIRROF)



#### **Combined Notice Notification Letter**

Dr. Jeffrey R. Duncan, PhD National Park Service-Southeast Region Science and Natural Resources Division, Fisheries and Aquatic Resources 535 Chestnut St. Suite 207 Chattanooga, TN 37402 423-987-6127

Date: December 13, 2016

Re: Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program: South Carolina 2015 Flood Event

CDBG-DR Project No: B-16-DH-45-0001

Dear Dr. Duncan,

This letter serves as notification, as per HUD regulation 24 CFR §58.43(a), that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been made and that a Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds and Certification (NOI/RROF) will be submitted to HUD for the thirteen (13) South Carolina Counties listed in the table below. They are presented in a Combined Notice. Included with this letter are copies of the notices that will be published in the newspapers listed in the table below. No action is required on your agency's part.

	County	Newspaper	Publication Date
1	Charleston	The Post and Courier	11/30/2016
2	Darlington	Hartsville Messenger	11/30/2016
3	Spartanburg	Herald Journal	11/30/2016
4	Horry	The Sun News	12/6/2016
5	Berkeley	The Berkeley Independent	12/7/2016
6	Dorchester	The Summerville Journal	12/7/2016
7	Lee	Lee County Observer	12/7/2016
8	Marion	Marion Star & Mullins Enterprise	12/7/2016
9	Newberry	Newberry Observer	12/7/2016
10	Colleton	The Press and Standard	12/8/2016
11	Fairfield	The Independent Voice	12/8/2016
12	Kershaw	The Chronicle Independent	12/9/2016
13	Greenville	The Greenville News	12/7/2016

Sincerely,

**Derek A. Galose** Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 

Deska Solore



#### **Combined Notice Notification Letter**

Ms. Holli Dawn Martin,
Project Coordinator Coastal Zone Consistency-OCRM
S.C. Dept. of Health & Environmental Control Office 2600 Bull Street, Columbia, SC 29201

Date: December 13, 2016

Re: Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program: South Carolina 2015 Flood Event

CDBG-DR Project No: B-16-DH-45-0001

Dear Dr. Duncan,

This letter serves as notification, as per HUD regulation 24 CFR §58.43(a), that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been made and that a Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds and Certification (NOI/RROF) will be submitted to HUD for the thirteen (13) South Carolina Counties listed in the table below. They are presented in a Combined Notice. Included with this letter are copies of the notices that will be published in the newspapers listed in the table below. No action is required on your agency's part.

	County	Newspaper	Publication Date
1	Charleston	The Post and Courier	11/30/2016
2	Darlington	Hartsville Messenger	11/30/2016
3	Spartanburg	Herald Journal	11/30/2016
4	Horry	The Sun News	12/6/2016
5	Berkeley	The Berkeley Independent	12/7/2016
6	Dorchester	The Summerville Journal	12/7/2016
7	Lee	Lee County Observer	12/7/2016
8	Marion	Marion Star & Mullins Enterprise	12/7/2016
9	Newberry	Newberry Observer	12/7/2016
10	Colleton	The Press and Standard	12/8/2016
11	Fairfield	The Independent Voice	12/8/2016
12	Kershaw	The Chronicle Independent	12/9/2016
13	Greenville	The Greenville News	12/7/2016

Sincerely,

**Derek A. Galose** Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 



#### **Combined Notice Notification Letter**

Mr. L. Nelson Roberts, Jr., Section Manager Air Programs Implementation & Mobile Sources Section Bureau of Air Quality, SCDHEC 2600 Bull Street, Columbia, SC 29201

Date: December 13, 2016

Re: Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program: South Carolina 2015 Flood Event

CDBG-DR Project No: B-16-DH-45-0001

Dear Dr. Duncan,

This letter serves as notification, as per HUD regulation 24 CFR §58.43(a), that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been made and that a Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds and Certification (NOI/RROF) will be submitted to HUD for the thirteen (13) South Carolina Counties listed in the table below. They are presented in a Combined Notice. Included with this letter are copies of the notices that will be published in the newspapers listed in the table below. No action is required on your agency's part.

	County	Newspaper	Publication Date
1	Charleston	The Post and Courier	11/30/2016
2	Darlington	Hartsville Messenger	11/30/2016
3	Spartanburg	Herald Journal	11/30/2016
4	Horry	The Sun News	12/6/2016
5	Berkeley	The Berkeley Independent	12/7/2016
6	Dorchester	The Summerville Journal	12/7/2016
7	Lee	Lee County Observer	12/7/2016
8	Marion	Marion Star & Mullins Enterprise	12/7/2016
9	Newberry	Newberry Observer	12/7/2016
10	Colleton	The Press and Standard	12/8/2016
11	Fairfield	The Independent Voice	12/8/2016
12	Kershaw	The Chronicle Independent	12/9/2016
13	Greenville	The Greenville News	12/7/2016

Sincerely,

Derek A. Galose Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 



#### **Combined Notice Notification Letter**

Mr. Mark A. Caldwell Deputy Field SupervisorU.S. Fish and Wildlife Service South Carolina Ecological Services176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200 Charleston, SC 29407

Date: December 13, 2016

Re: Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program: South Carolina 2015 Flood Event

CDBG-DR Project No: B-16-DH-45-0001

Dear Mr. Caldwell,

This letter serves as notification, as per HUD regulation 24 CFR §58.43(a), that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been made and that a Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds and Certification (NOI/RROF) will be submitted to HUD for the thirteen (13) South Carolina Counties listed in the table below. They are presented in a Combined Notice. Included with this letter are copies of the notices that will be published in the newspapers listed in the table below. No action is required on your agency's part.

	County	Newspaper	Publication Date
1	Charleston	The Post and Courier	11/30/2016
2	Darlington	Hartsville Messenger	11/30/2016
3	Spartanburg	Herald Journal	11/30/2016
4	Horry	The Sun News	12/6/2016
5	Berkeley	The Berkeley Independent	12/7/2016
6	Dorchester	The Summerville Journal	12/7/2016
7	Lee	Lee County Observer	12/7/2016
8	Marion	Marion Star & Mullins Enterprise	12/7/2016
9	Newberry	Newberry Observer	12/7/2016
10	Colleton	The Press and Standard	12/8/2016
11	Fairfield	The Independent Voice	12/8/2016
12	Kershaw	The Chronicle Independent	12/9/2016
13	Greenville	The Greenville News	12/7/2016

Sincerely,

**Derek A. Galose** Derek A. Galose

Environmental Manager

Duk A. Lotore



#### **Combined Notice Notification Letter**

Ms. Ann English, State Conservationist USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service 1835 Assembly Street, Room 950 Columbia, SC 29201

Date: December 13, 2016

Re: Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program: South Carolina 2015 Flood Event

CDBG-DR Project No: B-16-DH-45-0001

Dear Ms. English,

This letter serves as notification, as per HUD regulation 24 CFR §58.43(a), that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been made and that a Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds and Certification (NOI/RROF) will be submitted to HUD for the thirteen (13) South Carolina Counties listed in the table below. They are presented in a Combined Notice. Included with this letter are copies of the notices that will be published in the newspapers listed in the table below. No action is required on your agency's part.

	County	Newspaper	Publication Date
1	Charleston	The Post and Courier	11/30/2016
2	Darlington	Hartsville Messenger	11/30/2016
3	Spartanburg	Herald Journal	11/30/2016
4	Horry	The Sun News	12/6/2016
5	Berkeley	The Berkeley Independent	12/7/2016
6	Dorchester	The Summerville Journal	12/7/2016
7	Lee	Lee County Observer	12/7/2016
8	Marion	Marion Star & Mullins Enterprise	12/7/2016
9	Newberry	Newberry Observer	12/7/2016
10	Colleton	The Press and Standard	12/8/2016
11	Fairfield	The Independent Voice	12/8/2016
12	Kershaw	The Chronicle Independent	12/9/2016
13	Greenville	The Greenville News	12/7/2016

Sincerely,

Derek A. Galose

Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 

Dolph Golden



#### **Combined Notice Notification Letter**

Mr. John D. Sylvest South Carolina Department of Archives and, History State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) 8301 Parklane Road Columbia, SC 29223

Date: December 13, 2016

Re: Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program: South Carolina 2015 Flood Event

CDBG-DR Project No: B-16-DH-45-0001

Dear Mr. Sylvest,

This letter serves as notification, as per HUD regulation 24 CFR §58.43(a), that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been made and that a Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds and Certification (NOI/RROF) will be submitted to HUD for the thirteen (13) South Carolina Counties listed in the table below. They are presented in a Combined Notice. Included with this letter are copies of the notices that will be published in the newspapers listed in the table below. No action is required on your agency's part.

	County	Newspaper	Publication Date
1	Charleston	The Post and Courier	11/30/2016
2	Darlington	Hartsville Messenger	11/30/2016
3	Spartanburg	Herald Journal	11/30/2016
4	Horry	The Sun News	12/6/2016
5	Berkeley	The Berkeley Independent	12/7/2016
6	Dorchester	The Summerville Journal	12/7/2016
7	Lee	Lee County Observer	12/7/2016
8	Marion	Marion Star & Mullins Enterprise	12/7/2016
9	Newberry	Newberry Observer	12/7/2016
10	Colleton	The Press and Standard	12/8/2016
11	Fairfield	The Independent Voice	12/8/2016
12	Kershaw	The Chronicle Independent	12/9/2016
13	Greenville	The Greenville News	12/7/2016

Sincerely,

**Derek A. Galose** Derek A. Galose

**Environmental Manager** 

Desk A. Latore

#### **Combined Notice Notification Letter-Agency Distribution List**

Mr. John D. Sylvest South Carolina Department of Archives and History State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) 8301 Parklane Road Columbia, SC 29223

Ms. Ann English, State Conservationist USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service 1835 Assembly Street, Room 950 Columbia, SC 29201

Mr. Mark A. Caldwell Deputy Field Supervisor U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service South Carolina Ecological Services 176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200 Charleston, SC 29407

Mr. Christopher Hernandez Fish and Wildlife Biologist - Coastal Program U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 176 Croghan Spur Road, Suite 200 Charleston, SC 29407

Mr. L. Nelson Roberts, Jr., Section Manager Air Programs Implementation & Mobile Sources Section Bureau of Air Quality, SCDHEC 2600 Bull Street, Columbia, SC 29201

Ms. Holli Dawn Martin, Project Coordinator Coastal Zone Consistency-OCRM S.C. Dept. of Health & Environmental Control Office 2600 Bull Street, Columbia, SC 29201

Dr. Jeffrey R. Duncan, PhD National Park Service-Southeast Region Science and Natural Resources Division, Fisheries and Aquatic Resources 535 Chestnut St. Suite 207 Chattanooga, TN 37402 423-987-6127





Plasma Donors Wented

Fleceive \$100 THIS WEEK

nors Only) Tek Bio 1890 Jos ve, Myrtle SC 29577 53-3796 5 Card

SEEKING RETIRED

SENIOR IN MYB ed 843-213-1042 843-742-4158

2200 Entertainment/ Food

Free Installation

843-669-3613

2750 Financial/Legal Services

FAMILY COURT

\*Contested Custo \*Support \*Visitation \*Divorce Cases

Cecil Clarkson, Attorney Uncontasted Divorce: 9 1 yr separation 9 No children 8 No property (\$250 + Cost)

448-7137

2200 Home & Business Improvement

A-1 ROOFING

SHINGLE, RUBBER & METAL ROOFS SHING & PAINTING

FREE ESTIMATES

365-1939 or (843) 222-2743

A-1 MULLINS
PLUMBING
For all your
Plumbing Needs
No Job too
Big or Small
Over 50 years Exp
CALL

630-417-5154

CAROLINA

CONST. CO.

Siding & Roof Repairs • Tree Removal

REPAIRS

Senior & Veteran

8929

1452

Centers White A Beach, 843-3 ID/S

Herry Georgetown Technical College Procurement Spec The O

ga. ne today Apply com/hgtc

2125

CLEANING Hon Offices - Rentals

GO HAPPY CLEANING

843-443-5483

CARPET CLEANING

3 RMS. \$79 STEAM PLUS
Licensed & Licensed & Insured
Quick Dry
Process
- Upholatery
Clashing
- Stain & Pet Odor
Removal
RATED #1 ON
ANGIE'S LIST!

843-340-5805

2150 Child/Elder Care Service ervices

GREAT

PRICES Caregivers of SC

Hanry rate \$11.50 Live in (20th) \$125 When charge \$200-540? Be happy

(843) 347-6440 Sant to Spin

2150 Child/Elder Care Services

CUSTOM FLOORING INSTALLATION

laminate, tile & hardwood. Flooring Remov Kitchen Backeplashes Interior Painting

2300

843-267-5476

LOW PRICE

PLUMBING DIRECT TV / Hamner Plumbing starting as low as Starting as low a \$29.99 per mont FREE NFL Package, Free HBO, Showtime, Starz, Cinemax (For 3-months.)

All Jobs From Small to Large Repairs Remodeling All Selvices C Marie Fluxes

843-241-4770

HANDYMAN 35 years exp to Job Too Sm ntenor & Exter Free Estimates S 518-965-1709

Serving the Entire Grand Strand HOME MPROVEMENT SUNROOMS SCREEN PORCHES ADDITIONS BATHROOM REMODELS

METZEL

843-855-6480 See us at:

yements.com

JMV PAINTING INT. / EXT.

Re-Staining Oeck Paint/Stain Ceiling Repairs Orywall Repair Pressure Washing Tile Works Floor Insiell Vinyl & Hardwood/ Jaminate) Back Splash Instal

Free Estimates www.imvpainting 843-450-7651

MASONRY DUTCH MASONRY II

Brick Concrete
Pavers
Brick & Concrete
Residential

Free Estimates Fully Insured 843-902-4142

MIKE'S PAINTING

(843) 424terior & Exterior JAMES PRESSURE WASHING Pressure
 Washing
 Popcorn Ceilir
 Remove
 Wallpaper
 Minor Sheetro
 Repair BEST RATE GOING Just for you!

Lic/Ins. Free Est. 843-457-2157

1000

Home & 2300 Improvement

MURPHY

General Contractor Residential & Commercial • REMODELING KITCH & BATH • DEMOLITION • SPECIALIST • ROOFING LicensedInsured PH:843-357-9190 CL:843-458-8333

MYRTLE BEACH Commercial Real Estate LLC

Roof Repairs &

Replacement STORM DAMAGE REPAIR Serving the Grand Strand for over 25 years 843-457-ROOF (7663)

POWERHOUSE HOME SERVICES, LLC

Pressure Washing
 Painting interior &
 Exterior
 Staining
 Backsplash & Tife
Install
 Ceiling Fan Install
 Mulch

Garden City ≈ 973-670-8047

RIVERSIDE REMODELING COMPANY

CONSTRUCTION
ADDITIONS
ADDITIONS
PAID PORCHES
FLOCKING THE
BATHS
BATHS
PAINTING & THAM
INT & EXT
MODIFICATIONS
ROT & WATER
REPAIRS
DOORS
WINDOWS
STONE
CONCRETE
HOOFING
Free Estimating
CALL
(843) 267-5922 CONSTRUCTION

ROBERT ROSEN Int/Ext. Paintin Faux Finishes Handyman Svo All Phases of Remodeling

FREE Estima

843-503-4774

SPECIALTY

Roofing Repairs

35 Years of

Roofing

Knowledge & Experience\*

For A FREE

**ESTIMATE** and

REASONABLE

PRICE

(843) 315-8642

ROOF REPAIRS

Styre exp.
FREE ESTIMATES
NO JOB TOO SMALL
Caffice
Contracting LLC

ADAMS & SONS TREE SERVICE Storm Damage experts specializing in Dangerous Trees

Credit Cards Accepted Licensed, Bonded & Insured 843-283-4770

> AMC TREE & FIREWOOD ANTHONY the

climber has opened his own TREE REMOVAL 843-539-8733 843-903-7333

'BEST PRICE'
TREE SERVICE
Hurricane & Wind
Damage Clean-up!
Prompt Service,
honest & reliable.
Lawn Friendly
Equipment
Fully Insured 843-685-5970

ERIC'S LANDSCAPING (843) 685 - 0607 Shingles • Tile • Flat Roofs • All

Spread mulci OW OFFERIN STORAGE & GARAGE CLEAN-UP 843.333.4445

VAII.

Lawn/Garden /Landscaping

JOHN'S & LAWN CARE All types of clean up lesign and installatio printing, males, tine straw, brick chi

903-1802

· PAVERS VOTED #1 · CUSTOM WALLS - DRAINAGE TREE WORK

Home & Business

Improvement

SUPER

CRETE

CUSTOM

CONCRETE

· DRIVEWAYS

· PATIOS · WALKS

(843) 450-0085

SUPER SALE

PROMISE YOU SUPER NICE JOB.

\$250 OFF

DECEMBER

CALL NOW!

2400 /Landscaping /Trees

Co. STAMPED Removals (ALL TYPES) Prunings NO CRACKS

Firewood GUARANTEED Stumps -Starting at • LIFETIME • \$15/Stump GUARANTEE

JUST AWARDED AVERAGE NEW CONCRETE #1 TREE CO. DRIVEWAYS \$2,500 IN MYRTLE BEACH

MB (843) 215-3511 (877) 848-TREE MasterCare Inc.

MR. WOOD'S FIREWOOD SERVICE

843-602-3173

Outdoors Unitd vour Outdoor Needs!

Needal
BEST FALL
DEALSI
Landscape/Tree
Service &
Concrete Work
Pressure Washer
Available

843-597-9347 smoker avail info call 843-

PALMS · SALES · DELIVER · INSTALL · WARRANTY

843-215-3511

RIGHT

ON TIME

TREE

Most Any Tree Cut Down for

\$150 Ess

Call Robert 843-350-2809

Briarclife membership \$1000 4 transfer fee. Dues are PIF for the year. 518-774-9558 opes1491-01 2013 Bigho Loaded 3610RE Loaded 40ft. extrasili \$47,000 neg 423-3 2-1943 9 8025 Automobiles

CADILLAC Roodsfer mi. \$5000 3

Jaguar 1998 conv., silver wiblack top, bik lihr int, pertect cond., only 82k nil, \$5995 843-222-2845 2845

Mercedes 2007 C230 Sport, leather int., survoof, gir, kept, 35k mi, \$15,000 OBO mi, \$15,000 OBO 843-947-0493 cossessites

Mustarg 2003 con-vert. Sar kept., 6 cyl aub, low miles, yel/bk top \$9000 OBO 443-240-3395

8400 Auto Parts/Service 2800 Misc. Services

Grand Strand Clock Repair Howard Mile Revised Court Service Court In Houses for W. com

843-236-0614

3300 Firearms Concealed Weapons CLASS in Little River, SC & NC Suri. December 11 843-455-7096

Fitness/ Sporting Goods

Goff Titleist Irons 4 -gap wedge AP1 716 like new .reg steel shaft, call 843 365 3931 \$400

onnect.com 843-385-3931

3475 Jewelry Tag Heuer AguaRacer Men's Watch New in

3500 Garde Suppl LONG LEAF SASA DI Cosh Only LS

3800 Misc. Merc andise

FL Lanscape Mink Coal Bracell cutts, manderin colar \$640 OBO 843-2 5-9437 6400 Apartment for Rent

7100 Cample Motor

gnarkdasqr@sol.co m 207-740-5257

LONGS Poplar sect. Studio Apt. non-

(CDBG-DR) funds under The Disasser Relief Ap-propriations Act of 2016 (Pub. L. 114-113, ap-proved December 18, 2015) 2012 37ft Salem Villa Cemper 2 slide outs

2016) outletate 4 project Angers as Single Pennya Herbalitation Program. Herbalitation Program. Herbalitation Program. Herbalitation Program. Herbalitation Program Angers and Herbalitation and Herbalitation and Herbalitation Justice (MMTA) hast were demanded by the Mennadectured Housing Units (MMTA) hast were demanded by the West College 2018 Sept. This account West Marchalitation of West Ma

damaged by the cyclored and a service of the cyclored and a markeyear project. This is a markeyear project of the cyclored and a markeyear project of the carping out various individual section on single senily homes. Rehabilistion as britises may profess from your conduction of the cyclored section, so down, door, sheatnock, plumbing and bedraided fauthors, markey and and and passed and reconstruction of this original section, selection as present and most individe demolities are individed demolities and motivation as a required, and reconstruction as a required and reconstruction as a reconstruction and rec

301 Legals & Public Notices

Tires/Knitting Machine 2-General Attmax RT 225/709/16. New \$265, used 1 month, asking \$225.

Brothers Knitting M New \$800, \$225. 845-242-8791

2500 Trucks & Vans

NOTICE OF FINDING OF NO SIGNEICANT IMPACT AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO REQUEST RELEASE OF FUNDS Hony County

South Carolina Disaster Hecovery Office (SCDRO) of the South Carolina Department of Commerce, 632 Rose-wood Driva, Columbia, SC 29201, (802) 896-4171

These notices shall sat-larly two separate but related procedural re-quirements for activities to be undertaken by the South Caroline Dis-nater Recovery Office (SCDRO) of the South Caroline Department of Commerce.

ACCUEST FOR ARLEASE OF FUNDS
On or about December 22 2016, the South Carcolina Department of Commerce will "authorize the South Carolina Department of Commerce will "authorize the South Carolina Disaster Hecovery Otice to "authorize the resisses of Community Development" Brock Grant-Gestate Recovery Community

Under 24 CFR 58.15 (Tiering) and 24 CFH

58.32 (Project aggrega-tion), SCDRO will utilize a flered approach for com-bring similar work into

GMC Grew Cab SLT 1/2 Ton 2015, 2WD, 7700 ml, new cond.

Samotive

\*Chrome wheels & mounted tires for a 2012 GMC Sierra. \$450 843-582-1916

301 Legals & Public Notices

December 66, 2016

17

T

301 Degais & Public Notices

even completed and in the project will not result in a significant impact on the project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human properties of the public project see work into aggregate individual project see individual project seed project project project seed seed in the project project public seed in the project project project seed in the project pr

HIJD will accept objections to its instead of land and the SCDPIO's certification for a private of land and the SCDPIO's certification for a private of laten days formway the acceptance of the request (whichever of the request (whichever of the request (whichever of the state) of the request (whichever of the state) of the request (whichever of the state) of the request of the state of the st FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT SCORE As desemble of the significant Impact or sometiment Impact or quinted. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Beaute Record (ERRI) on file with Enfer fooming as the South Carolina Disasser Recounty Office, SO2 Rosiemood Drive. Columbia. SC 29201 and may be examined or copied meetabolys 9 AM to 5 P.M.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any Individual, globy, or a globy designed group with the determination of the project may subject with a globy designed group with a globy of the project may subject with a comment in Eric Footnine, \$52 Researced Drive. Solution, & Comments in England for Indiana of the Solution of the Federal Courts in his season of the Solution of the Federal Courts if an action of the sentimental in resident of the Federal Courts if an action in the Solution of the Solu **GOLF** SÜÑ

Where You Go To Know

8 Sports ine scores, highlights, 5 commentary. Bea a Where You Go To Know.

AM BOOK TO **IMAGINE WHAT YOU COULD DO WITH YOUR** DAY IF YOUR WORKDAY

Call Mickey 843-340-2018

NOTICE OF FINDING OF NO SIGNFICANT IM-PACT AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO REQUEST RELEASE OF FUNDS Harry County

December 06, 2016

South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) of the South Carolina Department of Commerce, 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201, (803) 896-4171

These notices shall satisfy two separate but related procedural requirements for activitles to be undertaken by the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) of the South Carolina Department of Commerce.

#### REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

On or about December 22, 2016, the South Carolina Department of Commerce will "authorize the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office to" submit a request to HUD for the release of Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery

(CDBG-DR) funds under The Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2016 (Pub. L. 114-113, approved December 18, 2015)

to undertake a project known as Single Family Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Program, Horry County, South Carolina for the purpose of rehabilitating and/or reconstructing singlefamily homes, and/or replacement of single-family Manufactured Units (MHUs) that were damaged by the October 2015 Flood Event. This is a multi-year project 12/2016-12/2021 where SCDRO will be carrying out various individual action on single family homes. Rehabilitation activities may include: repair or replacement of structure elements such as roof, windows, door, sheetrock, plumbing and electrical fixtures, mechanicals, and lead based paint and mold remediation. Reconstruction activities will include demolition of the original stormdamaged unit, site preparation, elevation as required, and reconstruction of a single-family dwelling. MHU replacement will include demolishing original MHU on site, hauling debris away and placing new MHU in previous MHU footprint.

Under 24 CFR 58.15 (Tiering) and 24 CFR 58.32 (Project aggrega-

tion), SCDRO will utilize a tiered approach for combining similar work into geographic as well as functional aggregation packages when conducting the environmental review. The "Broad Review" or "Tier 1 review" has been completed and it has been determined that the project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Applying the tiering rule provides SCDRO the ability to separate individual project site work into aggregate categories of work having similar geographic and/or functional environmental attributes. A "site specific" or "Tier II" review will be done on each subject property before using any CDBG-DR funds for construction activities. The CDBG-DR estimated funding amount for Horry County is \$7,400,000.00.

#### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

SCDRO has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Review Record (ERR) on file with Eric Fosmire at the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office, 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201 and may be examined or copied weekdays 9 A.M to 5 P.M.

#### PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any individual, group, or agency disagreeing with this determination or wishing to comment on the project may submit written comments to Eric Fosmire, 632 Rosewood Columbia, SC Drive, 29201. All comments received by December 21, 2016 will be considered by SCDRO prior to authorizing submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing. ENVIRONMENTAL

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION

SCDRO certifies to HUD that Eric Fosmire in his capacity as the SCDRO Attorney consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal Courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that responsibilities these have been satisfied. HU-D's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the SCDRO to use Program funds.

### OBJECTIONS TO

NELENGE OF FUNDS HUD will accept objections to its release of fund and the SCDRO's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the following bas-es: (a) the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the SCDRO; (b) SCDRO has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58; (c) the grant recipient or other participants in the development process have committed funds, incurred costs or undertaken activities not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or (d) another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the stand-point of environmental quality. Objections must be prepared and submitted in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to Bradley S. Evatt, Director CPD, 1835 Assembly Street, 13th Floor, Columbia, SC 29201-2460, (803)765-5344. Potential objectors should contact HUD to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

## Sun Publishing Company, Inc.

PO Box 406 • Myrtle Beach, SC 29578-0406

ARDURRA GROUP

632 ROSEWOOD DR. COLUMBIA, SC 29201

December 16, 2016

Newspaper: The Sun News Ad Number: 0002819290

Date(s) of Publication: 12/06/2016

Affidavit of Publication:

State of South Carolina

County of Horry

Before me, Manny Berdayes, a Notary Public personally appeared who, after being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is Vice President of Finance of the Sun Publishing Company, Inc., at Myrtle Beach, South Carolina. In the county and state aforesaid: that the above ad(s) was (were) printed and published in said newspaper, on said date(s).

Manny Berdayes

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 16th day of December, 2016

BILLY FULBRIGHT
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COMM EXPIRES NOV. 2, 2022











## **Order Confirmation**

Customer

ARDURRA GROUP

**Customer Account** 

560065

**Customer Address** 

632 ROSEWOOD DR. COLUMBIA SC 29201 USA

**Customer Phone** 

941-224-6839

**Customer Fax** 

Sales Rep

tfunderburke@charlotteobserver

**Payor Customer** 

ARDURRA GROUP

**Payor Account** 

560065

Payor Address

632 ROSEWOOD DR. COLUMBIA SC 29201 USA

**Payor Phone** 

941-224-6839

**Customer EMail** 

Imanali@ardurragroup.com

**Order Taker** 

sjackson@charlotteobserver.com

**Materials** 

PO Number	<u>Payr</u> Chec	nent Method ck	Blind Box	<u>Tear Sheets</u> 0	Proofs 0	<u>Affidavits</u> 1
Net Amount \$641.40	Tax Amount \$0.00	Total Amount \$641.40		Payment Amount \$0.00		Amount Due \$641.40
Ad Order Number 0002819290	Order Source		Ordered By Lori Manali	Sp	ecial Pricing	
Invoice Text Horry FONSI_NOI-RF	ROF			<u>Pro</u>	omo Type	

**Ad Order Information** 

Ad Number Ad Type **Production Method Production Notes** 

MYB-Legal 0002819290-01 AdBooker

**External Ad Number** Ad Attributes Ad Released Pick Up

No

1

\$626.40

Ad Size Color

1 X 240 li

Times Run **Product** <u>Placement</u> **Schedule Cost** 

MYB-The Sun News 0300 - Legals Classified

Run Schedule Invoice Text **Position** 

NOTICE OF FINDING OF NO SIGNFICANT IMPAC 0301 - Legals & Public Notices

Run Dates

12/06/2016

**Schedule Cost** <u>Placement</u> Times Run 7 \$15.00

MYB-upsell.mbo.com 0300 - Legals Classified

Run Schedule Invoice Text **Position** 

NOTICE OF FINDING OF NO SIGNFICANT IMPAC 0301 - Legals & Public Notices

Run Dates

12/06/2016, 12/07/2016, 12/08/2016, 12/09/2016, 12/10/2016, 12/11/2016, 12/12/2016

12/5/2016 Page 2 of 5 8:42:36AM \_FRM\_OrderConfirmation.rpt

## **APPENDIX J:**

Request for Release of Funds (RROF)

And

Authority Use Grant Funds (AUGF)

# Request for Release of Funds and Certification

#### U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Community Planning and Development

OMB No. 2506-0087 (exp. 07/31/2017)

This form is to be used by Responsible Entities and Recipients (as defined in 24 CFR 58.2) when requesting the release of funds, and requesting the authority to use such funds, for HUD programs identified by statutes that provide for the assumption of the environmental review responsibility by units of general local government and States. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 36 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Part 1. Program Description and Request for Release of Funds (	o be completed by Responsible E	Entity)
1. Program Title(s)	2. HUD/State Identification Number	Recipient Identification Number (optional)
4. OMB Catalog Number(s)	5. Name and address of responsible e	ntity
For information about this request, contact (name & phone number)		
8. HUD or State Agency and office unit to receive request	7. Name and address of recipient (if di	ifferent than responsible entity)
The recipient(s) of assistance under the program(s) listed above a grant conditions governing the use of the assistance for the follow	-	removal of environmental
9. Program Activity(ies)/Project Name(s)	10. Location (Street address, city, cou	nty, State)

11. Program Activity/Project Description

Previous editions are obsolete form **HUD-7015.15** (1/99)

Pa	rt 2. Environmental Certification (to be completed by	responsible entity)
	100 보이 하나 아니라 이렇게 하다면서 되어 있다면 하면 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 되었다.	ct(s), I, the undersigned officer of the responsible entity, certify that:
1.	The responsible entity has fully carried out its responsible to the project(s) named above.	pilities for environmental review, decision-making and action pertaining
2.	Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the	nd complied with and will continue to comply with, the National environmental procedures, permit requirements and statutory obligations uply with the authorities in 24 CFR 58.6 and applicable State and local
3.	The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for an	nd complied with and will continue to comply with Section 106 of the National ions 36 CFR 800, including consultation with the State Historic Preservation is, and the public.
4.		effects identified by the environmental review completed for the proposed
	project described in Part 1 of this request, I have found dissemination of an environmental impact statement.	that the proposal did did not <a>IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII</a>
	in accordance with 24 CFR 58.70 and as evidenced by t	d in the manner prescribed by 24 CFR 58.43 and 58.55 a notice to the public the attached copy (copies) or evidence of posting and mailing procedure.
	requirements of 24 CFR Part 58.	or review, comment or other action are in compliance with procedures and
7.	In accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b), the responsible en any special environmental conditions that must be adher	ntity will advise the recipient (if different from the responsible entity) of red to in carrying out the project.
As	the duly designated certifying official of the responsible	entity, I also certify that:
	and each provision of law designated in the 24 CFR 58. apply to the HUD responsibilities for environmental reventity.  I am authorized to and do accept, on behalf of the recipi	of Federal official under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 5 list of NEPA-related authorities insofar as the provisions of these laws view, decision-making and action that have been assumed by the responsible
	of all these responsibilities, in my capacity as certifying	officer of the responsible entity.
Siç	gnature of Certifying Officer of the Responsible Entity	Title of Certifying Officer
		Eric Fosmire, Attorney, South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office
x	Em J. Tosume	12/22/2016
Ad	dress of Certifying Officer	4
E	Eric Fosmire, Attorney, South Carolina Disaste	er Recovery Office, 632 Rosewood Drive, Columbia, SC 29201
Pa	ort 3. To be completed when the Recipient is not the R	esponsible Entity
co		and activities identified in Part 1 and agrees to abide by the special tal review and to advise the responsible entity of any proposed change in additions in accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b).
Sig	nature of Authorized Officer of the Recipient	Title of Authorized Officer
		Date signed
X		
	arning: HUD will prosecute false claims and statements. Convicti 29, 3802)	ion may result in criminal and/or civil penalties. (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 U.S.C.

Previous editions are obsolete form HUD-7015.15 (1/99)



# State of South Carolina Office of the Governor

NIKKI R. HALEY GOVERNOR 1205 PENDLETON STREET COLUMBIA 29201

**To:** All Interested U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Parties:

From: Nikki R. Haley

RE: Delegation of Certifying Officer for CDBG-DR Environmental Documents

Date: November 3, 2016

A. Daniel Young, Director of Grants Administration, South Carolina Department of Commerce, by virtue of his position, is designated as the State of South Carolina CDBG-DR Environmental Certifying Officer. In addition, due to the volume of environmental documents anticipated that require sign off by the Certifying Officer for the State of South Carolina's Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) Program, I also designate Eric Fosmire, attorney for the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office (SCDRO) as a second Certifying Officer. Mr. Fosmire may sign any and/or all Environmental Review Records associated with the current HUD CDBG-DR Grant # B-16-DH-45-0001 and also serve as Certifying Officer for Environmental Review records for any future CDBG Disaster Grants the State of South Carolina may receive. Eric Fosmire will represent the responsible entity and will be subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal courts as specified in 24 C.F.R. Part 58 Section 58.13.

#### Responsible Entity, Representative's Information/Certification:

Responsible Entity, Representative's name, title, and organization (printed or typed):

Nikki R. Haley, Governor, South Carolina

Signature:

Date: 11-3

#### U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development



Columbia Field Office Strom Thurmond Federal Building 1835 Assembly Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201-2480 www.hud.gov

January 7, 2017

Eric Fosmire, Attorney South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office 632 Rosewood Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Mr. Fosmire:

SUBJECT: Removal of Environmental Grant Condition and Authority to Use Grant Funds

On December 22, 2016, our Office received your Request for Release of Funds (RROF) and Certification indicating the environmental review for the following project has been completed:

**PROJECT:** The project will use CDBG-DR funding for single family rehabilitation and reconstruction program; South Carolina 2015 Flood Event with scattered sites throughout Horry County, South Carolina.

PROJECT AMOUNT: \$7,400,000 GRANT NUMBER: B16-DH-45-0001

In accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR Part 58, the RROF has been held for 15 days as required to allow the public to comment or object to the use of HUD funds in this project. No valid objections were received by HUD during this time frame. Based upon the review, this project is considered environmentally cleared and the grant condition requiring that this project be environmentally cleared before committing CDBG-DR funds was removed on January 7, 2017. No further conditions are attached.

This letter and the enclosed Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD-7015.16 form) should be placed in the Environmental Review Record (ERR) for each activity undertaken to show that the prescribed environmental review has been completed and the conditions satisfied. This clearance will remain in effect as long as the related environmental review is valid and the scope of the activities remains unchanged. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Angie Hall at 803-765-5102.

Sincerely,

Bradley S. Evatt, Director

Community Planning and Development

Enclosure

### Authority to Use Grant Funds

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Community Planning and Development

To: (name & address of Grant Recipient & name & title of Chief Executive Officer)

Eric Fosmire, Attorney South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office 632 Rosewood Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29201 Copy To: (name & address of SubRecipient)

We received your Request for Release of Funds and Certification, form HUD-7015.15 on	12/22/2016
Your Request was for HUD/State Identification Number	B16-DH-45-0001

All objections, if received, have been considered. And the minimum waiting period has transpired. You are hereby authorized to use funds provided to you under the above HUD/State Identification Number. File this form for proper record keeping, audit, and inspection purposes.

The environmental release date is January 7, 2017. The project will use CDBG-DR funding in the amount of \$7,400,000 for single family rehabilitation and reconstruction program: South Carolina 2015 flood event, scattered sites throughout Horry County, South Carolina.

Typed Name of Authorizing Officer Bradley S. Evatt

Title of Authorizing Officer

Director, Community Planning and Development

Signature of Authorizing Officer

WRADEYS. EVAL

Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

01/07/2017

form HUD-7015.16 (2/94) ref. Handbook 6513.01